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ABSTRACT

Rare-earth based upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs) have attracted much attention due to their unique luminescent properties. The ability to convert multiple photons of lower energy to ones with higher energy through an upconversion (UC) process offers a wide range of applications for UCNPs. The emission intensities and wavelengths of UCNPs are important performance characteristics, which determine the appropriate applications. However, insufficient intensities still limit the use of UCNPs; especially the efficient emission of blue and ultraviolet (UV) light via upconversion remains challenging, as these events require three or more near-infrared (NIR) photons.

The aim of the study was to enhance the blue and UV upconversion emission intensities of Tm$^{3+}$ doped NaYF$_4$ nanoparticles and to demonstrate their utility in in vitro diagnostics. As the distance between the sensitizer and the activator significantly affect the energy transfer efficiency, different strategies were explored to change the local symmetry around the doped lanthanides. One important strategy is the intentional co-doping of active (participate in energy transfer) or passive (do not participate in energy transfer) impurities into the host matrix. The roles of doped passive impurities ($K^+$ and Sc$^{3+}$) in enhancing the blue and UV upconversions, as well as in influencing the intense UV upconversion emission through excess sensitization (active impurity) were studied. Additionally, the effects of both active and passive impurity doping on the morphological and optical performance of UCNPs were investigated.

The applicability of UV emitting UCNPs as an internal light source for glucose sensing in a dry chemistry test strip was demonstrated. The measurements were in agreement with the traditional method based on reflectance measurements using an external UV light source. The use of UCNPs in the glucose test strip offers an alternative detection method with advantages such as control signals for minimizing errors and high penetration of the NIR excitation through the blood sample, which gives more freedom for designing the optical setup. In bioimaging, the excitation of the UCNPs in the transparent IR region of the tissue permits measurements, which are free of background fluorescence and have a high signal-to-background ratio. In addition, the narrow emission bandwidth of the UCNPs enables multiplexed detections. An array-in-well immunoassay was developed using two different UC emission colours. The differentiation between different viral infections and the classification of antibody responses were achieved based on both the position and colour of the signal. The study demonstrates the potential of spectral and spatial multiplexing in the imaging based array-in-well assays.
TIIVISTELMÄ


Tutkimuksen tavoitteena oli tehostaa tuliumia (Tm³⁺) sisältävien NaYF₄ nanopartikkelien sinisen ja ultraviolettivalon emissiotehokkuutta sekä osoittaa niiden käytettävyys *in vitro* diagnostiikassa. Koska herkistin- ja aktivaattori-ionien välinen etäisyys vaikuttaa merkittävästi energiansiirtotekohukkuuteen, tässä väitötyössä tutkittiin eri tapoja muuttaa näiden optisesti aktiivisten lantanidi-ionien paikallissymmetriaa. Yksi tärkeä strategia oli sisällyttää nanopartikkelin hilarakenteeseen muita optisesti aktiivisia (osallistuvat energiansiirtoon) tai passiivisia (eivät osallistu energiansiirtoon) ionejä. Tässä työssä tutkittiin sekä passiivisten ionien (K⁺ ja Sc³⁺) merkitystä sinisen ja UV-valon emissiotehokkuuteen että aktiivisten ionien merkitystä tehokkaan UV-emission tuottossakin lisäyksen avulla. Tämän lisäksi tutkittiin lisättyjen aktiivisten ja passiivisten ionien vaikutusta näiden nanopartikkelien morfologiaan ja optiseen suorituskykyyn.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the past decade a fast amount of research has been carried out on the use of nanomaterials in molecular diagnostics. Nanomaterial-based detection agents overcome many of the limitations associated with conventional diagnostic technologies in terms of assay sensitivity, selectivity and practicality [1]. The ability of lanthanide doped nanoparticles to up-convert NIR radiation into shorter visible and UV wavelengths has stimulated their use in biomedical diagnostics. Especially the use of upconverting nanoparticles as biomarker has revolutionized the field of bioimaging [2]. Although conventional contrast agents, such as organic dyes or semiconductor quantum dots which rely on the Stokes process, demonstrate many useful features, the short wavelength needed to excite them (by a UV or visible light source) limits their use [3]. On the other hand, lanthanide doped UCNPs, due to their unique upconversion property, offers remarkable advantages for bioimaging. For example, the absence of background fluorescence improves the signal-to-noise ratio, a sharp emission bandwidth makes UCNPs suitable for multiplexing and a high resistance to photodamage as well as photobleaching allow repetitive measurements. In addition, the optical transparency window of tissues permits the deep penetration of the NIR excitation [2].

The emission wavelength of the UCNPs can be tuned by doping different combinations of lanthanides into the crystals lattice [4]. The photophysics of the doped lanthanide, as determined by their $4f-4f$ transitions and the optical properties of the UCNPs, can be tailored by changing the local environment around the lanthanides [5]. The synthesis of brighter UCNPs is the crucial part, as the sensitivity of their applications depends on the emission intensity.

Bioanalytical assays, especially, multiplexed assays, are able to detect a large number of analytes or analyte concentrations in a single run [6]. This simultaneous detection of multiple analytes reduces the consumption of reagents and sample, and decreases the assay time. Array based multiplexing offers more sensitive and faster measurements due to the short diffusion distances [7]. The analyte binding in the bioanalytical assay is recognised by using fluorescent-labeled detection antibodies which provides number of advantages, such as high sensitivity and a wide dynamic range. Inorganic upconverting particles with high UC efficiencies make promising luminescent labels for ultrasensitive immunoassays [8].

In addition to acting as diagnostic tools, UCNPs can also be utilized as a light source in many UV-visible radiation mediated photochemical reactions in material science and chemical biology in order to circumvent the use of expensive and phototoxic external light sources [9].
2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

2.1 Upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs)

*Upconversion (UC)* refers to a nonlinear optical process, which requires two or more low energy photons to generate a higher energy photon with a shorter wavelength. The emission of light at a shorter wavelength than the excitation wavelength is also known as anti-Stokes photoluminescence. This general concept was first introduced by Auzel in the mid-1960s [10]. Since then, the UC process has gained more interest in generating visible emissions from IR radiation and led to remarkable developments in optical devices. Unlike the nonlinear multiphoton absorption process, which is observed in organic dyes and quantum dots (QDs), the efficiency of the UC process means it can be produced by cheap continuous-wave NIR diodes [11, 12].

Currently, a few upconversion techniques are available, including upconversion with triplet-triplet annihilation (TTA), inorganic crystals and rare earth materials. Basically in TTA, a triplet sensitizer and a triplet acceptor (annihilator/emitter) are mixed together [13]. The sensitizer is excited by photo-irradiation and it transfers energy to the acceptor via a triplet-triplet energy transfer (TTET), and the acceptor then emits at a higher energy level than the excitation. The TTA based upconversion has its advantages, such as a low excitation power density and a high quantum yield. The possible excitation of the sensitizer with solar light makes TTA a very promising approach for applications such as, photovoltaics, photocatalysis and many other photochemical processes [13, 14]. UC with inorganic materials, also called upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs), is another widely used technique, which involves an optically inactive inorganic host doped with optically active lanthanide ions [15]. This technique has gained more attention recently, due to the inherent advantages of the UCNPs. The UC process seen in lanthanide doped inorganic crystals is the main focus of this thesis.

*Lanthanide-based upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs)* consist of a guest-host system, where the guest lanthanides are dispersed into the host matrix. Most of the UCNPs known so far are lanthanide based materials. The direct excitation of lanthanide ions is a relatively inefficient process because of the forbidden nature of the $4f$ transitions [16]. To overcome this, lanthanide doping in a host material is the appropriate way to achieve desirable luminescence. Doped lanthanides in UCNPs have the superior advantages of intra $4f$ electronic transitions such as a sharp emission bandwidth, high resistance to photobleaching and photochemical degradation [17-19]. The primary forbidden nature of the $f-f$ transition produces very long lifetimes, thus favouring sequential excitations in the excited states [2].

Due to difficulties in preparing small upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs) with strong UC emission intensities and dispersibility in an aqueous medium, their applicability has
been limited. It wasn’t until after the 1990s that, the UC process became well-known in the biological and medical fields. The lower energy excitation in the UC offers several advantages, which makes it a very useful process for biological applications [20-22]. A brief overview of lanthanide based upconverting nanoparticles, their synthesis, factors affecting the UC emissions and their applications is presented in the Review of The Literature section.

2.1.1 Composition of UCNPs
UCNPs are inorganic crystals composed of a transparent host matrix doped with optically active lanthanide ions (Figure 1). The dopant ion replaces cations in the host matrix. The UC efficiency of the material can be enhanced by tuning the host lattice, dopant ions and dopant concentrations [15].

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the structure and constituents of a UCNP showing the blue, green and red emissions after NIR excitation (modified from Min et al., 2014 [23]).](image)

**Host materials**

For a high efficiency UC emission, the selection of appropriate host material is essential. Host materials differ in their coordination numbers and energy transfer efficiencies. An ideal host material has low phonon energies to boost the radiative emission [24]. In addition, the host should be chemically and thermally stable to be able to retain its original crystal structure.

Host materials that have been investigated in nanophosphors include rare-earth (R) based oxides (e.g., $Y_2O_3$, $ZrO_2$) [25], fluorides ($NaYF_4$) [26], vanadates ($YVO_4$) [27] and oxysulfides ($Y_2O_2S$) [28]. Additionally, some other compounds based on alkaline earth ions ($Ca^{2+}$, $Sr^{2+}$ and $Ba^{2+}$) and transition metal ions ($Zr^{4+}$ and $Ti^{4+}$) are also frequently used as host materials [29, 30]. Among the investigated hosts, fluoride based host
materials are frequently used because of their low photon cut-off energy and high chemical stability. The formation of crystal defects and lattice stress can be avoided by introducing cations (Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)), whose ionic radii close to the doped lanthanide ions, into the fluoride based host materials.

The NaRF\(_4\) series are reported to be the most excellent host till the date [31]. Two structures for NaRF\(_4\) are available: α-NaRF\(_4\) (cubic) and β-NaRF\(_4\) (hexagonal). Crystal structure variation in host materials has a significant influence on the optical properties of the nanocrystals. In case of the NaRF\(_4\) hosts, the β-NaRF\(_4\) families are more efficient UC emitters than their cubic phase counterparts [32]. The presence of different crystal fields around dopant ions in the host lattice of various symmetries has serious effects on the UC efficiency [24]. A less symmetric crystal is generally favourable since the crystal field contains more uneven components around the dopant ions, which increases the \(f-f\) transition probabilities of the dopant ions.

**Dopant ions**

The dopant ions are in the form of localized luminescence centres. The dopants play a crucial role in the UC process by actually absorbing and emitting photons. Usually, relatively low concentrations of dopants (20-40% Yb, 0.2-0.5% Tm and 2% Er) are added to the host lattice.

**Sensitizers**

Upconversion emissions can be expected in singly doped lanthanide ions where two major parameters affect the UC processes; the distance among the neighbouring activators and their absorption cross-section [33, 34]. Attempts of increase the concentration of the doped activator are restricted by the concentration quenching effect. Hence, singly doped nanocrystals have relatively low upconversion efficiencies.

In order to enhance the UC efficiency, a sensitizer with a reasonable absorption cross-section in the NIR region is co-doped along with the activator. The ion that is first directly excited is called the sensitizer. An absorption cross-section of 9.11 x 10\(^{-21}\) cm\(^2\) makes Yb\(^{3+}\) a particularly suitable sensitizer for Tm\(^{3+}\), Er\(^{3+}\) and Ho\(^{3+}\) ions [24]. The energy level diagram of Yb\(^{3+}\) is quite simple, with only one excited state of \(^2\)F\(_{5/2}\). Additionally, the energy separation between the ground state and only excited state of Yb\(^{3+}\) matches well with the \(f-f\) transitions of multiple lanthanide ions, and thus facilitates efficient energy transfer between the two ions [34].

Normally, Yb\(^{3+}\) is co-doped into the host material in an optimal range (20-40 mol%). Higher concentrations of Yb\(^{3+}\) doping can increase the absorption, but can also promote energy back-transfer (EBT) from the activator ions to Yb\(^{3+}\). The energy back transfer mechanism depopulates the emitting levels of the activator and may be used for tuning the colour of the emission [35].
Activators

The luminescent centre in the UCNPs which emits the output photon is called the activator. Among the up-conversion materials, trivalent rare earth ions with sharp and narrow f-f transition bands, such as Er\(^{3+}\), Tm\(^{3+}\) and Ho\(^{3+}\), are typically used as activators due to their abundant electronic states, long lived excited state and ladder-like arrangement of energy levels [34].

During the energy transfer upconversion process, electrons at the ground state level in the activator become excited to the intermediate level and absorb energy from nearby excited sensitizers to promote further transitions. If the energy levels of the activators are close to each other the UC results in detrimental non-radiative relaxations. Table 1 shows the UC emissions of activators in their typical hosts.

**Table 1.** Typical activator-host combinations and corresponding UC emissions (modified from Dong et al., 2015 [24]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activators</th>
<th>Hosts</th>
<th>UC emissions (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pr(^{3+})</td>
<td>KPb(_2)Cl(_5), Gd(_3)Ga(<em>5)O(</em>{12})</td>
<td>485, 520, 538, 605, 635, 645, 670, 690, 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(^{3+})</td>
<td>YVO(_4), Pb:TeO(_2)</td>
<td>430, 482, 525, 535, 580, 600, 664, 766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaYbF(_4), NaGdF(_4)</td>
<td>520, 541, 555, 590, 646, 657, 700, 799–873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaGdF(_4), Gd(_3)Ga(<em>5)O(</em>{12}), Y(_3)Al(<em>5)O(</em>{12})</td>
<td>416, 429, 490, 510, 535, 554, 590, 613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>278, 305, 312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaGdF(_4), (\alpha)-NaYF(_4), Gd(_3)Ga(<em>5)O(</em>{12}), Y(_3)Al(<em>5)O(</em>{12})</td>
<td>381, 415, 438, 489, 541, 584, 619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy(^{3+})</td>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3), NaGdF(_4)</td>
<td>378, 408, 487, 543, 569, 570, 610, 655, 663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho(^{3+})</td>
<td>LaF(_3), Y(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>542, 655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>415, 525, 542, 655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm(^{3+})</td>
<td>NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>290, 345, 362, 450, 475, 644, 694, 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(^{3+})</td>
<td>YbPO(_4)</td>
<td>450–500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Photon upconversion mechanism

In general, UC mechanisms are grouped into five classes.

a) Excited state absorption (ESA)

b) Energy transfer upconversion (ETU)

c) Photon avalanche (PA)

d) Cooperative sensitization upconversion (CSU)

e) Energy migration-mediated upconversion (EMU)
Figure 2. Schematic representation of UC processes seen in lanthanide-doped materials. a) Excited-state absorption, b) energy transfer upconversion, c) photon avalanche, d) cooperative sensitization upconversion, and e) energy migration-mediated upconversion (modified from Sun et al., 2015 [37]).

**ESA** involves a single ion, with a ladder-like arrangement of the energy states. The general scheme for ESA is shown in the Figure 2a. The ground state ion is excited to a long-lived intermediate state by the first photon absorption (called ground state absorption, GSA). Another pump photon boosts the ion from the intermediate state to a higher excited state, which further results in UC emission [34]. This UC process is only observed in materials with low dopant concentrations, as a high concentration results in non-radiative cross relaxations.

The **ETU** process was first discovered by Auzel in the mid-1960’s [10, 38]. Even though both ESA and ETU absorb two photons to populate the metastable level, ESA involves a single lanthanide ion, while ETU needs two neighbouring ions (Figure 2b) [36, 39, 40]. Two different ions, namely the sensitizer (ion I) and the activator (ion II), are embedded in the upconversion unit, excited by low energy photons. The excited sensitizer ion donates energy to the neighbouring activator ion non-radiatively via a dipole-dipole interaction. The UC efficiency of ETU is dependent on the distance between ions I and II. ETU is the most efficient UC process in actual use.

**PA** is also known as absorption avalanche and was first discovered by Chivian in 1979 [41]. This process requires excitation pump intensity above a certain threshold. The PA process starts with the population of the intermediate level by GSA followed by resonant ESA to populate the upper emitting level. A cross-relaxation occurs between the ion at the upper emitting level and the neighbouring ion in the ground state and produces two ions at an intermediate level (Figure 2c). Subsequently, the feedback looping of ESA and cross-relaxation will exponentially increase the population of the intermediate and luminescent levels, thus producing strong PA UC. Even though efficient UC is possible with PA, it has many limitations including pump power dependence and a slow response to excitation.
CSU is a three ion process, which occurs less frequently; with ion I and ion III being generally of the same type (Figure 2d) [42, 43]. After the absorption of the excitation photon, ions I and III are excited to an excited state and cooperatively transfer energy to ion II simultaneously. The excited ion II can relax back to the ground state with the UC emission. This process usually occurs in singly doped Yb$^{3+}$ or in Yb$^{3+}$/Tb$^{3+}$ or Yb$^{3+}$/Eu$^{3+}$ bulk materials [44, 45].

EMU was first discovered by Liu and Wang [46, 47]. EMU involves four different luminescent centres a sensitizer (ion I), an accumulator (ion II), a migrator (ion III and IV) and an activator (ion V) incorporated into separate layers (Figure 2e). In the actual process, the ETU populates the excited state of the accumulator, which then transfers energy to the migrator. Finally, energy is trapped by a neighbouring activator. The activator and the accumulator emit as the electrons return to their ground states.

**UC mechanism in Yb, Er/Tm codoped UCNPs**

The UC spectra for UCNPs, with the frequently used activator (Er/Tm) and sensitizer (Yb) combinations are shown in the Figure 3a. In Yb$^{3+}$-Er$^{3+}$ co-doped nanoparticles emission peaks at green (520-550 nm) and red (650-660 nm) colours are most commonly observed along with a weak violet emission at 415 nm [24]. In the actual UC mechanism of the Yb$^{3+}$-Er$^{3+}$ co-doped system, the $^2F_{7/2} \rightarrow ^2F_{5/2}$ transition of Yb$^{3+}$ matches closely with the $^4I_{15/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{11/2}$ transition of Er$^{3+}$ (Figure 3b), which offers efficient energy transfer between the activator and sensitizer. After 980 nm irradiation, Yb$^{3+}$ resonantly transfers energy to Er$^{3+}$, which is responsible for the $^4I_{15/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{11/2}$, $^4I_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4F_{7/2}$ and $^4I_{13/2} \rightarrow ^4F_{9/2}$ transitions. The excited ions relax non-radiatively to $^2H_{11/2}$ and $^4S_{3/2}$, which correspond to the 525 nm and 542 nm emissions. The non-radiatively transferred energy to $^4F_{9/2}$, or the resonant energy transfer from $^4I_{13/2}$ results in emission at 655 nm via the $^4F_{9/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ transition. Thus, the red and green emissions can be produced by the sequential absorption of two photons. The $^4F_{9/2}$ state absorbs a third photon from Yb$^{3+}$ and is excited to $^4G_{11/2}$ which, after relaxation to the $^2H_{9/2}$, gives a weak violet emission at 415 nm via the $^2H_{9/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ transition.
Figure 3. a) Upconversion spectra of NaYF₄:Yb,Er (dotted line) and NaYF₄:Yb,Tm (solid line) UCNPs with b) proposed energy transfer mechanism of the Yb,Er/Tm co-doped system (modified from Haase et al.,[15]).
The red to green intensity ratio can be enhanced by increasing the concentration of the Yb$^{3+}$ ion. The reason for this might be that the increased Yb$^{3+}$ concentration decreases the Yb-Er interatomic distance and further promotes more to the EBT from Er to Yb which decreases the green emission ($^{2}H_{11/2} \rightarrow ^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2}$) and enhances the red emission ($^{1}F_{9/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2}$) [48-50].

The excitation paths in Yb$^{3+}$-Tm$^{3+}$ pairs are complex, as the UC process in the Yb$^{3+}$-Tm$^{3+}$ system involves more than two photons (Figure 3b). This might be due to a discrete and ladder-like arrangement of the Tm$^{3+}$ energy levels, which reduces the possibility of non-radiative relaxations. After a 980 nm excitation, energy is transferred from the $^{2}F_{5/2}$ state of Yb$^{3+}$ to the $^{3}H_{5}$ state of Tm$^{3+}$. After a few upward transitions, $^{3}H_{6} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{5}$, $^{3}F_{4} \rightarrow ^{3}F_{2}$, $^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow ^{1}G_{4}$, $^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow ^{1}D_{2}$, $^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow ^{3}P_{2}$ and some non-radiative relaxations, $^{3}F_{2} \rightarrow ^{3}F_{3}$, $^{3}F_{2} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{4}$, $^{3}P_{2} \rightarrow ^{1}I_{6}$, the emission bands are resolved at 290 and 345 nm (five photon), 362 and 450 nm (four photon), 475 and 649 (three photon), 690 and 800 nm (two photon) which correspond to the $^{1}I_{6} \rightarrow ^{2}H_{6}$, $^{1}I_{6} \rightarrow ^{2}F_{4}$, $^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{6}$, $^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow ^{3}F_{4}$, $^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{6}$, $^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow ^{3}F_{4}$ and, $^{3}F_{3} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{5}$, $^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow ^{3}H_{6}$ transitions respectively [51]. The strong emission at 800 nm makes Tm$^{3+}$ doped UCNPs excellent candidates for NIR-NIR bioprobes [52].

The UC emissions in the blue and UV region are extremely important for a wide range of applications; for example, colour displays, medical diagnostics, and many photochemical reactions [53, 54]. Although an UC emission at 415 nm is seen in NaYF$_{4}$:Yb,Er UCNPs, its practical applications are limited due to the weak emission intensity. Compared to the extensive studies on the Er co-doped UCNPs with the green and red emission, research on the Tm co-doped UCNPs with the blue and UV emission is still scarce. Although this thesis focuses on blue and UV emissions from NaYF$_{4}$:Yb,Tm UCNPs, the literature section includes a brief review of NaYF$_{4}$:Yb,Er UCNPs.

2.1.3 Nanocrystal synthesis

For tuning the UC emissions, nanocrystal synthesis is the crucial step, as it tailors the crystal size, chemical composition, morphology and optical properties. Powder phosphors prepared with solid state synthesis often suffer from inhomogeneous composition and morphology [55]. On the other hand, soft chemical routes, which have improved enormously in the past decade, overcome the above mentioned drawbacks and offer many outstanding advantages. For instance, the introduction of a ligand to the surface of the final product is much easier by this route. It also prevents the aggregation of particles by utilizing organic or aqueous dispersions. By changing various parameters like the temperature, solvent, reaction time, concentration, raw materials etc., it is possible to control the size and shape of the final product [56]. A variety of soft chemical methods have been developed to synthesize UCNPs with tunable sizes and shape, such as coprecipitation, thermal decomposition, hydrothermal synthesis; sol-gel processing and combustion synthesis, a few of them are discussed below.
Co-precipitation

Co-precipitation is perhaps one of the earliest and easiest approaches for synthesizing nano-sized lanthanide-doped UCNPs. This method for UCNP synthesis was first reported by Stouwdam et al. for LaF$_3$:Ln (Ln = Eu, Er, Nd, Ho) nanoparticles (NPs) using ammonium di-n-octadecyldithiophosphate assisted rare earths and fluoride ion coprecipitation [57]. Later, Yi et al. synthesized NaYF$_4$:Yb,Er UCNPs by injecting an R-EDTA complex into a NaF solution, which resulted in $\alpha$-NaYF$_4$:Yb,Er nanocrystals [58]. By varying the molar ratio of EDTA and rare earth ions, the particle size could be controlled. An annealing treatment was used to enhance the UC intensity.

Coprecipitation is less expensive, more simple and less time consuming than many techniques. Even though post heat treatment is typically required, in some rare cases, coprecipitation directly yields UCNPs, leaving out the need for post annealing.

Thermal decomposition

This method was first developed by Zhang et al. for the synthesis of monodispersed LaF$_3$ nanocrystals (NCs) via the thermal decomposition of lanthanum trifluoroacetate [59]. After its discovery the method has become the common route for preparing monodisperse and highly crystalline rare earth based NCs. The thermal decomposition method is an oxygen free organic synthesis involving the decomposition of precursors along with surfactants in high-boiling organic solvents. A combined solvent system i.e. octadecene with a high boiling point (315 °C) to provide the high-temperature, and oleic acid (OA) or oleylamine (OM), with polar capping groups which act as shape modifiers, were chosen for the synthesis [60]. This method allows the successful synthesis of $\alpha$ and $\beta$-NaYF$_4$:Yb,Er/Tm UCNPs, especially for the core-shell nanostructure.

The work of Zhang et al. involves the thermal decomposition of a single-source precursor i.e., R(CF$_3$COO)$_3$, providing both R$^{3+}$ ions and a fluorine source, which makes the crystallization process easy to control. Although, the process is suitable for high quality UCNP synthesis, it is not environmentally friendly as the use of trifluoroacetate precursors generates the very toxic fluorinated and oxyfluorinated carbon species. Later, the nontoxic thermal decomposition method using a NaF and R-oleate complex was introduced for lanthanide doped NaYF$_4$ and NaGdF$_4$ NCs [61-63]. The morphology of the NCs can be tuned from nanoplates to nanorods to nanosphere by simply changing the NaF/R-oleate ratio or OA/ODE ratio. This method was further modified to synthesize the OA-coated $\beta$-NaYF$_4$ nanocrystals, where methanol solutions of NaOH and NH$_4$F were added to the homogeneous solution of RCl$_3$, OA and ODE [64, 65]. Haase et al. reported the synthesis of smaller UCNPs (5 to 30 nm), using methanol assisted N-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine (HEEDA) solutions of Na$^+$, R$^{3+}$ and fluorides. The reaction was carried out at a low temperature (180-200 °C) in an oxygen free environment [66, 67].
Hydro(solvo)thermal synthesis

Hydro (solvo) thermal reactions are performed in a sealed environment under high pressure and a temperature above the critical point of the solvent, whereas the traditional solvent method is limited by the boiling point. This important feature makes the solvothermal method more flexible. Special types of reaction vessels called Teflon-lined autoclaves are used for these kinds of sealed reactions. Even though targeted materials with different shapes can be prepared by the organic additive free solvothermal method, the addition of organic additives provides the most efficient way for achieving the precise and gradual evolution of morphology [68-70]. Additives used are either hydrophilic such as citrate, EDTA, PVP, CTAB and PEI or hydrophobic such as OA [3, 71-75].

In addition to the methods described, there are others, e.g. the sol-gel method which usually requires a long heating time of up to a few days, while the combustion method takes a few minutes, but the required temperature for the reaction is relatively high from 500 to 3000 °C.

2.1.4 Upconversion quantum yield and power dependence

Upconversion quantum yield (UCQY)

The quantum yield (QY) is a direct measure of the efficiency of the conversion of absorbed light into emitted light [76]. In the case of an upconversion, the QY is the ratio of the number of upconverted photons and the number of absorbed photons. The upconversion quantum yield (UCQY) gives a measure of the optical performance of UC materials. The product of the UCQY and the absorption coefficient (ε) at the excitation wavelength gives the brightness of the fluorescent material and determines the analytical performance of the material. The UCQY can be calculated using the following equation:[2]

\[ UCQY = \frac{\text{photon emitted}}{\text{photon absorbed}} \]

\[ \propto \frac{\text{emitted UC intensity}}{\text{absorbed excitation light}} = \frac{l_{UC}}{\varepsilon P} \]

where, \( P \) is the IR excitation power. The UCQY of the UCNPs depends on the power density; hence, it is important to determine the excitation power for the QY measurement. Usually, nanomaterials show lower QYs than their bulk counterparts. This is because of the higher surface related quenching in the nano-sized materials [76]. An integrating-sphere setup is generally used for the UCQY measurement [77].
The UCQY of a few UCNPs with their particle size and excitation power are listed in table 2. The highest QYs recorded for Er and Tm doped UCNPs are 3.6\% and 7.6\%, respectively, under an excitation power density of 127 W cm\(^{-2}\) [78]. It should be noted that for a high QY, a high excitation power is used, which is not suitable for \textit{in vivo} bioapplications.

\textbf{Table 2}. Typical QYs of UCNPs along with particle size and power density. All values have been measured at a 980 nm NIR excitation unless otherwise noted [76].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCNPs</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Power density (W cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>QY (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Er</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Er@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiYF(_4):Er</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150 (1490 nm)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaLuF(_3):Yb,Tm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYbF(_3):Tm@CaF(_2)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Er</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Er@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Tm@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaGdF(_4):Yb,Er@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Tm</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaYF(_4):Yb,Tm@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaGdF(_4):Er@NaYF(_4)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18 (1523 nm)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaScF(_4):Yb,Er</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiLuF(_4):Yb,Er</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiLuF(_4):Yb,Er@LiLuF(_4)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiLuF(_4):Yb,Tm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiLuF(_4):Yb,Tm@LiLuF(_4)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Power dependence of UC luminescence intensity}

In the upconversion mechanism, the upconversion intensity \((I_{UC})\) is related to the IR excitation power \((P)\) by the following equation.

\[ I_{UC} \propto P^n \]
where, \( n \) is the number of IR photons absorbed per visible photon emitted, and calculated from the slope of the fitted line of the plot \( \log (I_{UC}) \) versus \( \log (P) \). It was observed that the UC luminescence intensity increases with the excitation power, under lower excitation density. After the maximum, the slope of the upconversion luminescence intensity versus pump power decreases, indicating that the intensity of the upconversion luminescence saturates with the higher pump power [79]. A study of the power dependent behaviour of the upconversion intensity in \( Y_2O_3:Ho/Yb \) nanoparticles shows an increase in the intensity at power densities lower than 1 W/mm\(^2\). At 1 W/mm\(^2\), the UC intensity reaches its maximum and then continues to decrease with increasing power density [80]. Bai et al. correlate this behaviour to thermal quenching. According to them, at high excitation densities the strong absorption leads to an increase in the sample temperature at the irradiated area, which results in the thermal quenching of the UC luminescence [81].

2.1.5 Tuning of upconversion multicolour emissions

The ability of lanthanide doped UCNPs to emit at different wavelengths is particularly important in multiplexed bioimaging and multiplexed assays for the real-time tracking of multiple targets [82, 83] and parallel detection of multiple analytes [84, 85]. The emission colour tuning of UCNPs has been implemented by different strategies, a few of them are discussed here.

Using different combinations of lanthanide dopants and controlling their concentrations

Lanthanide dopants have their own set of energy levels, which emit at different wavelengths, covering a broad spectrum starting from UV light, extending through the entire region of visible light into the mid-IR region. Depending on the type of lanthanides and their combinations, the emission colour output can be tuned. The commonly used activators i.e. \( Er^{3+} \), \( Tm^{3+} \) and \( Ho^{3+} \) in combination with a \( Yb^{3+} \) sensitizer emit at different wavelengths giving a multicolour output. \( Er^{3+} \) doped UCNPs have 3 distinct emission bands, 2 green (525 and 545 nm) and one red (660 nm), while \( Ho^{3+} \) ions have one green (541 nm) and one red (647 nm) emission. In case of \( Tm^{3+} \) ions, along with the major 800 nm NIR emission, another three UC emission bands are observed at around 475, 450 (blue) and 365 nm (violet). The choice of activators or different lanthanide combinations is a straight forward approach for multicolour emission tuning, e.g. colloidal suspensions of Yb-Er and Yb-Tm codoped NaYF\(_4\) nanoparticles give strong yellow and blue emissions, respectively [26]. A series of multicolour upconversion nanocrystals (NaYbF\(_4\):Tm (blue), NaYbF\(_4\):Ho (green), NaYbF\(_4\):Er (green and red) and NaYF\(_4\):Yb (magenta)) was also reported by Ehlert et al.[52].

Efficient energy transfer between the sensitizer and the activator is a key factor in producing highly intense multicolour emissions. This energy transfer is more efficient if
the distance between the sensitizer and the activator is short; logically transfer improves when the activator concentration increases [86], but an excess of activators also leads to concentration quenching caused by non-radiative cross relaxation between adjacent activator ions [50, 87]. For example, in Yb-Er co-doped UCNPs, low Yb\(^{3+}\) doping favours the green emission, while an increase in the Yb\(^{3+}\) concentration enhances the \(4F_{9/2} \rightarrow 4I_{15/2}\) transition resulting in stronger red emission, which generates a yellow colour output [88]. Same trend was observed for elevated Er\(^{3+}\) concentration doping. The enhanced red emission was attributed to the cross-relaxation of \(4F_{7/2} + 4I_{11/2} \rightarrow 4F_{9/2} + 4F_{9/2}\) [89]. In \(\alpha\)-NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Tm nanoparticles, the increased Tm/Yb doping favors the \(1G_4 + 3F_4 \rightarrow 3H_4 + 3F_2\) cross-relaxation, which results in to the dominant 800 nm emission [50], while in \(\beta\)-NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Tm nanoparticles the decrease in Tm\(^{3+}\) concentration shows enhancement in the emissions from the \(1D_2\) energy state over the \(1G_4\) energy state [90]. High Yb\(^{3+}\) doping populates the high-lying state, favouring the 4 photon over the 3 photon processes. In addition to the cross-relaxation, energy back transfer from the activator to the sensitizer also plays an important role in highly doped UCNPs. High Yb\(^{3+}\) doping decreases interatomic distances, which facilitates EBT and results in an enhanced red emission [50].

The appropriate selection of lanthanides, their combinations and doping concentrations generates a library of emission spectra, which has a wide range of applications including multiplexing.

**Tuning the host matrix**

The composition of the host material was discussed in section 2.1.1. An important function of the host matrix is to support the luminescent lanthanide ions. Besides the role of adoption, different host matrices may create various spatial distances, coordination numbers and energy transfer efficiencies and thus affect the UC emission. The luminescence intensity is sensitive towards changes in the host lattice. In addition, the different phonon energies of different hosts are also responsible for non-radiative relaxations through lattice vibrations.

The effect of the host matrix on the sensitivity of the UC emission in Yb\(^{3+}\)-Er\(^{3+}\) doped upconverting particles was investigated by Soukka et al. 2005 [91]. They observed a enhanced red to green emission ratio in oxides and oxychlorides compared to oxysulfides, fluorides and fluoride double salts. The Sc\(^{3+}\) ion-based NaScF\(_4\):Yb/Er and KSc\(_2\)F\(_7\):Yb/Er nanocrystals show the dominated red emission over the other visible emissions [92, 93]. In the orthorhombic crystal structure of Er\(^{3+}\) activated KYb\(_2\)F\(_7\) nanocrystals, the rare earth ions are uniquely distributed in an array of tetrad clusters. This arrangement preserves the excitation energy in a sublattice domain and enhances the four photon process [94]. Like the visible emissions, also the UV emission of Tm\(^{3+}\) ions depends on the host matrix. For example, an intense UV emission can be obtained with Li or Gd doped NaYF\(_4\) crystals [95, 96]. In addition, different phase structures of the NaYF\(_4\) crystals i.e. cubic and hexagonal forms, also result in different colour outputs [60].
**Introducing extraneous energy levels**

Multicolour emissions originate from the different energy levels of the doped rare earths. For an intense emission, lanthanides or transition metal ions with abundant energy levels are usually used. They interact with each other and control the population of high-lying energy states. Various energy transfer pathways can be responsible for populating different energy states, such as simple upward energy transitions, cross relaxations and energy transfer from neighbouring dopants. The emission output can be tuned by doping different activators together. For instance, in NaYbF₄ based UCNPs, several activator combinations have been proposed e.g. Er-Tm, Er-Ho and Tm-Ho, where the emission output was tuned by simply changing their doping ratios [97]. In NaYF₄ based UCNPs, for the Yb-Er-Tm tridoped system, the increase in the Er doping tuned the emission output from blue to white [50], while in the Yb-Ce-Ho tridoping, the increased Ce doping concentration changed the emission colour from green to red [98]. Doping with multiple activators tailors the original emissions by introducing new emission bands.

In addition to multiple lanthanide activators, several transition metals such as Mn²⁺ and Mo³⁺ have been recently incorporated into UCNPs. The unique ⁴T₁ state of Mn²⁺ makes it a popular transition metal for tailoring the UC emission. The energy levels of Mn²⁺ lie at such a position in the KMnF₃:Yb,Er and KMnF₃:Yb,Ho and KMnF₃:Yb,Tm nanoparticles that the spectrally pure red emission from the Er³⁺ (⁴F₉/₂ → ⁴I₁₅/₂) and Ho³⁺ (⁵F₅ → ⁵I₈) doped particles and the NIR emission from the Tm³⁺ (³H₄ → ³H₆) doped particles are possible [99, 100].

**Luminescence resonance energy transfer**

Energy transfers from the excited donor species to the nearby acceptor species either radiatively or non-radiatively. The energy transfer between two singlet fluorophores is called fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), while luminescence resonance energy transfer (LRET) involves emission from lanthanides, which arises from 4f-4f transitions [101]. In LRET, after NIR irradiation, excited luminescent lanthanide doped nanoparticles transfer energy to nearby absorbing species (energy acceptors), which might be fluorescent or photosensitizing agents e.g fluorescent dyes, quantum dots or noble metals [2]. The UC emission from UCNPs can be absorbed by the spectrally matched energy acceptors.

UCNPs are popular donors in the resonance energy transfer process because of their sharp emissions, long luminescence lifetime and large anti-Stokes shift. Different colour emissions can be generated by selecting different energy acceptors in combination with the UCNPs donors e.g. different concentrations of gold NPs can be used in combination with NaYF₄:Yb,Er to tune the green emission from Er³⁺[8]. LRET from UCNPs to organic acceptor dyes, including fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC) encapsulated into the surface coating outside of the UCNPs was successfully used to generate a multicolour emission [102].


2.1.6 Architecting UCNPs for high emission intensities

The synthesis of UCNPs with enhanced UC luminescence has always been an interesting and challenging topic of research, which is fuelled by the potential applications of UCNPs in many research fields. The advantages of UCNPs over fluorescent dyes and quantum dots, make them superior bioimaging tools. However, the low brightness levels of the UCNPs still limit their applicability. Thus studies on enhancing the UC emission to achieve the exceptionally high sensitivity required in diagnostics are necessary [103]. Several efforts have been made to achieve an intense UC emission, for instance, tuning of the local crystal field, plasmonic enhancement, architecting nanoparticle structure and prevention of surface quenching.

Tailoring the crystal field

$4f$-$4f$ intra-configurational transitions are parity-forbidden in lanthanides, but they become partially allowed when $4f$ states are intermixed with higher electronic configurations by embedding them in an inorganic lattice. Once the host lattice is fixed it is difficult to change the crystal field around the lanthanide ions. One possible way of tailoring the crystal field around lanthanides is the intentional doping of optically inert ions, usually cations with different sizes and valence states are considered [5, 104]. These doped non-luminescent ions occupy both the substitution as well as interstitial positions in the crystal lattice. Substituted ions disturb the local symmetry and change the crystal field, which results in an enhanced UC efficiency. Such a tailoring process changes the spatial distances in the dopant ions and generates different energy transition probabilities.

Several alkali ions and transition metals are used for the regulation of crystal fields e.g. Li$^+$ ions are most frequently used for this purpose as they have a small ionic radius and are located randomly at the crystal sites. The Li$^+$ doping successfully enhances the UC efficiency in oxide based UCNPs by two orders of magnitudes [5, 105, 106]. The tailoring of the crystal field has been confirmed by shifts in the diffraction peaks. Along with Li$^+$, there are reports of UC enhancement by doping K$^+$ in NaYF$_4$:Yb,Er nanocrystals [107]. The different ionic radii of Li$^+$ and K$^+$, compared to Na$^+$, affect the crystal structure, the spatial distance between luminescent centers and subsequently the UC emission. In Gd$_2$O$_3$:Yb,Tm nanocrystals, up to 10-fold enhancement in the blue emission was observed with a 6% Li$^+$ co-doping [108].

Besides alkali ions, transition metals such as Fe$^{3+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Bi$^{3+}$ have also been used to tune the local crystal field, e.g. doping Fe$^{3+}$ into $\beta$-NaGdF$_4$:Yb,Er nanocrystals enhances the green and red emissions [109], while increasing the Bi$^{3+}$ concentration in Zn$_2$SiO$_2$, lowers the local symmetry and enhances the UC intensity up to 20 fold [110]. In addition, also a few post-transition metals, such as Sn$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$, have been successfully used for crystal field tailoring [111, 112]. Even though the UC luminescence is remarkably enhanced by doping with nonluminescent ions, evidence for crystal field
tailoring is lacking. Extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopic investigation of the lanthanide environment can be useful for that purpose.

**Nanoparticle size and structure**

The optical properties of UCNPs can be modified by manipulating their particle size and structure [113, 114]. A small nanoparticle size can lead to a number of desirable properties: small particles can have good colloidal stability and be easy to process, which makes them very useful in the fields of biology and energy [2, 115]. The reduced particle size of the UCNPs can alter the lifetime of the intermediate states of the doped ions [57, 116]. Compared with bulk materials, the doped rare earths in the nanoscopic region can lead to the generation of new types of photoemission [94]. Even though the upconversion mechanism is same in bulk and nanoscale materials, the ion-ion and ion-lattice interactions can be tuned in various degrees in the nanomaterials. Capobianco et al. studied the size dependent UC emission properties in Y2O3:Yb,Er nanoparticles and observed the enhanced red emission with a decrease in particle size [88]. The luminescence intensity ratio of the different emissions can also be tuned by varying the particle size. For example, the red-to-green emission intensity ratio can be increased by decreasing particle size from 45 nm to 6 nm [116]. However, smaller nanoparticles possess a higher surface to volume ratio and are more susceptible to surface quenching compared to larger ones [117]. The surface quenching can be minimized by core shell formation.

Apart from size, the structure of the nanoparticle also has profound effect on the UC properties. For example, in NaYF4 particles, the hexagonal phase nanocrystals (β-NaYF4) show a higher UC efficiency than their cubic counterparts [32, 118]. The morphological influence on the UC emission was reported by Ding et al.[119]. In their experiments, the β-NaYF4:Yb,Er NPs with six different morphologies (microprisms, microtubes, microsphere, microrods, micro-pyramids and microplates) were synthesized by a hydrothermal process. Among all of the morphologies, the microprisms showed the highest UC emission intensity. This significant UC enhancement in the microprisms was due to the smallest surface area and high crystallinity without visible defects and dislocations.

**Constructing core/shell structure**

The UC efficiency decreases with particles size which is due to the high surface to volume ratio at the nanometer dimensions. The lanthanides in the UCNPs are subjected to many surface deactivations like surface defects, lattice strain or surface ligands with high-energy vibrational modes [116]. The most often used high sensitizer concentration (Yb3+) leads to long distance energy migration from the center to the surface of the particle through adjacent dopant ions and decreases the UC efficiency. A typical way of reducing these energy losses is by coating the surface of the UCNPs [120]. There are two types of core/shell structures commonly used for UC luminescence enhancement.
Shell without dopants: This is a simple and very effective way to minimise surface related quenching. In this kind of core shell, the shell and the host material of the core particles are identical (Figure 4b). The enhancement in the UC intensity by the formation of an undoped core on the NaYF₄ core particle was demonstrated by Yi and co-workers [121]. Their conclusions were later verified by different reports; e.g. it was observed that NaYF₄:Yb,Er@NaYF₄ UCNPs show a three times higher quantum yield than that of core NaYF₄:Yb,Er particles [122]. A notable UC enhancement has also been observed in NaGdF₄:Yb,Er@NaGdF₄ [123], SrF₂:Yb,Er@SrF₂ [124], KGdF₄:Yb,Tm@KGdF₄ [125] etc. It has been demonstrated that the un-doped core shell structure reduces surface quenching and improves the UC emission efficiency. As the shell is made up of same composition as core, it is difficult to show direct evidence of their existence. The core/shell formation is often confirmed by enhanced emissions, increased particle size or decay of the UC luminescence. There are also reports of UC enhancement by having different shell composition than those of the core host e.g. core/shell NaYF₄:Yb,Tm/Er@NaGdF₄, where the doped Gd in the shell allows MRI properties [126, 127]. The efficient generation of NIR to UV enhancement from α-NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@CaF₂ is also one of the recent examples [54].

Shell with dopants: Like the shell without a dopant, the sole role of a shell with dopant UCNPs is to enhance the UC luminescence. The only difference is that the shell contains optically active centres (mostly sensitizer, Figure 4c). This strategy has three important roles; i) lanthanides in the shell interact with the luminescence centres in the core, resulting in the enhancement of the luminescence, ii) surface related quenching is reduced and iii) multicolour emissions can be generated with a different activator doped shell. Significant enhancement in the visible UC and the suppression of surface related deactivation was obtained by synthesizing NaGdF₄:Yb,Er@NaGdF₄::Yb active core/active shell nanoparticles [120]. Along with an increased UC intensity, the multicolour emission was also tuned by Zhang et al. who created the core-shell-shell structure by doping two different activators i.e. β-NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@β-NaYF₄:Yb,Er@β-NaYF₄:Yb,Tm [128].
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**Figure 4.** Schematic illustration of the a) core UCNPs (NaYF₄:Yb,Tm) b) shell without dopant (NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@NaYF₄), c) shell with dopant (NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@NaYbF₄), strategies for enhancing luminescence.
Plasmonic enhancement

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) is the resonant oscillation of electrons at the interface of metallic structure stimulated by the incident light of a specific wavelength [129, 130]. The fluorescence enhancement of the adjacent fluorophore (dyes or QDs) by the SPR of a metallic structure is already known, and it can be used, in the same way, for UCNPs, when the distance between the fluorophore and the metallic surface is appropriate. Mostly, noble metals (Ag and Au) are used for this purpose. The interaction between the UCNP and the metallic surface can be investigated in three ways: i) Deposition of the UCNPs on the metallic surface, such as a gold island [131], metal nanoparticles [132] or a 3D plasmonic antenna [133]. This method can enhance the luminescence of the UCNPs upto ~300 fold. The most challenging part of this method is to maintain the distance between the UCNP and the metallic surface. ii) Attachment of metallic nanoparticles to the UCNP surface. After the surface modification of the UCNPs, the water dispersible UCNPs carrying the positive charge attract the negatively charged metallic nanoparticles towards their surfaces [134]. The NaYF₄:Yb,Er NPs attached with gold nanospheres shows a 3.8 fold enhancement in the UC [135]. iii) Core/shell formation of UCNP/silica/metal or metal/ silica/UCNP [136]. The distance between the UCNP and the metallic surface can be controlled by changing the thickness of the spacer silica layer. The core/shell approach enhances the upconversion luminescence by 4-10 folds.

2.2 Surface engineering

Nowadays, UCNPs have garnered more attention as biomarkers in biolabeling experiments due to their numerous potential advantages over the biolabels currently used. In order to use UCNPs in biological applications, their surfaces need to be modified post synthesis. UCNPs as such are hydrophobic and are only dispersible in nonpolar organic solvents. Thus, the post-synthesis surface engineering of UCNPs is important for a) their protection from surface related quenching, b) functionalization for allowing different chemical functionalities to bind on the surface as well as transfer the hydrophobic UCNPs to the hydrophilic phase, c) conjugation with biomolecules and d) for biocompatibility [137].

2.2.1 Surface functionalization

For the suppression of surface related deactivation and to make the UCNPs water dispersible and chemically functional, several strategies have been used such as a ligand oxidation reaction, ligand exchange, encapsulation of UCNPs with a polymer shell (ligand interaction) and surface silanization.
In the ligand oxidation reactions, the oleic acid (OA) ligands, which contain unsaturated bonds (-C=C-), on the UCNP surface can be directly oxidised into hydrophilic azelaic acids (HOOC(CH₂)₇COOH) by using the Lemieux-von Rudloff reagent containing periodate and permanganate (Figure 5a) [138]. The OA ligand can also be oxidised into azelaic acid or azelaic aldehyde using a strong oxidant and readily available ozone [139]. The advantage of this method is that the oxidised azelaic acid on the UCNP surface has one free carboxylic acid group, which allows further conjugations. Drawbacks of the ligand oxidation method are a long reaction time and low yield [140, 141].

Ligand exchange is another effective approach for replacing the hydrophobic surfactants by a hydrophilic phase (Figure 5b). UCNPs are commonly capped by OA or oleylamine (OM) ligands, which bind to the lanthanides by carboxylic (-COOH) or amino (-NH₂) groups, respectively. The efficient exchange of the OA ligand is made possible by using hydrophilic ligands, which have a strong coordination ability towards the lanthanides, for example, poly(acrylic acid) [126, 142], poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) [143], mercapto succinic acid (MSA) [144] and mercaptopropionic acid (MPA) [145]. In case of a weakly bound OM, ligands like poly(ethylene imine) PEI [146] and PEG-diacid [147] can be used. Even though the process is simple, the weak interaction of the surface ligands with nanoparticles makes it suffer from poor colloidal stability in physiological conditions [148]. Recently, an exceptionally simple strategy for the preparation of DNA-modified UCNPs was reported by Li et al, based on the ligand exchange at the liquid-liquid interface [149]. In their report, hydrophobic UCNPs were converted into hydrophilic DNA-UCNPs, with just mixing UCNPs and oligonucleotides together, without any surface modification.

An amphiphilic polymer layer is used for UCNPs to provide water solubility. The hydrophobic part of the amphiphilic polymers reacts with the hydrophobic surface ligands of the particles due to van der Waals interactions leaving the hydrophilic group of the polymer outside making the nanoparticles water-dispersible (Figure 5c). The amphiphilic polymers used for this purpose include (OA-PAA-PEG) [150] and poly(maleic anhydride-alt-1-octadecene) (PMAO) [151]. Even though the amphiphilic capping keeps the UCNPs dispersed in water for several days, these polymers become detached from the particle surface in a few hours when dispersed in physiological buffers. The irreversible detachment might come from the presence of salt in the buffer. Zhang et al. recently reported a click chemistry route for forming functionalizable hydrophilic nanocrystals [152].
Figure 5. Schematic illustration of the strategies for solubilization and functionalization of UCNPs: a) Oxidation of an oleic acid ligand with the Lemieux-von Rudloff reagent, b) ligand exchange reaction of oleate coated UCNPs with PEG-phosphate, c) ligand interaction and d) silica-encapsulation (modified from Sedlmeier et al., [137]).
Recently, Beyazit et al. developed a new strategy for coating UCNPs with a polymer shell (polyhydroxyethyl methacrylate) using the UCNPs themselves as an internal light source [9]. In their experiments, the ultraviolet or visible light emitting UCNPs were mixed together with the initiators (whose absorption wavelength overlapped with the emission wavelength of the UCNPs) and functional monomers. After 980 nm excitation, the UV or visible emissions of the particles initiate the photopolymerization and form a thin polymer shell around the particles. By incorporating different functional monomers in to the shell, the method provides possibilities for large surface chemistries for functionalization.

The most frequently used method for inorganic surface treatment is surface silanization (Figure 5d) [153-155]. Silica is regarded as highly stable, biocompatible and optically transparent. The silanization method offers abundant functional groups (e.g. -SH, -NH₂, -COOH, etc.) for the further conjugation of biomolecules. The Stöber method is the most commonly used method for silica coating [156]. In this method, UCNPs with hydrophilic surfaces are mixed with an excess of water containing ethanol, ammonia and tetraethyl silicate (TEOS). A thin, uniform silica shell can be obtained by this method [157]. In case of hydrophobic UCNPs the reverse microemulsion strategy is preferred [158]. The method is based on a mixture of UCNPs, an acid or base catalyst (mostly NH₄OH), surfactants (e.g. Igepal CO520) and TEOS [159, 160].

2.2.2 Bioconjugation

The surface modification of the UCNPs makes the particles compatible with water. However, bioconjugation of the UCNPs means that a variety of functional biomolecules can be attached to the UCNP surface, which is required for their use in bioanalytical applications. Basically, the interaction of biomolecules and the UCNP surface involve two methods a) the physisorption of biomolecules by noncovalent interactions and b) covalent coupling.

Physisorption is a very simple method where biomolecules are attached to the nanoparticle surface by noncovalent forces [144]. The main advantage of this method is that the quantum yield of the UCNPs and the reactivity of the protein do not change after bioconjugation. Disadvantages include non-specific binding and limited stability of the conjugates in the assay and under storage conditions. The physisorption can be further modified by using cross-linkers, which bind to the UCNP surface by noncovalent forces and form conjugates with the biomolecules through chemical coupling. Streptavidin or toxic proteins can be bound using cross-linkers [161, 162].

Covalent conjugation is the more favoured method and involves the chemical reaction between the functional groups on the UCNP surface and the biomolecules. In surface modification, many functional groups (e.g. -NH₂, -COOH or maleimide) are introduced to the nanoparticle surface. This creates covalent binding sites for biomolecules, e.g. the carboxylic acid groups on the UCNP surfaces are activated by EDC and N-
hydroxysulfoescinimide sodium salt (sulfo-NHS), which can react with the –NH₂ group of the biomolecules and form a stable amide bond [163]. Similarly, the reaction between the thiol and maleimide groups produces a stable thio-ether bond while aldehyde (derived from the oxidation of a carbohydrate) and a hydrazide group form a hydrazide bond.

Click chemistry is another useful strategy for conjugation, which involves the Cu⁺-catalysed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azido group to the terminal alkyne group [164-166].

2.3 (Bio)Analytical applications

Due to high sensitivity and fast detection, fluorescence based bioanalytical measurements are widely used in many fields such as bioimaging, physiological process monitoring and chemical analyses [167, 168]. Due to the unique NIR excitation, lanthanide based UCNPs are emerging as a new class of optical probes for bioimaging, and hold great potential for overcoming the drawbacks associated with organic dyes, fluorescent proteins and QDs [169]. Besides bioimaging, UCNPs find promising applications in diverse applications; for example, solid-state lasers [33], optical data storage [170], solar energy conversion [171-173] and photodynamic therapy [2, 174]. Since the application of UCNPs is a wide topic, only a small part of it is discussed here.

2.3.1 Luminescent sensors

Temperature sensor: Accurate temperature measurements are important in many cellular processes. For examples, the gleaning of temperature changes in a single living cell leads to novel insights about cellular pathology and physiology [175, 176]. Aside from the conventional thermometry, where an electric wiring is required, an alternative methods for temperature sensing using fluorescent nanoparticles, especially lanthanide based fluorescent materials have attracted much attention [177, 178]. The ability of UCNPs to emit at higher energies after NIR excitation and their minimal interactions with the cellular material makes them biocompatible temperature probes. The intensity ratio of the emissions at 520 nm (⁴S₃/2 → ⁴I₁₅/₂ transition) and 550 nm (²H₁₁/₂ → ⁴I₁₅/₂ transition) of Er doped UCNPs is sensitive to temperature changes, for example, at low temperature, the intensity of 520 nm is higher than the 550 nm emission, but this inverts when the temperature is higher [179, 180]. In addition to this, the temperature sensing using UCNPs size, dopant concentration and core/shell structure is also reported where core/shell NaYF₄:20%Yb,2%Er UCNPs are found to be more suitable [181]. Recently, a low temperature sensor based on the ⁴D₇/₂/⁴G₉/₂ → ⁴I₁₅/₂ transitions (UV emission) in Yb-Er codoped NaLuF₄ nanocrystals was also reported [182].
Gas sensor: Oxygen and other gases are essential for almost all living systems. The determination of the oxygen, carbon dioxide and ammonia concentrations in gaseous and aqueous samples is of great significance in many research areas [183, 184]. UCNP based gas sensors are extensively used because of their outstanding properties. Achatz et al. were the first to present an UCNP based oxygen sensor, where NaYF₄:Yb,Tm NPs were used as the light source, and iridium (III) complexes as the oxygen indicator [185]. Although, UCNP emissions are inert to the oxygen, the fluorescence produced by the iridium (III) complex due to LRET/FRET from UCNPs is dynamically quenched by oxygen. A bi-functional nanocomposite sensor, based on NaYF₄:Yb,Tm NPs and a Ru(III) complex doped SiO₂ shell was also developed for precise detection of oxygen concentration [186]. In addition to this, concentrations of other gases like carbon dioxide and ammonia can also be estimated using UCNPs. NaYF₄:Yb,Er NPs in combination with bromothymol blue and phenol red were successfully used for sensing CO₂ and ammonia, respectively [187, 188].

pH sensor: pH monitoring is an important task in many research fields, such as biotechnology, environmental sciences and medicine, the development of sensitive pH sensors is of great importance [189]. Optical sensors are based on changes in optical properties with a changing pH. A pH sensor using NaYF₄:Yb,Er NPs and bromothymol blue (BTB) was constructed by Sun et al for studying deeper regions in tissues, where the absorption spectra of BTB changes with pH and also partially overlap with the visible emissions of the UCNPs [190]. UCNP based pH sensors allow the use of NIR light for photoexcitation. The changes in the visible emission intensities were monitored. Very recently, background free pH sensing with a simple readout technique was reported which includes a pH sensing film made up of UCNPs and a pH indicator and a colour camera read-out [191]. The simple, hand-held and cheaper sensor setup allows its use in point-of-care measurements. The advantages of using fluorescent pH sensors include highly sensitive, remote measurements without a reference element enabling contactless sensing. Such methods can be applicable even in strong magnetic fields.

Ion sensor: The most toxic ions such as cyanide ions (CN⁻) or mercuric ions (Hg²⁺) cause serious environmental and health problems. Therefore, the selective detection and quantification of these ions has received much interest. As was discussed above, UCNPs have several advantages, which make them popular tools for biosensing. As, the UCNPs show inert response to the metal ions, they are usually used in combination with the suitable organic indicators. Emissions from the UCNPs are used as the excitation source for the dye molecules via the FRET/LRET process; the fluorescence intensity from the dye molecules is then sensitive to the metal ion concentration. In separate reports, Li et al. presented the chromophoric iridium(III) complex- and ruthenium complex- coated NaYF₄:Yb,Er,Tm UCNPs for CN⁻ and Hg²⁺ ion sensing respectively [192, 193].

In addition to the sensing applications listed above, UCNP emissions can also be used for monitoring enzymatic reactions. For instance, Wilhelm et al. demonstrated the visible (475 nm) and UV (360 nm) emissions from Tm doped UCNPs for the detection of FAD
(Flavin adenine dinucleotide) and NADH (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), which are involved in many enzymatic reactions [194].

2.3.2 Bioaffinity assays

A bioaffinity assay is an analytical tool for measuring the presence or concentration of biomolecules. It plays an important role in point-of-care tests. Luminescence based bioassays are more convenient compared to traditional enzyme based assays because of their high sensitivity and fast measurements [195-197]. UCNPs with desired properties, such as no background signals and inertness for the assay environment make them popular among all of the luminescent bioprobes. Bioassays are divided into two categories depending on the measurement format a) heterogeneous assay and b) homogeneous assay.

**Heterogeneous assay:** Heterogeneous assays utilize a capture molecule, which is immobilized on the substrate with a high binding affinity for the analyte and the labels for the detection signals [76]. The heterogeneous assay requires multiple washing steps to separate the unbound species before the final measurement step. They are further classified into sandwich or noncompetitive assay and competitive assay. In the sandwich assay, first the capture molecule is immobilized on the substrate, and then the analytes are allowed to conjugate to the captures, followed by a washing step to remove the unreacted species and to avoid a negative effect on the measurement. After washing, the UCNP-labelled capture molecule is added which binds to the analyte. After washing off the excess UCNP-labeled captures, the luminescence measurement gives the concentration of the bound labeled UCNPs which is proportional to the analyte (Figure 6a). In the competitive assay, the competition between the analyte and the UCNP-labeled analyte to anchor on the immobilized capture molecule is used to determine the concentration of the analyte which is inversely proportional to the UCNP signal (Figure 6b). Heterogeneous assays are usually more sensitive compared to separation free homogeneous assays.
Figure 6. Schematic illustration of typical heterogeneous assays based on upconverting nanoparticles a) sandwich assay and b) competitive assay (modified from Zheng et al.,[76]).

The upconversion luminescence based bioassay was first reported by Zijlmans et al. for the detection of prostate-specific antigens [198]. Since then, many efforts have been made to use UCNPs in different heterogeneous assay techniques such as DNA microarrays, lateral flow assays, microplate assays etc. DNA microarrays using biotinylated $Y_2O_2S:Yb,Er$ for the detection of nucleic acid hybrids gave a better LOD score than the conventionally used probe cyanin 5 [28]. UC microbarcodes based on $NaYF_4:Yb,Ho,Tm$ UCNPs in combination with organic dyes can be used for rapid and sensitive analysis of the nucleic acids [199]. A lateral flow (LF) immunoassay is another form of a heterogeneous assay which is more popular due to its suitability for the field use or in point-of-care testing [200]. The simple pregnancy test is a well-known example of this assay. The LF test strip consists of several zones made of different materials (Figure 7). The sample is added on the sample pad which moves through the rest of the strip by capillary action. The applied sample first passes through the conjugate pad to bind with the coloured labels for visual readout. The sample then moves over the nitrocellulose membrane with one or more test lines which contain antibodies specific to the target analytes. One additional control line in order to confirm the correct assay performance is also marked at the end of test lines. LF assays of either the competitive or sandwich type have been used for the detection of nucleic acids, pathogenic microorganisms, cytokines etc.[201-210]. LF assays based on UC were used for the simultaneous detection of amphetamine, methamphetamine, phencyclidine and opiates in saliva [211].
Homogeneous assay: The basic principle of the homogeneous assay relies on energy transfer from an energy donor (UCNPs) to a nearby acceptor through dipole-dipole interactions as an indication of a binding event [213, 214]. The acceptor mostly has a high absorption coefficient at a wavelength which can be matched with the emission wavelength of the donor. Example of acceptors include, organic dyes, fluorescent proteins, QDs, carbon nanotubes, graphene and graphene oxides [140, 215-219]. The important conditions for this type of assays are i) the overlapping of the absorption spectra of the acceptor and the emission spectra of the donor as well as ii) the close proximity of the acceptor and the donor [220]. The emission from the donor excites the acceptor molecules via fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) or lanthanide resonance energy transfer (LRET). Förster (1948) first discovered the resonance energy transfer (RET) in fluorophores, which was then applied for lanthanides, termed as LRET. The efficiency of the LRET process is distance dependent and becomes inefficient for the donor and acceptor with more than a 10 nm distance. The homogeneous assays are separation free, simple, one step, “mix-and-read” assays, for fast detection and automation.

After the introduction of UCNPs into homogeneous assays in 2005 [8], many methods for UC based FRET bioassays have been reported. Kuningas et al. developed a LRET based homogeneous assay using streptavidin coated UCNPs as donors and biotinylated phycobiliprotein as the acceptor [218]. Subsequently, Rantanen et al. reported the design of homogeneous assays for the detection of multiple analytes using multiple emission peaks of the UCNPs [142]. In both of these studies large UCNPs were used. Later, nanosized UCNPs, bound covalently to an oligonucleotide were used as donors in a sandwich assay for the detection of target DNAs with single-base mismatches [221-223].

In UC-FRET based sandwich assays, the donors (UCNPs) and acceptors are attached as labels to the capture molecules, which detect the analytes (Figure 8a). UC-FRETs with a large number of donor-acceptor pairs are known, for instance, human IgG labeled with
UCNPs as the donor and a rabbit anti-goat antibody labelled with Au NPs as the acceptor for the detection of goat anti human IgG, or streptavidin labelled with UCNPs as the donor and DNA-TAMRA as the acceptor in the DNA sensors [140, 224]. UC-FRET can also be performed by the inhibition of the energy transfer process, where the luminescence of the donor UCNPs is quenched by the acceptor dye; the analyte cleaves the linkage and recovers the UCNP emission (Figure 8b). For this purpose, UC quenchers such as manganese dioxide (MnO2), carbon NPs and graphene oxides are frequently used [217] [225].
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**Figure 8.** Schematic illustration of typical homogeneous UC-FRET assays based on upconverting nanoparticles: a) sandwich assay and b) inhibition assay (modified from Zheng et al.,[76]).

### 2.3.3 Multiplexing

Multiplex technology has allowed the simultaneous visualisation of more than one target. It captures several events in a single snapshot, which reduces the amount of reagents, consumables and samples required, also reducing the reaction time and minimizing sample errors [226]. Multiplexed sandwich assays are the most advanced assays among all protein array based assays [227]. Recently, the highly significant optical properties of the upconverting nanoparticles to overcome the limitations of conventional labels, comes into trend [167]. UCNPs offer higher sensitivity for tracing minute amount of samples due to an improved signal-to-noise ratio. In UCNP based multiplexed assays, crosstalk between the excitation and emission light can also be significantly reduced. Multicolour emission of the UCNPs at a single wavelength
excitation (NIR) allows the simultaneous detection of a large number of analytes or analyte concentrations.

The multiplexed assays are divided into planar and suspension microsphere arrays based on the choice of support (Figure 9). In the suspension multiplexed assays, internally dyed microspheres of about 5.3µm diameter are used as a solid support for the covalent coupling of different capture ligands [228]. The analytes bound to the capture ligands are detected by the use of a spectrally compatible reporter fluorophore. For protein identification, microspheres use classifiers such as size or an internal fluorophore. Flow cytometric principles are used to generate the results. In the serological applications, commercially available SeroMAP™ microspheres with 100 different colour sets are used which are formulated to reduce the nonspecific bindings [229]. Magnetic bead-based suspension arrays are also used for multiplexed assays because of its easy separation steps [230]. The advantages of multiplexed suspension assays include improved precision due to multiple independent measurements, shorter incubation time, easy automation and less sample requirement [7]. There are many reports of using bead suspension arrays for the multiplexed detection of the infectious diseases, for example, in the detection of different respiratory viruses [231], identification of gastroenteritis causing viruses [232] and also for the detection of the influenza virus antibody [233].

![Figure 9. Planar and suspension array formats.](image)

In the planar arrays, capture ligands are immobilized on a rigid support (either a slide or a microtiter well), and each recognising a different analyte. The analyte can be detected with fluorescent labels, enzyme labels or by electrochemical detection [234-236]. The arrays are printed in rows and columns using an array printer. The
advantages of planar arrays, such as the requirement of a single label only and simple instrumentation due to a single excitation source, make them the more frequently used technique compared to suspension arrays. Recently, Ylihärsilä et al. developed the UCNP-based oligonucleotide array-in-well assay for the detection of human adenoviruses [158]. Also, a quantitative multianalyte immunoassay utilizing UCNPs on an antibody array-in-well platform was demonstrated by Päkkilä et al. for the PSA, TSH and LH analytes [237]. In both experiments, biotinylated antibodies for multiple analytes were printed in an array format onto the bottom of the well, the analyte dilutions were added to the well and then the performance of the assay was measured by mixing in UCNPs coated with secondary antibodies.
Aims of the Study

3 AIMS OF THE STUDY

The overall aim of the study was to synthesize blue and UV emitting UCNPs with improved brightness and to investigate their applications in clinical diagnostics. As the emission intensity of the UCNPs is highly sensitive to the local environment of the doped lanthanides, different strategies were used to change the symmetry around the lanthanides, such as non-luminescent ion co-doping in the host matrix or excessive sensitizer doping. The optical and morphological properties of the modified, highly luminescent UCNPs were studied with different characterization techniques. The feasibility of the blue and UV emitting UCNPs in point-of-care testing was investigated.

More specifically the aims were:

1. To enhance the blue upconversion luminescence in the hexagonal NaYF$_4$:Yb,Tm by the stepwise doping of non-luminescent ions (K$^+$ or Sc$^{3+}$) in the host lattice and to investigate the effect of this on the size, shape and structure of the crystal and on the luminescence intensity of the UC.

2. To synthesize NaRF$_4$:Tm UCNPs with intense UV emission. For this purpose a high sensitization (Yb$^{3+}$) route was developed. In addition, the concentration of the activator (Tm$^{3+}$) was optimized for obtaining brighter UV emitting UCNPs. The effect of the sensitizer and activator concentrations on the morphological and optical properties of the nanocrystals was also investigated.

3. To demonstrate the feasibility of IR-excited UV-emitting UCNPs as an internal light source in glucose sensing dry chemistry test strips.

4. To develop an array-in-well assay for spectral and spatial multiplexing utilizing upconverting nanophosphor label technology.
4 SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND METHODS

A detailed description of the materials and methods employed in this study can be found in the original publications (I-IV). A brief summary with some additional information is presented here.

4.1 Upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs)
The UCNPs and their emissions used in the original publications (I-IV) are listed in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>UCNPs</th>
<th>UC emissions utilized (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Na$_{(1-x)}$K$_x$YF$<em>4$:Yb,Tm and NaY$</em>{(1-x)}$Sc$_x$F$_4$:Yb,Tm</td>
<td>450, 475 (Tm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Na$_{(1-x)}$K$_x$YF$_4$:Yb,Tm</td>
<td>362 (Tm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>NaYbF$_4$: Tm</td>
<td>346, 365 (Tm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>NaYF$_4$:Yb,Tm and NaYF$_4$:Yb,Er</td>
<td>475 (Tm) and 542 (Er)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.1 Synthesis of UCNPs
All of the UCNPs used in the original publications were synthesized by the modified thermal coprecipitation method [238]. In a typical synthesis of optimized NaYF$_4$: (20%)Yb, (0.5%)Tm NPs, the methanol solutions of rare earth chlorides were added to a flask containing 9 mL oleic acid and 21 mL octadecene. The solution was heated to 160 °C for 40 min to form the rare earth oleate complex and then cooled down to room temperature. Thereafter, 15 mL of a methanol solution of NH$_4$F (4.8 mmol) and NaOH (3 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 30 min without argon to evaporate the methanol and to ensure that all of the fluorides were consumed completely. The solution was subsequently heated to 310 °C under argon for 90 min and cooled down to room temperature. The formed nanoparticles were precipitated by the addition of absolute ethanol to the reaction solution. The solution was then transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 3766 g for 8 min, so that the nanoparticles separated out completely. This washing procedure was repeated 6 times to ensure removal of the reaction surfactant and any NaF impurities that may have formed. Finally, the nanoparticles were re-dispersed in toluene for further experiments.

The Na$_{(1-x)}$K$_x$YF$_4$ (or NaY$_{(1-x)}$Sc$_x$F$_4$) nanocrystals used in publications I and II were synthesized by replacing Na$^+$ (or Y$^{3+}$) with 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mol % of K$^+$ (or Sc$^{3+}$). Whereas in publication III, NaY$_{(1-x)}$Yb$_x$F$_4$ NPs were synthesized by substituting Y$^{3+}$ stepwise with 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mol% of Yb$^{3+}$. In addition, syntheses of NaYbF$_4$ NPs with 0.05, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1 and 5 mol% Tm were also included in publication III. The
NaYF₄: Yb, Er NPs used in publication IV were synthesized using 17 mol% of Yb³⁺ and 3 mol% of Er³⁺.

4.1.2 Characterization of UCNPs

X-ray powder diffraction (XPD)

The structural phase identification of the synthesized materials was carried out by X-ray powder diffraction (XPD) measurements. The patterns were collected with a Huber G670 image plate Guinier camera (2θ range: 4-100°) with copper Kα₁ radiation (λ: 1.5406 Å). The reference patterns were calculated with PowderCell [239] based on the structural data reported [240]. The crystallite size, shape and aspect ratio were estimated from the diffraction data using the Scherrer equation [241] with an instrumental correction from the full width at half maximum (FWHM) determined for a Si reference material (NIST standard 640b):

\[ d = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta^2 = \beta_r^2 - \beta_s^2 \]

In this equation, \( d \) (m) is the mean crystallite size, \( \lambda \) (m) the X-ray wavelength, \( \beta \) (rad) the FWHM of the chosen reflection and \( \theta \) (°) half of the Bragg’s angle (2θ). \( \beta_r \), \( \beta_s \) and \( \beta \) are the reference, sample and corrected FWHM, respectively. The (002) and (200) reflections were used for calculating the length of the hexagonal plates and the width of the hexagonal faces, respectively, thus giving the size and morphology of the crystallites.

Particle size and morphology

The size and morphology of the nanocrystals were examined by transmission electron microscopy (Tecnai12 BioTwin TEM) with an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. The TEM samples were prepared by depositing a drop of the synthesized material dissolved in toluene onto the surface of a carbon coated copper grid and by evaporating the solvent immediately.

Upconversion luminescence and luminescence decay

The UC luminescence spectra of the synthesized materials were recorded at room temperature with a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian Scientific Instruments, Mulgrave, Australia) with a standard R928 red-sensitive photomultiplier (Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan) under the excitation of a 980 nm laser diode module C2021-F1 (Roithner Lasertechnik, Vienna, Austria), with an output power of about 200 mW and a focusing area of approximately 2.4 mm². The laser module was aligned to the excitation light path and the emitted light was collected to an emission monochromator at a 90° angle to the laser beam. The laser module was connected to an external power source and the spectra were collected using the bio/chemiluminescence mode of the fluorescence spectrophotometer from 300 to 850 nm (without any emission filter, i.e. filter setting open) with a 0.75 nm resolution and a 1.5 nm emission slit [91].
The emission decays were measured with a Plate Chameleon fluorometer equipped with a 980 nm laser diode excitation (Hidex Oy, Finland) in the time domain mode at 800, 475 and 365 nm with a 20 µs resolution. The width of the excitation pulse was 5 milliseconds.

**Elemental analysis**

The elemental composition of the nanomaterials, included in the publication I, was measured by an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) Perkin-Elmer Elan 6100 DRC Plus (MDS Sciex, Concord, ON) at Åbo Academy University, Turku, Finland. The samples for the ICP-MS analyses were prepared by digesting the UCNPs in a microwave digestion unit with a mixture of acids. The digested samples were then diluted with deionized water and the elemental compositions of the diluted samples were measured.

The EDX analysis maps with the SEM images were obtained with a LEO 1530 Gemini Scanning electron microscope and a Thermo Scientific UltraDry SDD X-ray detector (SEM-EDX) (III).

### 4.2 Glucose test strip

#### 4.2.1 Materials

The Na_{(1-x)}K_xYF_4:Yb,Tm NPs with x = 40 mol% was found to be an excellent UV emitting (362 nm) material and was used as a UV emission source in the glucose test strip (II). The components used for the dry chemistry test strips are: Sipernat FK 320DS (Evonik Industries AG, Hanau, Germany), poly(acrylic acid, sodium salt) (average molecular weight ~15000 g mol$^{-1}$, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), Gantrez S-97 BF (Ashland, Marl, Germany), Propiofan 70D (BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany), Geropon T77 (Rhodia, Milan, Italy) and ZrO_2 TZ-3YS (Tosoh Corporation, Kaisei-cho, Japan). Carnonicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (cNAD) and the modified glucose dehydrogenase were both produced commercially (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). The supporting plastic film was Bayfol CR210 (125 µm thick, Bayer MaterialScience AG, Leverkusen, Germany).

The samples used in the glucose assay were either glucose in water (anhydrous β-D-glucose, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at concentrations ranging from 0 to 44.4 mM or a pooled sample of heparinized whole blood from multiple voluntary donors spiked with glucose.

**Preparation of a dry chemistry layer**

The structure of the test strip was reported elsewhere [242]. The dry chemistry layer on the test strip is made up of a bottom layer of sensing elements and a top layer of UCNPs. The coating masses were prepared in mQ-water. The mixture was homogenized by
mechanical stirring, sonication and by pressing the mixture through a fine mesh cloth. The composition of the bottom layer was 101.1 g L\(^{-1}\) Sipernat FK 320DS, 8.1 g L\(^{-1}\) polyacrylic acid, 15.3 g L\(^{-1}\) Gantrez, 40.4 g L\(^{-1}\) Propiofan 70D, 1.6 g L\(^{-1}\) Geropon T77, 64.7 g L\(^{-1}\) cNAD and 32.3 g L\(^{-1}\) glucose dehydrogenase with the pH adjusted to 7.5. For the upper layer the UCNP-particles were bound with the Gantrez polymer by first preparing a solution with 20 mg mL\(^{-1}\) Gantrez and 92 mg mL\(^{-1}\) UCNP in methanol. Water was added after dissolving the polymer and pH was adjusted with NaOH while mixing until a homogeneous suspension was formed. Methanol was then removed with rotary evaporation and water was added to obtain the final concentrations of 65 g L\(^{-1}\) Gantrez and 300 g L\(^{-1}\) of UCNP. This mixture was then combined with the rest of the dry layer components at final concentrations of 411.6 g L\(^{-1}\) ZrO\(_2\), 8.7 g L\(^{-1}\) polyacrylic acid, 54.1 g L\(^{-1}\) Propiofan 70D and 1 g L\(^{-1}\) Geropon T77. The coating masses were then spread onto the plastic film using a manual blade coating method. The first layer, containing the enzyme and the indicator, was coated at a blade height of 75 μm and dried in a drying oven at +35 °C for 15 minutes. After this, the second layer containing the UCNP was applied on top of this at a blade height of 100 μm from the film and dried in the same way.

**Figure 10.** The structure of the glucose test strip showing different constituents of the dry chemistry layer (left (II)) and the optical setup for the glucose measurement using a light source a) below or b) above the test strip (right).
4.2.2 Optical setup for the test strip measurement

The detection setup used for the measurement of the UCNP emission or the reflectance is shown in the Figure 10 (right side). There are two ways of taking measurements a) a light source excitation (NIR laser with power 2.4 W cm\(^{-2}\) or a broadband light source) from the below of the test strip (the supporting film side) and detecting the decrease in the UV-radiation (originating from the UCNP's or the reflection from the sensing layer) in a 45° angle (Figure 10a, right side), b) in an alternative method, the laser was pointed from the sample side of the test strip with power of 9.1 W cm\(^{-2}\). The excitation light travel through the spiked whole blood sample and the UCNP emission was detected from film side, blow the test strip (Figure 10b, right side). To protect the detector from the laser, a second identical KG5 filter was used.

4.2.3 Glucose measurement

As a result of glucose reacting with glucose dehydrogenase enzyme, the reduction of cNAD to cNADH takes place on the strip. This results in the UV absorption of the indicator in the region matching with the UV emission of UCNP's. The unaffected blue emission of the UCNP can be used as control signal.

The amount of cNADH formed was measured by two setups (by laser diode from two different positions or reflection measurement) described in the previous section. The spectral measurement from 300 to 1000 nm was carried out with an integration time of 550 ms with 5x spectrum accumulation. The exposure time used was 45 s. The measurements were first carried out with a dry strip for reference signals then with 5 µL of glucose solution or whole blood spiked with glucose samples. The ratiometric signals \(I_{UV}/I_{ctrl}\) were measured by integrating the background subtracted 330-380 nm (\(I_{UV}\)) and 440-490 nm (\(I_{ctrl}\)) peaks. In case of reflectance measurement the intensity at 362 nm was used. The reaction endpoint was determined as a point where the slope of the kinetic curve was <2% s\(^{-1}\). In order to compare the two methods, the value in the endpoint was represented as a relative signal determined as a percentage of the respective signal obtained from the dry strip, before the addition of a sample. The limit of detection was calculated as the point on the calibration curve that deviates from the zero (blank) sample by three standard deviations.

4.3 Multiplexed immunoassay

4.3.1 Preparation of label conjugates

The oleic acid used in the UCNP synthesis (discussed in section 4.1.1), coordinate with the particle surface and form the hydrophobic layer. Those hydrophobic UCNP's are chemically inactive with no functional groups on the surface. To make the particles hydrophilic and chemically active for bioconjugation, the UCNP's were coated with polyacrylic acid (PAA) polymer layer (IV). At first, the OA was detached from the
particle surface by suspending 5 mg of UCNPs in 0.1 M HCl for 5 h, and acid was removed by centrifuging the solution at 16873 g for 30 min [243]. The precipitate was then washed with 1 mL Milli-Q water. Thereafter, the precipitate was suspended into 1 mL, 2.5% PAA solution (pH adjusted to 9 with 5 M NaOH) by bath sonication for 3 min and incubated on vertex at room temperature for 24 h. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 12000 g for 30 min and washed twice with 1 mL Milli-Q water and once with 0.5 mL, 20 mM MES buffer (pH 6.1). Finally the PAA-coated UCNPs were suspended in 250 µL, 20 mM MES buffer (pH 6.1). The PAA-coated UCNPs has carboxylic acid group on the surface [244].

The PAA coated Er and Tm-doped UCNPs were conjugated with anti-hIgG and anti-hIgM antibodies by the procedure described previously [218]. Briefly, the carboxyl groups on the UCNP surface were activated by 20 mM EDC and 30 mM Sulfo-NHS in 260 µL volume. The reaction mixture was incubated for 45 min and washed and suspended in 20 mM MES buffer (pH 6.1). Mabs stock was added to the activated UCNP solution (0.2 mg/mL Mab for 2 mg/ml UCNP) and incubated for 2.5 h. Thereafter, the reaction was stopped by adding 2 M glycine (pH 11) and incubated again for 30 min. Finally, the antibody coated UCNPs were washed and suspended in storage buffer (5 mM Tris pH 8.5, 8.5% Tween-85, 0.5% BSA, 0.05% sodium azide).

**Spectral cross-talk**

The cross talk between both Er and Tm doped UCNP-conjugate (Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM) was studied in 96 well microtiter plates by measuring the anti-Stokes emission of both the particles separately and from their mixture. Briefly, 100 µL assay buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.75, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% BSA, 0.05% bovine γ-globulin, 0.01% Tween-40, 0.05% NaN₃, 20 µM diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid) with 1% BSA was added into the whole wells to avoid the adsorption of UCNP-conjugates. The wells were incubated 45 min, at room temperature with shaking. After incubation, wells were washed and 50 µL of UCNP-conjugates with 0.0075, 0.015, 0.03 and 0.06 mg/mL concentrations were added in each well. Similarly, in another series of wells, the concentration of either Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG (Er-conjugate) or Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM (Tm-conjugate) was fixed at high concentration (0.06 mg/mL) while the other UCNP-conjugate with different concentrations was added. The plate was imaged with anti-Stokes photoluminescence imager [158].

**Cross-reactivity**

The cross reactivity between detection antibodies and immobilized captured antigens was studied by incubating Er-conjugate in bio-hlgM wells and Tm-conjugate in bio-hlgG wells. Also, mixtures of Er- and Tm-conjugates were incubated in bio-hlgG or bio-hlgM wells. In brief, 50 µL of capture antibodies (bio-hlgG or bio-hlgM) were added in to the streptavidin-coated 96-well microtiter plate in different concentrations (0, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10 and 100 ng/well). The plate was incubated for 45 min, at room temperature with shaking. After the incubation, plate was washed and UCNP-conjugates (50 µL, 0.015
mg/ml) were added. The plate was incubated for 40 min at room temperature with shaking. The wells were washed and the plate was dried. The bound UCNP signals were measured with modified plate chameleon microplate reader (Hidex, Finland) with 535 and 460 nm emission bandpass filters [91]. Each well was scanned with a 2 s reading.

![Figure 11](attachment:image.png)

**Figure 11.** Principle of the spectrally and spatially multiplexed assay. The Er- and Tm-conjugates bind to the serum hIgG and hIgM antibodies, respectively. Upon 980 nm excitation, blue or green light observed depending on which antibody class present in the sample. The antibody responses against adenovirus and influenza were differentiated based on the position of the signals while color indicates the antibody class.

### 4.3.2 Array-in-well immunoassay

The biotinylated antigens (influenza H1N1 and H5N1, adenovirus type 2 and 5 (AdHX2, AdHX5)) and antibodies (anti-human IgG (anti-hlgG), human IgG (hlgG), human IgM (hlgM) and human serum albumin (HSA)) were printed on the bottom of streptavidin-coated 96-well plate (Figure 11). The samples were diluted 1:100 in assay buffer. In the prewashed antibody printed microtiter plate, 50 µL of diluted samples were added in duplicates. The assay was incubated for 45 min at room temperature with shaking. After incubation, wells were washed. The anti-hlgG and anti-hlgM coated UCNPs were added (50 µL, 0.015 mg/mL) in each well and incubated for 40 min at room temperature with shaking. After the incubation, wells were washed four times to remove the unbounded UCNPs. The dried plate was imaged with an anti-Stokes photoluminescence imager constructed for multi analyte detection.

**Data analysis**

The upconverting nanoparticle emission was detected through band pass filters 535/50 nm and 460/20 nm for Er and Tm-doped UCNPs respectively (Chroma Technology, Rockingham, VT) with cooled CCD-camera (Andor Clara with Sony ICX285 CCD; Andor Technology, South Windsor, CT) using 2 × binning, 1 s exposure per well and laser excitation at 976 ± 2 nm with 7W optical power. Image analysis was performed with ImageJ software version 1.43n.
5 SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Blue emitting UCNPs

The aim of publication I was to enhance the blue UC emission (475 nm) of hexagonal NaYF₄:Yb,Tm nanoparticles with different ratios of K⁺ and Na⁺ as well as Y³⁺ and Sc³⁺ doping. The host ion substitution disturbs the local symmetry around luminescent lanthanides and changes the crystal field, which results in to emission intensity enhancement.

X-ray powder diffraction (XPD) and ICPMS analysis

The synthesized products were first examined with XPD to ensure the crystal structure and phase purity of the particles. It is well known that the hexagonal NaRF₄ phase is far better host for high UC emission than its cubic counterpart. The XPD graphs with reference patterns are shown in the original publication I. In case of the Na₁₋ₓKₓYF₄:Yb,Tm nanocrystals, the reflection positions and intensities of NPs with K doping up to 60 mol% match very well with those of hexagonal NaYF₄ [240]. Additional weak reflections of KCl are observed in 40 and 60 mol% K doped materials. A further increase in K content starts dominating poorly crystalline KYF₄ over the NaYF₄ reflections [245]. No cubic Na₁₋ₓKₓYF₄ phase was observed in any obtained sample. With increased K content, the unit cell volume of the hexagonal Na₁₋ₓKₓYF₄ remains practically constant, which means that K⁺ does not enter into the NaYF₄ cell, but it has formed an amorphous phase instead. The decreased R-R distance with increased K⁺ concentration indicates the deficit of the larger Na⁺ and excess of smaller Y³⁺ [246]. This hypothesis was supported by the ICPMS analysis of the elements Na/K/Y/Yb/Tm in the hexagonal Na₁₋ₓKₓYF₄:Yb,Tm crystals which confirm the presence of a very much lower amount of K in the crystal lattice than the original amount added.

The XPD of the NaY₁₋ₓScₓF₄:Yb,Tm nanoparticles with 20 mol% Sc doping shows pure hexagonal NaYF₄ phase. With further increase in Sc³⁺ concentration, the minority phase β-Na₃ScF₆ starts to appear. For 80 mol% Sc content, a mixture of cubic α-NaYF₄ and β-Na₃ScF₆ is obtained. The pure β-Na₃ScF₆ phase is observed in case of 100 mol% Sc doping [247]. The compaction of the unit cell and decrease in R-R distance indicates the substitution of Y³⁺ by Sc³⁺. The ICPMS analysis results of the Na/Y/Yb/Tm/Sc elements are coherent with the added Sc quantity.

Table 3 shows the crystallite size and aspect ratio estimated from the diffraction data by using the Scherrer equation.

Size and morphological study

The doping of K⁺ and Sc³⁺ in NaYF₄ lattice, affects the morphology and size of the synthesized particles. The TEM images of the synthesized particles are shown in the Figure 12. The NaYF₄:Yb,Tm NPs without K or Sc show a hexagonal prismatic structure with about 25-30 nm size while UCNPs with 20 mol% K gives spherical particles with
35 nm size. The increased K content from 20 to 60 changes the particle morphology from larger nanoprisms (70-100 nm) to nanorods (150-200 nm). The 80-100 mol% K doped products give 5-10 nm spherical particles. As discussed in the previous section, added K+ does not enter into the crystal lattice, instead it acts as a diluting agent in the synthesis. The increased K+ creates the Na+ deficiency, which results in to fewer nucleation sites and thus larger NaYF4 crystals.

The TEM image of NaY(1-x)ScxF4 with 20 mol% Sc content shows uniform, 30-40 nm hexagonal nanoprisms. This uniformity is disturbed with the increase in the Sc concentration up to 60 mol%. In case of the 80 mol% Sc content, the stoichiometry between cubic α-NaYF4 and β-Na3ScF6 may be disturbed which in turn breaks the particles in to ≤10 nm crystals which act as seeds for the growth of pure β-Na3ScF6 with 30-40 nm size. The increased Sc content does not change the morphology of the crystals, but rather disturbs the uniformity and shows size variations (Table 3).

**Figure 12.** TEM images of hexagonal NaY(1-x)KxF4:Yb,Tm with different concentrations of K+: a) 0, b) 20, c) 40, d) 60, e) 80, f) 100% and NaY(1-x)ScxF4:Yb,Tm crystals with different concentrations of Sc3+: g) 20, h) 40, i) 60, j) 80, k) 100%.
Summary of Results and Discussion

Table 3. Molar ratio, phase, size and morphology of the as-obtained Na\(_{(1-x)}\)K\(_x\)YF\(_4\):Yb,Tm and NaY\(_{(1-x)}\)Sc\(_x\)F\(_4\):Yb,Tm nanocrystals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x(K) / %</th>
<th>TEM</th>
<th>XRD(^a)</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle size / nm</td>
<td>Hexagon width / nm</td>
<td>Rod length / nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>≤ 5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x(Sc)c/%</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>β-NaYF(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>β-NaYF(_4) + Na(_3)ScF(_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>β-NaYF(_4) + Na(_3)ScF(_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>α-NaYF(_4) + Na(_3)ScF(_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Na(_3)ScF(_6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)For the hexagonal NaYF\(_4\) type phase only [241].

\(^b\)Width/length ratio.

\(^c\)Sum of Y and Sc % is equal to 100.

Note: Sum of Y and Sc % in NaY\(_{1-x}\)Sc\(_x\)F\(_4\):Yb,Tm is 79.5 of total rare earth content; remaining 20.5% are Yb and Tm.

Upconversion luminescence properties

All the synthesized Na\(_{(1-x)}\)K\(_x\)YF\(_4\):Yb,Tm and NaY\(_{(1-x)}\)Sc\(_x\)F\(_4\):Yb, Tm nanocrystal dispersions in toluene (0.1 mg/mL) show 4 distinct bands in the range of 400-700 nm under 980 nm excitation. Figure 13a shows the UC spectra of Na\(_{(1-x)}\)K\(_x\)YF\(_4\):Yb,Tm nanoparticles. When compared to the normal hexagonal NaYF\(_4\):Yb,Tm NPs, 20 mol% K content showed 3-4 fold enhancement in the UC intensity (475 nm emission). This is the maximum of the intensity obtained, since it decreases with further increase in K\(^+\) percentage. The nature of the UC curve significantly changes after 60 mol% K doping indicating the dominance of the K\(^+\) containing phase over the NaYF\(_4\) phase. The NaY\(_{(1-x)}\)Sc\(_x\)F\(_4\):Yb,Tm NPs show the same behaviour as 20 mol% Sc shows the highest UC emission, but this is still lower than with 20 mol% K (Figure 13b).
The doping of K⁺ and Sc³⁺ ions affect the distance between Yb³⁺ and Tm³⁺ ions which in turn changes the Yb-Tm energy transfer. These changes in the distance explain the different emission intensities for the different percentages of doping. The UC enhancement can be explained by two theories. The first is a change in Na/R ratio inside the crystals. In Na₁₋ₓKₓYF₄ samples, even though the added K⁺ does not enter into the crystal, it does affect the Na/R ratio inside the crystal. The Na/R ratio decreases with an increase in K⁺ content (confirmed by ICPMS) which results into the decrease in unit cell volume as well as average R-R distances. But this alone cannot explain the enhancement since the R-R distance decrease even further with 20 mol% Sc content. Another explanation might be that the hexagonal NaYF₄ has two cationic sites, one ideally occupied with a statistical 25:75 ratio by Na and R, and the other with 50% Na only.
The Na site may start to accommodate also $R^{3+}$ when the K or Sc content increases. This $R^{3+}$ cation clustering results into efficient Yb-Tm energy transfer at low K or Sc amounts while it quenches with increased K or Sc concentrations. Also, with higher K or Sc content the less luminescent KYF$_4$ or Na$_3$ScF$_6$ phases dominate, which decreases the overall luminescence intensity.

### 5.2 UCNPs for glucose sensing

The UV emission of the Na$_{(1-x)}$K$_x$YF$_4$:Yb,Tm nanocrystals, synthesized for publication I was measured and it was found that the UCNPs with 40 mol% K content shows the highest UV emission centered at 365 nm (Figure 14). Those UCNPs were used as the internal light source in the dry chemistry test strip for the glucose sensing (II). The behaviour of the UCNPs on the test strip was studied by using two optical setups (Figure 10 a and b) and it was found that the UC emission decreases after the addition of H$_2$O, caused by the quenching effect of water, UCNP migration with the sample to the lower layer and the change in optical properties of the strip. The quenching effect can be minimized by core shell formation [116], while the particle migration could be avoided by immobilization of the particles and the indicator in separate layers or could be brought close to each other for energy transfer between them.

![Upconversion spectra of NaYF$_4$:Yb,Tm UCNPs doped with different K$^+$ concentrations.](image)

**Figure 14.** Upconversion spectra of NaYF$_4$:Yb,Tm UCNPs doped with different K$^+$ concentrations.

#### 5.2.1 Glucose assay

The glucose-water solution was first used for the glucose assay. The two different setups i.e. reflection of light or UCNP emission were used for the measurements. The
results from both setups agreed with the actual concentration of glucose in the sample with practically the same sensitivity and dynamic range. The limit of detection improved from 0.93 mM to 0.49 mM by using UCNPs with internal control signal. The coefficient of variation for both measurements was, 0.64 and 6.7 % for the UCNPs with internal control and 0.92 and 9.1 % for the reflectance measurement. In another experiment, the assays were performed by using heparinized whole blood spiked with glucose as a sample. The diode laser was moved to the top of the strip where the excitation light passed through the blood sample. When compared with the traditional reflectance measurements, the results showed a good correlation between them.

**Figure 15.** Glucose assay results from 1) glucose dissolved in water sample with light source from below the test strips. a) The glucose calibration curves by using emission of UCNPs (squares) or reflectance (spheres). The dark squares represent the ratio metric signals and open squares the data from UV emission of UCPs alone. b) The co-relation of the relative reflectance and ratiometric UCNP emission. 2) Whole blood as a sample and UCNPs excited from above the strip and broadband source from below the strip. c) The relative signals obtained from the UCNPs (squares) or reflectance (spheres) measurements. d) The correlation of the relative reflectance and ratiometric UCNP emission. R^2 of the linear fitting was >0.99. Error bars represent standard deviation.
5.3 UV emitting UCNPs

Publication III comprises the synthesis of intense UV emitting UCNPs by stepwise increasing the sensitizer concentration (NaY(1-\(x\))YbxF4:0.5%Tm). In addition, the activator concentration was also optimized for high UV emitting UCNPs (NaYbF4:Tm (x%)).

**X-ray powder diffraction (XPD)**

All XPD patterns agreed well with the hexagonal NaYF4 reference pattern indicating no phase change with increased Yb\(^{3+}\) and Tm\(^{3+}\) concentrations in the NaY(1-\(x\))YbxF4:0.5%Tm and NaYbF4 (x%) NPs, respectively [240]. Additional reflections of small amounts of NaCl and cubic NaRF4 impurities are also observed in few samples (refer publication III). The width to length ratio (W/L) was calculated by using the Scherrer equation (Table 4).

**Size and morphological study**

The TEM images of the as prepared NaY(1-\(x\))YbxF4:0.5%Tm with different concentrations of Yb\(^{3+}\): 10, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mol% and NaYbF4 (x%) with different concentrations of the Tm\(^{3+}\): 0.05, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1 and 5 mol% are shown in the figure 16. The rare earth concentration change does not affect the phase of the particle. While in case of crystal size and shape, the Y/Yb ratio plays an important role: the increased Yb\(^{3+}\) concentration results into particle growth. On the other hand, different Tm\(^{3+}\) concentrations show very small changes in the particle size distribution. The effect of different Yb\(^{3+}\) and Tm\(^{3+}\) concentrations doping on the particle size are summarised in table 4.

![Figure 16. TEM images of hexagonal NaY\(_{1-x}\)Yb\(_x\)F\(_4\):Tm (0.5%) with different concentrations of Yb\(^{3+}\): a) 20, b) 40, c) 60, d) 80, e) 100% and NaYbF\(_4\):Tm (x%) crystals with different concentrations of Tm\(^{3+}\): f) 0.05, g) 0.2, h) 0.4, i) 0.6, j) 0.8, k) 1 and l) 5%.](image)
Crystal growth

After dissolution and renucleation the unstable $\alpha$-NaYF$_4$ phase transformed into stable $\beta$-NaYF$_4$. As the increased Yb$^{3+}$ concentration lowers the phase transition temperature[248], the formation of $\beta$-NaYF$_4$ will be faster and there is more time for further growth. Once the hexagonal $\beta$-NaYF$_4$ is formed, the oleic acid strongly reacts with top/bottom (001) surfaces of the crystallite and results into the growth along the sides of the crystal (Figure 17). In case of the highest Yb$^{3+}$ concentration samples, the second heating may assist the formed hexagonal plates to self-assemble and anneal into larger hexagonal polycrystalline structures.

![Diagram of crystal growth process](image)

Figure 17. Schematic illustration of the Yb concentration dependent growth mechanism of NaYF$_4$:Yb,Tm NPs.

Upconversion luminescence properties

The UC photoluminescence of the Na$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_4$:Tm (0.5%) and NaYbF$_4$:Tm (x%) particles (0.1 mg/mL oleic acid) produced six emission bands centered at 346, 365, 450, 475, 649 and 800 nm corresponding to the $^1I_6 \rightarrow ^3F_4$, $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3H_6$, $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3F_4$, $^1G_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$, $^1G_4 \rightarrow ^3F_4$, and $^3H_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ transitions of Tm$^{3+}$, respectively (Figure 18). Three energy transfer processes from Yb$^{3+}$ to Tm$^{3+}$ along with some non-radiative relaxations are responsible for the 475, 650, 690 and 800 nm emissions. The cross relaxation $^3F_2 + ^3H_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6 + ^1D_2$ between the two Tm$^{3+}$ ions plays an important role in populating the $^1D_2$ level which after radiative relaxation gives the 365 and 450 nm emissions. The Tm$^{3+}$ ions in the $^1D_2$ level can be further excited to the $^3P_2$ level by the fourth energy transfer from Yb$^{3+}$ which relaxes to the $^1I_6$ level and emits the UV band at 346 nm.

With an increase in sensitizer concentration upto 100 mol%, The UV UC intensities at 346 and 365 nm emissions were measured to be about 20 and 18 times higher than that of the 20 mol% Yb$^{3+}$ sample (Figure 18a). The enhancement in the UV emission through high sensitization partly comes from increased particle size with increased Yb$^{3+}$ content, which decreases the surface quenching sites of the particles by decreasing the surface to volume ratio and facilitates the high UC emission [90]. The other possible explanation is, the excess sensitization increases the absorption of NIR photons and enhances the energy transfer probabilities between Yb-to-Yb and also Yb-to-Tm, which results in increased population in the $^1I_6$ and $^1D_2$ levels.
The UV emission intensity of the different Tm$^{3+}$ concentration doped NaYbF$_4$ crystals increases up to 0.4 mol% doping, remains steady in the range of 0.4 to 0.8 mol% and decreases with a further increase in the Tm$^{3+}$ content (Figure 18b). The dramatic decrease in the UV and visible emission intensities with higher Tm$^{3+}$ concentration is due to the self-quenching of the Tm$^{3+}$ $^1\text{D}_2$ and $^1\text{G}_4$ levels. The $^1\text{D}_2$ energy level is depopulated by three different cross relaxations $^1\text{D}_2 + ^3\text{H}_6 \rightarrow ^3\text{F}_3 + ^3\text{H}_4$, $^1\text{D}_2 + ^3\text{F}_3 \rightarrow ^1\text{G}_4 + ^1\text{G}_4$ and $^1\text{D}_2 + ^3\text{H}_6 \rightarrow ^3\text{H}_4 + ^3\text{F}_2$ and two of them feed the $^3\text{H}_4$ energy level which may explain the enhancement in the 800 nm emission with increase in the Tm$^{3+}$ concentration up to 0.8%.
The emission decays were studied for the $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ (365 nm), $^1G_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ (475 nm) and $^3H_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ (800 nm) transitions with 980 nm excitation (Table 4). The decay data were fitted with single, second and third order exponential decay functions (refer publication III). It was observed that the rise and decay time decreases with increasing Yb$^{3+}$ concentration. This might be because of an efficient energy transfer between Yb$^{3+}$ and Tm$^{3+}$ due to higher sensitization. Another possible reason for the short decay time is the efficient non-radiative vibrational processes which are caused by the decreased distance between the luminescent centers and the surface related high frequency vibrational modes. As the Yb$^{3+}$ and Tm$^{3+}$ concentration increases, the Tm $\rightarrow$ Yb energy back transfer and self-quenching can partially explain the noticeable decrease in the $^3H_4$ level emission [249]. In addition, the particles shape can also play an important role on the fluorescence decay behaviour. It is observed that the hexagonal plates with higher $W/L$ ratios show lower decays i.e. the $W/L$ ratio and decay time are inversely proportional to each other.

**Table 4.** Summary of decay times from the emitting $^3H_4$, $^1G_4$ and $^1D_2$ level of NaY$_{1-x}$Yb$_x$F$_4$:Tm (0.5%) and NaYbF$_4$:Tm (x%) with particle size measured from TEM and width to length ($W/L$) ratio calculated with the Scherrer method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaY$_{1-x}$Yb$_x$F$_4$:Tm (0.5%) Yb$^{3+}$ (mol %)</th>
<th>TEM Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>XRD</th>
<th>Decay times (µs)$^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W (nm)</td>
<td>L (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>900-950</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>950-1000 and ≈ 20</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaYbF$_4$:Tm (x%) Tm$^{3+}$ (mol %)</th>
<th>TEM Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>XRD</th>
<th>Decay times (µs)$^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>≈ 950</td>
<td>212*</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>≈ 1000-1200</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>900-950</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>900-950</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>≈ 800 and ≤ 10</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>900 and ≤ 25</td>
<td>1011*</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>900 and ≤ 25</td>
<td>325*</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates the crystals are too large for Scherrer method, which commonly can treat sizes up to 150 nm, $^\dagger$ indicates the decay time with high amplitude selected from the multiple lifetimes, # indicates the percentages are with respect to the added quantity in the synthesis.
5.4 Multiplexed immunoassay

In publication IV, spectrally and spatially multiplexed serological assay utilizing UCNPs was demonstrated to detect the influenza and adenovirus specific IgM and IgG antibodies present in the patients' serum during infection.

**Cross-talk and cross-reactivity**

Two types of UCNPs with different emission spectra were used together for simultaneous detection of different serum antibodies. Therefore the spectral cross-talk between UCNPs, and the cross reactivity between UCNP and capture antibodies was tested. In order to study the spectral cross-talk, four different concentrations of Er- and Tm-conjugates were tested (Figure 19). The 550 nm and 470 nm emission of different concentrations of Er- and Tm-conjugates were measured separately with anti-Stokes photoluminescence imager. Both UCNPs gave emissions at their corresponding wavelengths (Figure 19a and b). To investigate the spectral behavior of UCNPs in each other’s presence, both Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM were mixed together in such a way that one UCNP was fixed at high concentration and other varied. The presence of Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM did not interfere the measurement of Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG in the 550 nm emission and vice versa (Figure 19c and d). The spectral cross-talk between UCNPs in the assay was found to be <0.06%.

The cross-reactivity of the captured antibodies and detection antibodies were tested. In the experiment, the Er- and Tm-conjugates specific for hIgG and hIgM antibodies respectively were mixed together in either hIgG or hIgM coated wells and measured with anti-Stokes photoluminescence imager. It was found that the hIgG coated wells gives only Er emission (Figure 20). Similarly, Tm signal was obtained from wells containing hIgM, confirming the bound Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM. The signal from UCNP-conjugates in their opposite capture antibody well stays lower and constant even with increase in capture antibody concentration, which confirms minimal cross reactivity among the UCNP-conjugates and proteins in the assay.
Figure 19. The upconversion luminescence (UCL) of a) Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG (circles) and b) Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM (squares) measured at 550 nm (green line) and 470 nm (blue line) emission wavelengths. Luminescence cross-talk between Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG (circles) and Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM (squares) in a well with c) fixed high Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM concentration and variable Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG and d) fixed high Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG concentration and variable Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM, measured at 550 nm (green line) and 470 nm (blue line) emission wavelengths.
Figure 20. Study of the cross-reactivity of detection antibodies (Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM) with captured proteins (bio-hIgG and bio-hIgM). The Er (550 nm) and Tm (470 nm) emissions are indicated by green and blue lines respectively. The dotted lines indicate the measurements of Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM in their corresponding antibody containing wells, while the solid lines represent the mixture of both Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM in either bio-hIgG or bio-hIgM containing well. The emission of Er measured from hIgG containing well (green circles) and hIgM containing well (green squares) as well as Tm emission from hlgM containing well (blue circles) and hlgG containing well (blue squares) are shown. The inset shows three smallest concentrations of the antibodies (0.01, 0.1 and 1 ng/well).

Assay performance

To demonstrate spectral and spatial multiplexing, multicolour UCNPs with major emission peaks at 470 and 550 nm were conjugated directly to the secondary antibodies specific for primary antibodies against influenza and adenovirus infections. Biotinylated H5N1, H1N1, AdHX2 and AdHX5 antigens were printed on the array along with control spots for hIgG, hIgM, anti-hIgG and HSA. The array format is shown in figure 21A. Luminescence imaging of the array with 1 s exposure without any sample gave green and blue emissions from hIgG and hIgM positive control spots as a result of bound Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM, respectively (Figure 21B, i). With negative sample the anti-hIgG spot gave signal along with hIgG and hIgM spots (Figure 21B, ii). When the anti-adenovirus IgG positive sample was added in the array, the AdHX2 and AdHX5 spots emitted green light as a result of Er-UCNP-anti-hIgG antibody
and captured adenovirus antigen reaction (Figure 21B, iii). Similarly, the presence of anti-influenza A/H1N1 IgM positive sample was detected by the blue emission of Tm-UCNP-anti-hIgM from H1N1 antigen printed spots (Figure 21B, iv). With the anti-influenza A/H1N1 sample the H5N1 antigen printed spots were also luminescent. The detected cross reaction between H1N1 and H5N1 antigen was expected as both the antigens belong to group A and the structures of the H1N1 and H5N1 hemagglutinins were known to resemble each other very much. Similarly, the AdHX2 and AdHX5 antigens belongs to group C and show high homology in their amino acid sequence [250]. The added IgG positive sample for both adenovirus and influenza shows green luminescence from all the antigen printed spots (Figure 21B, v). Also the presence of anti-influenza IgG positive sample was detected by the green emission from H1N1 and H5N1 printed spots (Figure 21B, vi).

The specific signal intensities were calculated from each spot for all the samples (Figure 21C). The 550 nm photoluminescence was observed from the hIgG, anti-hIgG, AdHX2 and AdHX5 spot as a result of a bound Er-conjugate (Figure 21C i). The strong green emission from the AdHX2 and AdHX5 spots confirms the presence of IgG antibodies against human adenovirus in the sample. Also, some green emission was detected in the H1N1 and H5N1 spot, indicating the presence of anti-influenza A IgG, which could be due to an earlier immunity to H1N1. Similarly, the blue emission (470 nm) was observed from the control spots hIgM and anti-hIgG. From a sample derived three weeks after influenza vaccination, H1N1 and H5N1 spots emitted intense blue emission confirming the presence of anti-influenza IgM antibodies in the sample (Figure 21C ii).
Figure 21. A) Spectrally and spatially multiplexed array-in-well immunoassay principle showing the array layout with antigen (H1N1, H5N1, AdHX2 and AdHX5), positive controls (hlgG, hlgM and anti-hlgG) and negative control (HSA) spots. B) Fluorescence images of the array area with i) no sample, ii) negative sample, iii) anti-adenovirus positive sample (AdHX +ve) and iv) anti-influenza IgM positive sample (Influenza IgM +ve) v) IgG positive sample for both adenovirus and influenza (IgG +ve) and vi) anti-influenza IgG positive sample (Influenza IgG +ve). The images are composite overlays from two separate pseudocolored images. C) Specific upconversion luminescence (UCL) signals from each spot in the array measured at i) 550 nm (for Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG) and ii) 470 nm (for Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM). The bar colors corresponds to the colors of the spotted proteins from figure A. Er-UCNP-anti-hlgG and Tm-UCNP-anti-hlgM bind to the serum hlgG and hlgM antibodies respectively. Upon 980 nm excitation, blue or green light was observed depending on which antibody class was present in the sample. The antibody responses against adenovirus and influenza were differentiated based on the position of the signal while color indicates the antibody class.
6 CONCLUSIONS

Due to their unique luminescence properties, lanthanide doped upconverting crystals are a promising alternative to the traditional contrast agents used in many bio-medical applications. The anti-Stokes emission from upconverting nanoparticles offers background free measurements of the biological samples with high penetration depth and practically no photobleaching; this enables the more sensitive and accurate medical diagnostics. Despite the many useful properties of UCNPs, the low emission intensity remains one of the obstacles hindering their applications. This property can be improved by changing the crystal field around the doped lanthanides in the crystal lattice.

In this thesis, the enhancement of the blue and UV upconversion intensities of Tm doped, fluoride based upconverting particles was studied. Different doping concentrations of non-luminescent and luminescent ions in the host matrix and their effects on the structural and optical properties of the resultant UCNPs were investigated in detail. Also different UC emissions were successfully used in a glucose assay as well as in a multiplexed bioaffinity assay.

The main conclusions based on the publications are

1. The blue emission from a NaYF₄:20%Yb,0.5%Tm nanocrystal was enhanced by substituting the host ions (Na⁺ or Y³⁺) with optimal concentrations of non-luminescent ions (K⁺ or Sc³⁺) with different ionic radius, during synthesis. The substituted ion changes the crystal field around the doped lanthanides, which affects the energy transfer efficiency and tunes the UC luminescence intensity. The host substitution not only alters the UC emission intensities, but also has a significant influence on the structure and size of the nanoparticles.

2. The UV emitting UCNPs act as an internal light source in the glucose sensing test strip. This was demonstrated by a simple glucose assay, using glucose in water or whole blood spiked with glucose as a sample. The results of the glucose measurement using UCNPs were compatible with the traditional method based on a reflectance measurement using an external UV source. The high penetration of the excitation light for UCNPs through blood sample offers a high degree of freedom for the detection setup. An additional benefit of the UCNPs is the internal control signal, which can be used to minimize the error arise in the assay.

3. The effect of increasing the sensitizer (Yb³⁺) concentration in the NaYF₄ host lattice on the UV UC emission intensity was studied. The results shows a 20-fold increase in the UV emission intensity when all of the Y³⁺ ions in the host were replaced by Yb³⁺ ions. The optimal activator (Tm³⁺) concentration was also fixed for an intense UV emitting nanoparticle.
4. Dual-mode multiplexing based on the spectral and spatial differentiation of signals using UCNPs as labels was demonstrated. The differentiation between antibody responses against influenza and adenovirus antigens and the detection of antibody classes was achieved based on both the position and the color of the signal. The same excitation source was used for both particles thus enabling a relatively simple instrumentation. This method could allow the diagnosis of infectious diseases and differentiation between primary and secondary infections.
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