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ABSTRACT

The attachment of a pathogen to target cells is a critical step in establishing an infection. Most Gram-negative pathogens display specialized adhesive pili, or fimbriae, assembled via the dedicated classical, alternative and archaic chaperone-usher (CU) pathways from protein subunits. CU pathways represent attractive inhibition targets, as the suppression of bacterial adhesion will allow the natural clearance of the pathogen without the development of antibiotic resistance. This thesis explores the mechanism and biological significance of the polyvalent attachment of fimbrial adhesins to host cell receptors and elucidates the assembly mechanism of fimbriae via the archaic CU pathway.

In this study, we focused on several medically important polyadhesins: AAF/I (Escherichia coli O4H104), Myf (Yersinia enterocolitica), and Psa (Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis). We present the atomic resolution crystal structures of the fiber forming subunits of these organelles and show that they are assembled into linear homo- (Myf and Psa) or heteropolymers (AAF/I) by donor strand complementation. Each protein subunit of these three fimbriae binds to host cell receptors, thereby establishing multipoint attachment. The AggA subunits of AAF/I bind to fibronectin via a unique positively charged surface at inter-subunit interfaces. The co-crystal structures of the subunits of Myf and Psa with galactose and choline, respectively, reveal the receptor binding sites and explain differences in the tissue tropism of pathogenic species of Yersinia. The high avidity of the attachment and the ability of polyadhesins to recognize several receptors represent a significant challenge for drug development and underlines the importance of multivalent inhibitors.

To elucidate the fimbriae biogenesis via the archaic CU pathway, we determined the crystal structures of the CsuC-CsuA/B chaperone-subunit preassembly complex and self-complemented CsuA/B pilin subunit from the Csu fimbriae of Acinetobacter baumannii. We show that the biogenesis of archaic systems is strikingly different from that of the classical CU pathway. The CsuC chaperone utilizes a unique subunit anchoring mechanism involving both domains of the protein. Furthermore, CsuC maintains the CsuA/B subunit in a partially disordered state, which allows for a more flexible mode of donor strand exchange. The accessible core of the chaperone-bound subunit might represent a potential inhibition target for archaic pili assembly in bacterial pathogens.
TIIVISTELMÄ

Taudinaheitattajien kiinnittyminen kohdesoluihin infektion alkaessa on kriittinen vaihe. Useimmilla gramnegatiivisilla bakteereilla on tarttumiseen erikoistuneita fimbrioidia, adhesineja, jotka muodostuvat proteiinalayksiköistä klassisen, vaihtoehtoisen ja arkaaisten kaitisajaportieerille (chaperon-usher, CU) -eritysjärjestelmän kautta. CU-järjestelmät ovat houkuttelevia inhibition kohteita, koska bakteerien kiinnittymisen ehkäisy mahdollistaa taudinaheitattujen luonnollisen poistamisen ilman, että kehitteytyy antibioottiresistenssiä. Tässä väitöskirjassa käsitellään polyadhesiinien kiinnittymismekanismia kohdereseptoreihin ja arkaaisten CU-järjestelmän mukaisia fimbrioiden muodostumisperiaatteita.


# Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAF</td>
<td>Aggregative adherence fimbriae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA/I</td>
<td>Colonization factor antigen I (CFA/I), coli surface antigens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD1</td>
<td>C-terminal domain 1 (usher protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Coli surface antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD2</td>
<td>C-terminal domain 2 (usher protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>Chaperone-usher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>Donor strand complementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>Donor strand exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTTA</td>
<td>$N^1$-(p-isothiocyanatobenzyl)-diethylenetriamine-$N^2,N^3,N^3$-tetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAEC</td>
<td>Enteroaggregative <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDC/EMEA</td>
<td>European Center for Disease prevention and control/European Medicines Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHEC</td>
<td>Enterohemorrhagic <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRF</td>
<td>European Synchrotron Radiation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>Enterotoxigenic <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGL</td>
<td>F1-G1 loop long (chaperone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGS</td>
<td>F1-G1 loop short (chaperone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>Donor strand of the pilin subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCRAMMs</td>
<td>Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTD</td>
<td>N-terminal domain (usher protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se-SAD</td>
<td>Selenium single wavelength anomalous diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>Surface plasmon resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-SAD</td>
<td>Sulfur single wavelength anomalous diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stx2</td>
<td>Shiga-toxin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPEC</td>
<td>Uropathogenic <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ABBREVIATIONS OF THE AMINO ACID RESIDUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cysteine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Histidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Leucine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Methionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mse</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Selenomethionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Asparagine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Proline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Glutamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Serine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Threonine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Valine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

“The serious threat is happening right now in every region of the world and has the potential to affect anyone, of any age, in any country. Antibiotic resistance...is now a major danger to public health”

- World Health Organization (WHO), 2014

1.1 General introduction

Bacteria, the pioneers of the Earth, have had a great impact on our planet. Nowadays this domain includes a tremendous number of species that have evolved to populate various ecosystems. Apart from inhabiting soil, atmosphere, water and living organisms, bacteria also dwell in hot springs, the Mariana Trench (Kato et al., 1998) and even radioactive waste (Fredrickson et al., 2004). Bacteria include photosynthetic organisms involved in the primary production of organic carbon by converting light energy into the energy of chemical bonds. During evolution, the activity of cyanobacteria led to the accumulation of oxygen enabling the emergence of aerobic forms of life. Bacteria play a major role in the biodegradation of complex organic materials thereby “recycling” the main elements that constitute all living organisms (Todar, 2012). The ability of some bacteria to convert atmospheric nitrogen into the nitrogen available for plants has a primary importance for agriculture. In industry, bacteria are employed in waste disposal, as well as the production of biofuels and various foods such as fermented dairy products and pickles.

Bacteria also have a major impact on our health. The bacterial cells of human flora significantly outnumber human cells in the whole body (Ouwehand et al., 2003). The gut microbiota participates in digestion, produces vitamins (B12 and K), and plays an important role in the functioning of the immune system (Villanueva-Millan et al., 2015; Sears, 2005). Around 1% of bacteria cause various diseases in plants, humans and animals (Black, 1999). Despite this insignificant number, some pathogenic species have seriously endangered the well-being and even the existence of human civilization throughout history. The “Black Death”, the most devastating plague pandemic to date, killed half of Europe’s total population (Alchon, 2003). By the beginning of the 20th century, bacterial diseases accounted for one third of deaths around the world (Todar, 2012). The development of sanitation and hygiene, the discovery of antibiotics and
vaccines, and the technological progress in the diagnosis of infections have greatly improved disease control and prevention. However, pathogenic bacteria still represent a serious threat to global health (WHO, 2014). The emergence and the fast propagation of antibiotic resistance show that the war against bacterial diseases is still ongoing. Gram-negative bacteria acquire multidrug resistance at a frightening pace (Coque et al., 2008; Unal et al., 2005). In Europe alone, antibiotic-resistant infections claim the lives of 16,000 patients annually and account for an economic burden of 1.5 billion euro (ECDC/EMEA, 2009). In the absence of novel antimicrobial drugs, mortality from Gram-negative bacterial pathogens will inevitably increase over the next few years. Recent outbreaks of multi-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in hospital care units worldwide illustrate the seriousness of the problem (McGrath et al., 2011a, 2011b; Gomes et al., 2012; Guo-Xin et al., 2014). Growing antibiotic resistance and the lack of novel antimicrobial agents is “now threatening to take us back to the pre-antibiotic era” (ECDC/EMEA, 2009). To prevent devastating pandemics in the future, the discovery of new ways of treatment has to become a primary concern for humanity. This ambitious goal requires comprehensive knowledge on the major virulence factors of bacterial pathogens.

A bacterial infection starts with the colonization of a host. The process is dependent on the ability of bacteria to attach to target cells by recognizing their surface receptors. The attachment is mediated by filamentous surface organelles formed by the covalent (Gram-positive bacteria) or non-covalent (Gram-negative bacteria) polymerization of protein subunits (Proft & Baker, 2009). These organelles, termed bacterial adhesins (pili, or fimbriae), play an essential role in pathogenesis. In addition to the simple anchoring of bacteria to host tissues, adhesins promote the delivery of toxins and alter host cell signaling (Stones & Krachler, 2015). Adhesive structures are also implicated in the formation of biofilms, promoting bacterial survival and antibiotic resistance (Balcázar et al., 2015). The assembly and secretion of bacterial adhesins is implemented through a variety of highly specialized and sophisticated secretion machineries (Costa et al., 2015). In Gram-negative bacteria, the majority of adhesive fimbriae are assembled via the chaperone-usher (CU) secretion pathway.

1.2 The CU pathways

CU pathways mediate the biogenesis and secretion of versatile fimbrial organelles found in both pathogenic and non-pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria (Zav’yalov V. et al., 2010). Pathogenic species expressing CU fimbriae cause a wide range of diseases, including life-threatening bubonic and pneumonic plague (Yersinia pestis), enteric typhoid fever (Salmonella typhi) and wound infections (Acinetobacter baumannii). CU fimbrial organelles were shown to play an important role in bacterial virulence. Thus, they are directly involved in host cell receptor recognition (Dodson et al., 2001),
biofilm formation (Tomaras et al., 2003; Wright et al., 2007), and spore coat formation (Leng et al., 2011).

A CU pathway includes one or several structural proteins (pilin subunits), a periplasmic chaperone and an outer membrane usher (Hung et al., 1998). Upon synthesis, all elements of the CU pathway are transported into the periplasmic space via the Sec secretory pathway involving the cleavage of their signal peptides (Pugsley, 1993). Chaperones capture nascent pilin subunits in the periplasm and transport them to the usher protein. The usher governs the ordered assembly of pilin subunits into a linear polymer (Zavialov et al., 2003) as well as its secretion across the outer membrane into the extracellular space (Dodson et al., 1993; Saulino et al., 1998; 2000, Nishiyama et al., 2008; Phan et al., 2011) (Figure 1):

Figure 1. The scheme of the chaperone-usher (CU) pathway assembling fimbrial organelles on the bacterial surface

CU fimbriae are strikingly diverse. One group of CU organelles is represented by thick rigid or thin flexible pili of a complex subunit composition, whereas the other group shows a non-pilar, amorphous or capsule-like morphology (Zav’yalov et al., 2010). Furthermore, CU fimbriae utilize different modes to bind the target receptor. Thus, the majority of pili possess only one highly specific adhesive site whilst amorphous CU organelles are capable of establishing multiple interactions with host cell receptors (Zavialov et al., 2007). Considering the morphological and functional diversity of CU adhesins, the additional subdivision of the CU pathway into the phylogenically related groups is deemed necessary. Nuccio & Baumler (2007) proposed a categorization of CU fimbriae on the basis of their usher sequences, as it is the most conserved protein within the class. The phylogenetic analysis of 189 usher proteins revealed six distinct fimbrial clades (α, β, γ, π, κ, and σ), each sharing a common ancestor (Figure 2).
The entire body of knowledge regarding the biogenesis of CU fimbriae is almost exclusively restricted to clades γ, π, and κ, which, together with clade β, were designated “the classical CU pathway” (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). The limited sequence homology of the two remaining clades of α- and σ-fimbriae with regard to each other or the members of the classical CU pathway suggest the existence of so-called “non-classical” CU machineries, which are named alternative (alternate) and archaic systems, respectively (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). According to our new results (unpublished data), clades σ, α and β belong to non-classical systems, whereas γ, π, and κ are classical.

1.2.1 Classical CU pathway

According to the classification proposed by Nuccio & Baumler (2007), the classical CU pathway includes β-, γ- (subdivided into γ1-γ4), π-, and κ-fimbriae found in Gammaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria. Numerous pathogenic species assembling classical CU fimbriae include Salmonella enterica, Yersinia pestis, Bordetella pertussis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, as well as uropathogenic, enterotoxigenic, and enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC).

Based on the structural features of chaperones and the composition of subunits in the fiber, classical fimbriae have been divided into two groups termed FGS-assembled and FGL-assembled fimbriae (Zav’yalov et al., 1995; Hung et al., 1996). Periplasmic chaperones with a short F1-G1 loop (FGS-subfamily) form a polyphyletic group comprising clades β, π, κ, and γ1, γ2, γ4, and assemble highly diverse fimbrial structures (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). Perhaps, the best-characterized FGS-assembled fimbriae
are the type 1 fimbriae and P-pili of uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC) (Figure 3, a) belonging to the \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \pi \) clades, respectively. Both organelles have a complex subunit composition with a two-domain tip adhesin subunit (Figure 3, a) (Kuehn et al., 1992; Buts et al., 2003). Its C-terminal domain, which is structurally similar to the shaft-forming subunits, accepts a donor strand from the most distal polymerizing subunit, whereas the N-terminal domain exhibits the receptor-binding site (Kuehn et al., 1992; Dodson et al., 2001; Buts et al., 2003; Hung et al., 2002). Fimbriae possessing only one adhesive domain on the tip of the organelle are named monoadhesins (Zavialov et al., 2007). In addition to monoadhesins, the FGS-chaperone subfamily also governs the biogenesis of morphologically and functionally different polyadhesins (Zavialov et al., 2007), establishing multiple interactions with host cell receptors (Van den Broeck et al., 2000; Chessa et al., 2008) (Figure 3, b).

**Figure 3.** A schematic representation of FGS- and FGL-chaperone-assembled fimbriae:

- a - FGS-chaperone-assembled monoadhesive fimbriae (P-pili) (Kuehn et al., 1992; Roberts et al., 1994; Sauer et al., 2000; 2004);
- b - FGS-chaperone-assembled heteropolyadhesin (K88, (F4) pili) (Van den Broeck et al., 2000);
- c - FGL-chaperone-assembled heteropolyadhesin (CS6 colonization factor) (Roy et al., 2012);
- d - FGL-chaperone-assembled homopolyadhesin (AfaE) (Anderson et al., 2004).

In contrast to the FGS-chaperone subfamily, FGL-chaperones (long F1-G1 loop) form a monophyletic group of CU fimbriae belonging to the \( \gamma_3 \)-clade. This class exclusively assembles polyadhesins composed of one or two distinct types of subunits, each possessing independent adhesive sites to host cell receptors (Zavialov et al., 2007; Roy et al., 2012) (Figure 3, c, d). This class of fimbriae includes Sef and Saf (*Salmonella enterica* and *S. paratyphi*, respectively), F1 and Psa (pH6) antigens (*Yersinia pestis*),
Myf fimbriae (*Y. enterocolitica*), aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAF), Dr (Afa) adhesins, as well as CS3 and CS6 antigens (*E. coli*).

As the classical CU systems have been studied for several decades, the general principle of their assembly is well documented and generally understood. Fimbrial subunits have an incomplete immunoglobulin (Ig) fold lacking the seventh β-strand G (Choudhury *et al.*, 1999; Sauer *et al.*, 1999; Sauer *et al.*, 2002; Zavialov *et al.*, 2003). The absence of one strand creates a deep hydrophobic cavity inside the protein core, making it vulnerable to aggregation and subsequent proteolysis in the periplasm (Jones *et al.*, 2002). To prevent degradation and facilitate proper folding, the nascent subunit is captured by the chaperone. The periplasmic chaperone adopts a fold with two Ig-like domains joined together perpendicularly (Figure 4) (Holmgren & Branden, 1989; Choudhury *et al.*, 1999; Knight *et al.*, 2002; Zavialov *et al.*, 2003). The subunit is anchored to the chaperone by electrostatic interactions formed between two conserved basic residues of the N-terminal domain of the chaperone and the C-terminus of the subunit (Kuehn *et al.*, 1993; Zavialov *et al.*, 2003). The chaperone stabilizes the subunit by inserting its G1 strand into the hydrophobic groove of the subunit in a parallel orientation (Choudhury *et al.*, 1999; Sauer *et al.*, 1999) (Figures 4, 5). This unique process of non-covalent interactions between chaperone and subunit is known as donor strand complementation (DSC) (Choudhury *et al.*, 1999). The G1 strand of the chaperone inserts three (FGS chaperones) or five (FGL chaperones) donor residues occupying corresponding acceptor pockets (named P1–P5) of the subunit hydrophobic groove (Figures 4, 5) (Sauer *et al.*, 2002; Zavialov *et al.*, 2003). Pocket P5 plays a key role in pilus polymerization governed by the process of donor strand exchange (DSE) (Choudhury *et al.*, 1999; Zavialov *et al.*, 2003; Remaut *et al.*, 2006). The structural motif at the N-terminus of the incoming pilin subunit (termed donor strand (Gd)) replaces the donor strand of the chaperone and creates the more energetically favorable antiparallel Ig-fold (Zavialov *et al.*, 2005) (Figure 5). Subunit polymerization starts when the incoming pilin subunit inserts its P5 residue in the P5 pocket (initiation site) (Remaut *et al.*, 2006; Yu *et al.*, 2012a) (Figure 5). The hydrophobic residues of the chaperone are then gradually replaced by the Gd strand of the subunit in “zip-in-zip-out” mode (Zavialov *et al.*, 2003; Remaut *et al.*, 2006; Rose *et al.*, 2008).
Interestingly, subunit assembly is independent of cellular energy (Jacob-Dubuisson et al., 1994). The adoption of the canonical antiparallel Ig-fold significantly increases the stability of the subunits in the fiber in comparison with the subunit-chaperone complex (Zavialov et al., 2005). The chaperone “traps” the subunit in a high-energy conformation state and prevents the folding from being completed. Thereby the chaperone preserves a part of this folding energy, which is used to drive fiber formation (Zavialov et al., 2003). DSE leads to the collapse of the subunit’s hydrophobic core and its optimal “closed” conformation (Zavialov et al., 2005; Vitagliano et al., 2008).
Figure 5. Donor strand complementation (DSC) and donor strand exchange (DSE) mechanisms in the pilus assembly; the topology diagrams are based on the structures published by Zavialov et al. (2003; 2005) (PDB codes 1P5V and 1Z9S).
The process of subunit polymerization is governed by an usher assembly platform. The usher comprises a membrane-spanning domain, a periplasmic N-terminal domain (NTD), a plug domain, and two C-terminal domains (CTD1 and CTD2) (Thanassi et al., 2002; Nishiyama et al., 2005; Capitani et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2009; Dubnovitsky et al., 2010; Phan et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2012b) (Figure 6). Structural and biochemical studies on various CU systems have helped to understand the role of the usher in the assembly process of classical CU fimbriae.

Figure 6. The proposed model of pilus assembly via the CU pathway (OM – outer membrane, DSE – donor strand exchange, NTD – usher’s N-terminal domain, CTD1 and CTD2 – usher’s C-terminal domains 1 and 2).

Both NTD and CTDs can recruit two chaperone-subunit complexes simultaneously (Ng et al., 2004). CTDs accommodate a chaperone-subunit complex at the proximal end of the growing pilus (Phan et al., 2011), whereas the NTD domain recruits new chaperone-subunit complexes (Nishiyama et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2012b). The chaperone-usher interactions are allosterically regulated (Yu et al., 2012b). A conserved “proline lock” of the free chaperone prevents its binding to the usher (Figure 6). The formation of the chaperone-subunit complex rotates the proline lock away and opens the usher-binding surface of the chaperone. The close positioning of the subunits on the NTD and CTDs of the usher initiates the process of DSE (Zavialov et al., 2003; Phan et al., 2011). Upon the subunit’s polymerization, the subunit-free chaperone leaves the CTD binding pocket. The next step involves the transfer of the chaperone-subunit complex from the NTD to the CTD and the secretion of the distal subunit through the usher pore towards the cell surface (Figure 6) (Kuehn et al., 1993; Thanassi et al., 2002, Nishiyama et al., 2005; 2008; Li et al., 2004; Remaut et al., 2008).
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1.2.1.1 Aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAF) in the pathogenesis of Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC)

Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC) infections are commonly associated with diarrhea in infants, travellers and immunocompromised patients (Harrington et al., 2006). The characteristic feature of all EAEC strains is their attachment to the intestinal mucosa in a stack-brick adherence manner (Nataro et al., 1987; 1995). The attachment is mediated by aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAF) belonging to the FGL group of CU adhesins. Five variants of AAF (I–V) are currently known. The AAF are long flexible fibers found in bundles (AAF/I, AAF/II) or existing as individual filaments (AAF/III) (Nataro et al., 1993; Czeczulin et al., 1997). Similarly to other representatives of the Afa/Dr group, each fiber is a polymer of major subunits (A) capped with a minor subunit (B). In contrast to the relatively conserved minor subunits, the major subunits of the different AAF variants are highly variable. The low sequence similarity of the major subunits and differences in erythrocyte agglutination (Boisen et al., 2008) indicate that different AAF variants might recognize different receptors. It has been previously shown that AAF/II binds to laminin, fibronectin and type IV collagen, but the mechanism of the adhesion is not currently known (Farfan et al., 2008). The receptor-binding specificity of other AAF variants is yet to be determined. Apart from their purely adhesive function, AAF were shown to trigger an inflammatory response by increasing cytokine production (Harrington et al., 2005), and to promote biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces (Sheikh et al., 2001).

All AAF variants are encoded by a pAA virulence plasmid, and their expression is regulated by the aggR gene, which is also located on the pAA (Vial et al., 1988). Different strains of EAEC usually express only one variant of AAF (Harrington et al., 2006). In addition to AAF, EAEC produce other virulence factors, including ShET1 (Shigella enterotoxin 1) (Navarro-Garcia et al., 1998), Pet (plasmid-encoded toxin) (Eslava et al., 1998), EAST1 (EAEC heat-stable enterotoxin 1) (Savarino et al., 1993) and autotransporters (Navarro-Garcia et al., 2011). Interestingly, the set of virulence factors is unique for each isolate (Navarro-Garcia et al., 2014). The recently emerged EAEC O104:H4 strain responsible for a severe outbreak of hemorrhagic diarrhea in 2011 additionally produces Shiga-toxin 2 (Stx2) (Nataro et al., 2011), commonly expressed by enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC). Interestingly, the Stx2-producing EAEC O104:H4 strain was far more virulent than EHEC. One of the reasons might be the presence of EAEC-specific AAF (AAF/I variant), enabling the tight adhesion of bacteria to the intestinal mucosa (Navarro-Garcia et al., 2014). Interactions of AAF/I with host cells lead to the disruption of the epithelial barrier and consequently to the faster absorption of Stx2 (Boisen et al., 2015). Thereby, the “cocktail” of virulence factors acquired from both EAEC and EHEC explains the severe outcome of the EAEC O104:H4 infection, which accounted for 54 deaths and 845 cases of hemolytic uremic syndrome (Frank et al., 2011; Navarro-Garcia et al., 2014).
The emergence of the Stx-producing EAEC O104:H4 strain raises the concern that other virulent clones of EAEC might appear in the future. To be able to address this challenge, further research into EAEC pathogenesis is required. Structural and biochemical studies of different AAF variants will provide important insights into the adhesion mechanisms governing the initial stage of the infection.

1.2.1.2 Myf and Psa fimbriae in the pathogenesis of *Yersinia*

The genus of *Yersinia* contains three pathogenic species: *Y. pestis*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. enterocolitica*. Despite their phylogenetic propinquity (97% genome identity), these pathogens utilize various routes of transmission and cause strikingly different diseases (Galindo *et al.*, 2011). Plague caused by *Y. pestis* is spread via a bite from an infected flea (Anisimov *et al.*, 2004) or via air droplets from human to human (Perry & Fetherston, 1997). Airborne transmission leads to the most severe and highly fatal pneumonic plague (Perry & Fetherston, 1997). Yersiniosis, mostly caused by *Y. enterocolitica* and less commonly by *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, is spread exclusively via the fecal-oral route (Galindo *et al.*, 2011). Intriguingly, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* can additionally cause a lethal lung infection, similar to pneumonic plague in guinea pigs and some mice (Wagner *et al.*, 1976; Paczosa *et al.* 2014; Worsham *et al.*, 2012).

All three pathogenic *Yersinia* species elaborate adhesive organelles via the CU pathway (Mikula *et al.*, 2012). Closely related *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. pestis* assemble Psa fimbriae, whereas *Y. enterocolitica* forms the mycoid *Yersinia* factor, or Myf fimbriae. Both Psa and Myf fimbriae are expressed in vivo at 37°C and low pH (< 6) (Lindler & Tall, 1993; Iriarte *et al.*, 1993; 1995). The presence of Psa fimbriae was shown to be important for the virulence of *Y. pestis*. In particular, Psa contributes to the translocation of cytotoxic Yops to the host cells via the dedicated type III secretion system (Felek *et al.*, 2010) and inhibits phagocytosis by macrophages (Huang & Lindler, 2004). Purified Psa also binds to β1-linked galactosyl residues in glycosphingolipids (Payne *et al.*, 1998). Additionally, Psa fimbriae mediate the colonization of lungs by interacting with phosphatidylcholine, the major component of pulmonary surfactant (Liu *et al.*, 2006; Galván *et al.*, 2007). The structural basis for the Psa-mediated receptor recognition was recently determined (Bao *et al.*, 2013).

In contrast to Psa, the implication of Myf fimbriae in the pathogenesis of yersiniosis remains unclear. The presence of Myf only in the pathogenic serotypes of *Y. enterocolitica* suggests its potential importance for virulence (Iriarte *et al.*, 1993). Furthermore, the high degree of sequence identity (44%) between the pilin subunits of Myf and Psa indicates that the adhesins play a similar role in the infection (Lindler *et al.*, 1990; Lindler & Tall, 1993).
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1.2.2 Alternative (alternate) CU pathway

The alternative CU pathway assembles a relatively small (15 representatives from *Gammaproteobacteria* and 1 representative from *Betaproteobacteria*) but surprisingly divergent group of fimbriae. Members of this family have been found in pathogenic species including enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC), *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Yersinia pestis*, as well as *Salmonella enterica* Typhi and Paratyphi (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). Furthermore, the alternative fimbriae were shown to contribute directly to bacterial virulence. Thus, colonization factor antigen I (CFA/I), coli surface antigens (CS1, CS2, CS4, and CS5 of ETEC mediate the colonization of the human small intestine (Gaastra & Svennerholm, 1996; Viboud et al., 1996).

Earlier studies revealed that the assembly of fimbriae via the alternative CU pathway requires four essential proteins: a periplasmic chaperone, an usher, a polymerizing shaft subunit, and a tip subunit serving as an adhesin (Sakellaris et al., 1996; Sekellaris & Scott, 1998; Voegele et al., 1997; Poole et al., 2007). High-resolution structures of the CfaEdsc tip adhesin subunit (Li et al., 2007), CfaB major subunit (Li et al., 2009), and CfaA chaperone (Bao et al., 2014) from CFA/I enabled the elucidation of the overall architecture of alternative fimbriae.

Despite the low sequence similarity between alternative and classical CU machineries, the architecture of CFA/I resembles that of type 1 fimbriae. This phenomenon might be explained by the convergent evolutionary specialization of these fimbriae to the same host microenvironment (Poole et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; 2009).

Both the CfaB major subunit and the CfaEdsc tip adhesin subunit from CFA/I structurally resemble the Ig-like domain structure of the corresponding subunits FimA and FimH from type 1 fimbriae (Li et al., 2007; 2009). CfaB displays one Ig-folded domain (Li et al., 2009), whereas CfaEdsc comprises two Ig-like domains connected with a short linker (Li et al., 2007). The N-terminal domain of CfaE mediates the specific attachment of the fimbriae to host cell receptors, whilst the C-terminal domain connects the subunit to the tip of the fiber (Poole et al., 2007). In contrast to the relatively flexible FimH subunit from the classical CU pathway, the CfaE domains form a rigid structure with limited motility (Li et al., 2007). Conserved basic residues (Arg67 and Arg181) located at the upper surface of the CfaE N-terminal domain form the binding site, presumably for negatively charged sialylated receptors (Li et al., 2007).

Structural data demonstrate that alternative fimbriae utilize similar mechanisms of DSC and DSE to drive the assembly of subunits (Poole et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007). However, low sequence identity between the assembly proteins of classical and alternative CU pathways suggests significant differences in chaperone-subunit and
subunit-subunit interactions (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007; Soto & Hultgren, 1999). Indeed, the recently determined structure of the CfaA chaperone displays unique features, distinguishing it from both FGL and FGS chaperones (Bao et al., 2014). On the one hand, CfaA possesses a long F1-G1 loop specific to FGL chaperones. On the other hand, similarly to FGS chaperones, CfaA lacks a disulfide bridge that is supposed to stabilize the F1-G1 loop (Bao et al., 2014). In contrast to both FGS and FGL chaperones, CfaA exhibits D1’ and C2-D2’ insertions that might be important for subunit capturing. A different set of residues at the inter-domain cleft of the chaperone might also indicate a unique subunit anchoring mechanism (Bao et al., 2014).

Despite the significant progress that has been made towards the understanding of alternative fimbriae architecture, further structural and functional studies are required to elucidate their biogenesis. High-resolution structures of chaperone-subunit binary complexes will provide necessary information for unraveling the precise mechanism of pilus assembly via the alternative CU pathway.

1.2.3 Archaic CU pathway (σ-fimbriae)

The archaic CU pathway comprises the most ubiquitous group of fimbriae. Numerous representatives of σ-fimbriae were identified in Alpha-, Beta-, Gamma-, and Deltaproteobacteria as well as in the phyla Deinococcus-Thermus and Cyanobacteria (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). Bacteria assembling archaic fimbriae include non-pathogenic soil, marine and aquatic microbes as well as various plant and human pathogens. Pathogenic species cause serious diseases, such as plague (Yersinia pestis), pneumonia (Acinetobacter baumannii, Burkholderia cepacia), septicemia (A. baumannii, Vibrio vulnificus), and cystic fibrosis (Pseudomonas aeruginosa), and they primarily affect immunocompromised individuals. Interestingly, archaic CU fimbriae are found in many multidrug-resistant pathogens (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). Thus, A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa, the two pathogens of the ESKAPE group acquiring antibiotic resistance most rapidly (Tommasi et al., 2015), express homologous archaic fimbriae (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007; Tomaras et al., 2003; Giraud & de Bentzmann, 2012). Plant pathogens, including species of Xanthomonas, Erwinia and Acidovorax, infect a large group of economically important plants, such as citrus and apple trees, rice, cotton, and potato (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007). The caused crop diseases often have devastating consequences for agriculture and might lead to a complete loss of the harvest.

Despite the wide phylogenetic distribution of archaic fimbriae and their significance for both medicine and agriculture, neither the structural organization nor the precise assembly mechanisms of these organelles are currently known. Recent biochemical studies of the Mcu system of Myxococcus xanthus demonstrate that Mcu assembly is governed by a DSE mechanism similar to that of the classical systems (Zhu et al.,
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2013; Wu et al., 2014). However, subunit anchoring in archaic systems is likely to occur through a different mechanism (Zhu et al., 2013). In classical fimbriae, two basic residues of the chaperone interact with the C-terminal carboxyl groups of the subunit (Kuehn et al., 1993; Zavialov et al., 2003). However, the corresponding residues of the archaic Mcu chaperone were dispensable for chaperone function (Zhu et al., 2013). To elucidate the precise molecular mechanism of archaic pili biogenesis, high-resolution structural studies are required.

1.2.3.1 Acinetobacter baumannii infections and Csu fimbriae

Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii thriving in the hospital environment has quickly become one of the most difficult Gram-negative bacteria to control and treat (Maragakis & Perl, 2008). This opportunistic pathogen targets immunocompromised individuals and causes a wide range of infections, including pneumonia, meningitis, septicemia and urinary tract infections. The resistance of A. baumannii to almost all available antibiotics poses a serious threat to patients. As the pathogen usually affects individuals with severe underlying illnesses, its impact on the mortality rate is hard to evaluate. However, A. baumannii infections certainly correlate with increased morbidity and the length of the hospital stay (Maragakis & Perl, 2008).

The extraordinary ability of A. baumannii to survive on abiotic surfaces contributes to the severity of its outbreaks in health care units around the globe (Bergogne-Bérézin & Towner, 1996). The pathogen was shown to colonize various objects, including glass and plastic medical equipment and tools, hospital furniture, curtains, and bed linen (Wilks et al., 2006). The outstanding survival properties and remarkable antibiotic resistance of A. baumannii are likely to be associated with the formation of dense biofilms on biotic and abiotic surfaces (Tomaras et al., 2003). Tomaras et al. (2003; 2008) demonstrated that the biofilm formation in A. baumannii is mediated by archaic Csu pili composed of CsuA/B, CsuA, CsuB, and CsuE subunits assembled by the chaperone CsuC and the usher CsuD. The authors confirmed the importance of σ-fimbriae for bacterial virulence, as the disruption of csuC and csuE gene expression abrogated pilus assembly and biofilm formation (Tomaras et al., 2003). Intriguingly, another multi-resistant pathogen, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, forms Csuhomologous CupE pili that play a significant role in the development of biofilms enabling the pathogen to evade host immune defense and preventing the penetration of antibacterial drugs (Giraud et al., 2011; Giraud & de Bentzmann, 2012).

The exceptional antibiotic resistance of both A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa underlines the necessity for novel therapeutic approaches to counter these infections. Targeting the assembly of biofilm-mediating pili represents a promising alternative to traditional antibiotics.
1.3 Applications of adhesive organelles in medicine

The fimbrial adhesins of pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria play a key role in establishing infections by mediating the attachment to target tissues, biofilm formation, and evasion of host immune defense (Zav’yalov et al., 2010; Lo et al., 2013). CU fimbriae are considered to be indispensable tools for bacterial pathogenesis, as strains deprived of these organelles display drastically reduced virulence (Cegelski et al., 2008). Thus, bacterial adhesins are promising targets for the next generation of antibacterial drugs (Zav’yalov et al., 2010; Geibel & Waksman, 2014). The concept of disarming bacteria by attacking their virulence factors has a significant advantage over traditional antimicrobial therapy. The inhibition of bacterial adhesion without placing an immediate life threat on the pathogen will allow for natural clearance of the infection and prevent the development of drug resistance (Zav’yakov et al., 2010). Additionally, the specific targeting of virulence factors will minimize the risk of dysbiosis often associated with broad-spectrum antibiotics (Lo et al., 2013).

Two general strategies of bacterial virulence attenuation are currently explored (Cusumano & Hultgren, 2009). The first approach involves the specific inhibition of bacterial adhesion to host cells by introducing agents mimicking host-cell receptors. This strategy of competitive binding was shown to be successful for the treatment of urinary tract infections (Cusumano et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2012). Mannosides exhibiting the natural FimH binding determinant D-mannose prevented biofilm formation and the adhesion of UPEC to epithelial cells (Bouckaert et al., 2005). However, this approach is only relevant for monovalent adhesins displaying one high affinity site to a particular receptor. Deceiving the bacteria with receptor analogues might be problematic in the case of polyadhesins possessing multiple binding sites along the fimbriae (Lo et al., 2013).

Another approach to targeting bacterial virulence involves the interruption of fimbriae biogenesis at different stages. Structural data demonstrate that the binding of the chaperone-subunit complex to the usher assembly platform is critical for the formation of fimbriae (Phan et al., 2011). This observation led to the development of pilicides, derivatives of dihydrothiazolo ring-fused 2-pyridones (Svensson et al., 2001; Pinkner et al., 2006). Pilicides were shown to prevent interactions of the N-terminal domain of the usher with the chaperone-subunit complex and to effectively inhibit the biogenesis of P pili, type 1 and Dr fimbriae of UPEC (Chorell et al., 2010; Greene et al., 2014; Piatek et al., 2013). However, additional studies are required to evaluate the effect of pilicide-treated bacteria in vivo (Lo et al., 2013). New structural data on fimbriae biogenesis might provide novel inhibition targets fueling the development of other virulence-attenuating compounds.
Apart from pure drug design applications, CU fimbriae, particularly highly immunogenic polyadhesins, can also be used for the accurate diagnosis of infections as well as vaccine development (Zav’yalov et al., 2010). For example, a vaccine based on the F1 and V antigens of Y. pestis provides a high degree of protection against pneumonic plague (Powell et al., 2005; Williamson et al., 2005). In addition, passive immunization with monoclonal antibodies against F1-antigen can serve as an effective post-exposure treatment for the Y. pestis infection (Anderson et al., 1997; Hill et al., 2006). Monoclonal antibodies to SefD or SafD fimbrial subunits can be used to distinguish main Salmonella infections (Zav’yalov et al., 2010).

The recent discovery of non-classical CU pathways opened new horizons for the further exploration of fimbrial organelles. Non-classical fimbriae are present in various classes of bacteria, including a vast number of human and plant pathogens. Thorough research into archaic and alternative fimbria biogenesis will provide new insights into the mechanisms of bacterial virulence and facilitate further drug development efforts.
2. AIMS OF THE STUDY

The aim of this thesis is to explore the mechanism and biological significance of the polyvalent attachment of fimbrial adhesins to host cell receptors and elucidate the assembly mechanism of fimbriae via the archaic chaperone-usher (CU) pathway. This study is of fundamental and practical significance. Unraveling the molecular grounds for polyadhesin-mediated attachment and archaic fimbriae biogenesis will provide important insights into how to fight bacterial infections by suppressing either pilus assembly or host-pathogen interactions. Novel structural data can be directly employed in the development of novel anti-adhesion drugs against Gram-negative bacteria, including multi-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

The specific objectives of the study are:

1. to determine the high-resolution structures of fimbrial subunits from aggregative adherence fimbriae type I (AAF/I), Psa and Myf polyadhesins;

2. to elucidate the structural basis for host receptor recognition mediated by the above-mentioned fimbriae;

3. to determine the high-resolution structures of the donor strand complemented major subunit CsuA/B and the chaperone-major subunit CsuC-CsuA/B pre-assembly complexes from the archaic Csu CU system;

4. to analyze the mechanism of donor strand exchange in the CsuC-CsuA/B preassembly complex.
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Design of donor strand complemented monomers and expression construct CsuC-CsuA/B (original publications I–V)

Donor strand-completed (DSC) pilin subunits (AggAdsc, AggBdsc, PsaAdsc, MyfAdsc, and CsuA/Bdsc) were engineered by placing residues corresponding to the N-terminal donor strand at the C-terminus extended with a DNKQ linker (AggAdsc, AggBdsc, PsaAdsc, and MyfAdsc) or GG-linker (CsuA/Bdsc). A His6-tag was added after the signal peptide cleavage site to all constructs, except AggBdsc and PsaAdsc. All protein sequences were ordered from GenScript and placed under the T7-promoter of the pET101D expression vector (Invitrogen). To produce the recombinant CsuC-CsuA/B complex, co-expression plasmid pET101-CsuC-6HCsuA/B was created. Residues 4–12 in the mature sequence of CsuA/B were replaced with a His6-tag.

3.2 Protein expression (original publications I–V)

The expression plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli strain BL21-AI (Invitrogen). E. coli transformants were cultivated in Luria-Bertani medium containing ampicillin (100 μg ml⁻¹) at 310 K. To initiate the expression of a target protein, cells grown to an optical density of 0.8–1 at 600 nm were induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside and 0.2 % of arabinose. After induction, the culture was further grown for 2.5 hours. Induced bacterial cells were subjected to osmotic shock. CsuC-CsuA/B, CsuA/Bdsc, MyfAdsc, PsaAdsc, and AggBdsc were extracted with 5 mM magnesium sulfate (periplasmic fraction), whereas AggAdsc was found in the sucrose fraction (20% sucrose, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0).

3.3 Protein purification

3.3.1. Purification of His6-tagged proteins (AggAdsc, MyfAdsc, CsuC-CsuA/B, and CsuA/Bdsc) (papers I, III–VI)

The purification of His6-tagged proteins started with the Ni-chelate chromatography of dialyzed protein extracts. The composition of buffers used for various purification
steps is listed in Table 1. A 5–500 mM gradient of imidazole was used to elute the proteins. Further purification was performed using cation-exchange chromatography (AggA, CsuC-CsuA/B, and CsuA/Bdsc) or anion-exchange chromatography (MyfAdsc) (Table 1). The proteins were eluted with a 0–300 mM (AggA, CsuC-CsuA/B, and CsuA/Bdsc) or 0–600 mM (MyfAdsc) gradient of sodium chloride at 277 K. Prior to crystallization, AggAdsc, MyfAdsc and CsuA/Bdsc were additionally loaded on a Superdex 75 gel-filtration column (GE Healthcare) at 277 K. For the crystallization of CsuA/Bdsc, reductive methylation of lysine residues was performed prior to gel-filtration.

### Table 1. Composition of buffers used for protein purification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Ni-chelate chromatography</th>
<th>Cation-exchange chromatography</th>
<th>Anion-exchange chromatography</th>
<th>Gel-filtration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AggAdsc</td>
<td>20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M sodium chloride, 5 mM imidazole</td>
<td>50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AggBdsc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2</td>
<td>20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5</td>
<td>50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyfAdsc</td>
<td>50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0, 300 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM imidazole</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</td>
<td>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsaAdsc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.1</td>
<td>20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8</td>
<td>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsuC-CsuA/B</td>
<td>20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M sodium chloride, 5 mM imidazole</td>
<td>50 mM HEPES, pH 7.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsuA/Bdsc</td>
<td>20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M sodium chloride, 5 mM imidazole</td>
<td>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3.2. Purification of His6-tag-free AggBdsc and PsaAdsc (papers II, III)

His-tag-free proteins were purified using ion exchange chromatography (Table 1). The dialyzed periplasmic extract containing AggBdsc was first filtrated through a 20 ml Source 30Q column (GE healthcare). The PsaAdsc-containing periplasmic extract was loaded on a Source 30S column (GE Healthcare), and the protein was eluted with a 0–
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300 mM gradient of sodium chloride. Further purification steps included cation exchange (AggBdsc) or anion exchange chromatography (PsaAdsc) with a 0–300 mM gradient of sodium chloride, followed by size-exclusion chromatography. The composition of buffers is indicated in Table 1.

3.4 Incorporation of selenomethionine (papers III–VI)

Selenomethionine was incorporated into MyfAdsc, CsuC-CsuA/B, and CsuA/Bdsc. E. coli BL21-DE3 transformants were grown in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) overnight at 310 K. The next morning, the cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000 g, 5 min) and suspended in M9 minimal medium (Doublé, 2007) containing ampicillin (100 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) at 310 K. Thirty minutes prior to induction, selenomethionine (50 mg l\(^{-1}\)), lysine (100 mg l\(^{-1}\)), valine (50 mg l\(^{-1}\)), phenylalanine (100 mg l\(^{-1}\)), threonine (100 mg l\(^{-1}\)), isoleucine (50 mg l\(^{-1}\)), and leucine (50 mg l\(^{-1}\)) were added to the growing cells. The induction of protein expression and protein extraction were performed as described in 3.2.

3.5 Protein crystallization (papers I–IV, VI)

Prior to crystallization, the purity of all protein samples was verified using SDS-PAGE. Proteins were concentrated prior to crystallization experiments in a Vivaspin device with a molecular weight cut-off of 5 kDa (AggA, AggB, PsaA, MyfA, and CsuA/B) or 30 kDa (CsuC-CsuA/B). Initial crystallization conditions were obtained by the “sitting-drop” vapor-diffusion method using commercial screening kits: Index-HR2-144, Crystal Screen HT-HR2-130 and I+II (Hampton Research, USA), JCSG+ Suite (Qiagen), and PACT premier (Molecular dimensions). Optimization of the crystallization conditions was carried out manually by the “hanging drop” vapor-diffusion method. The optimized crystallization conditions for each protein are given in Table 2.

3.6 X-ray diffraction data collection (papers I–IV, VI)

Crystals were soaked for 30–60 s in cryoprotection solution (reservoir solution complemented with 30% PEG 400) and then frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected at 100 K on beamlines BM14 (AggA), BM14U (native CsuC-CsuA/B), ID23-1 (AggB, CsuC-CsuA/B with incorporated selenomethionine (Mse), and native
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CsuA/Bdsc) ID29 (native MyfAdsc, Mse-incorporated MyfAdsc, PsaAdsc in complex with choline, and Mse-incorporated CsuA/Bdsc), and ID23-2 (MyfAdsc in complex with galactose) at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France.

Table 2. Crystallization conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Protein concentration (mg ml(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Protein solution</th>
<th>Reservoir solution</th>
<th>Volume, drop ratio</th>
<th>Reservoir volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AggAdsc</td>
<td>SDVD</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>25 mM HEPES, 150 mM sodium chloride pH 7.5</td>
<td>0.2 M sodium acetate, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, 30% (w/v) PEG 8000</td>
<td>2 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AggBdsc</td>
<td>SDVD</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25 mM HEPES, 150 mM sodium chloride pH 7.5</td>
<td>0.2 M Lithium sulfate monohydrate, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 30% w/v PEG 4000</td>
<td>2 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyfAdsc</td>
<td>HDVD</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10 mM HEPES, 150 mM sodium chloride pH 7.4</td>
<td>35% dioxane (v/v)</td>
<td>4 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsaAdsc</td>
<td>HDVD</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10 mM HEPES, 150 mM sodium chloride pH 7.4</td>
<td>0.1 M imidazole, pH 7.8, 0.2 M zinc acetate</td>
<td>4 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsuC-CsuA/Bdsc</td>
<td>HDVD</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25 mM HEPES, pH 7.2</td>
<td>0.1 M malic acid pH 7.0, 15% PEG 3350</td>
<td>4 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsuA/Bdsc</td>
<td>HDVD</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10 mM HEPES, 75 mM sodium chloride pH 7.4</td>
<td>28%—30% PEG 8000, 0.2M ammonium sulfate</td>
<td>4 μl, 1:1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDVD – “sitting drop” vapor diffusion method; “HDVD” – “hanging drop” vapor diffusion method

3.7. Protein structure determination (papers I–IV, VI)

X-ray diffraction data were processed using XDS (Kabsch, 2010) (AggA; AggB), the Grenoble automatic data processing system (GrenADeS) at ESRF (Monaco et al., 2013) (CsuC-CsuA/B, CsuA/Bdsc), MOSFLM (Battye et al., 2011), and SCALA program suite (CCP4, 1994) (MyfAdsc and PsaAdsc). Initial phases were determined
using a variety of methods: sulfur single wavelength anomalous dispersion (S-SAD) phasing (AggA), selenium single wavelength anomalous dispersion (Se-SAD) phasing (MyfAdsc, CsuC-CsuA/B, and CsuA/Bdsc), and molecular replacement (AggBdsc and PsaAdsc). The initial models were constructed and refined using the PHENIX (Adams et al., 2002) and CCP4 (CCP4, 1994) software packages. Refinement statistics for the final models are presented in the Table 3. Manual corrections of the structures were done using COOT (Emsley et al., 2010). Ligands were placed into the electron density by the LigandFit module of the PHENIX software package.

Table 3. Refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>AggAdsc</th>
<th>AggBdsc</th>
<th>MyfAdsc</th>
<th>MyfAdsc-galactose</th>
<th>PsaAdsc-choline</th>
<th>CsuC-CsuA/B</th>
<th>CsuA/Bdsc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>47.66-1.55</td>
<td>58.63-2.40</td>
<td>41.98-1.46</td>
<td>28.07-1.65</td>
<td>49.98-2.36</td>
<td>49.635-2.4</td>
<td>46.243-1.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork (%)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>22.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of protein residues / assymetric unit</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands/ ions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 galactose</td>
<td>3 choline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>1.344</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>1.839</td>
<td>1.249</td>
<td>1.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in outlier regions (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in favoured regions (%)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>95.87</td>
<td>96.69</td>
<td>92.11</td>
<td>93.90</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in allowed regions (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.59</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>98.73</td>
<td>98.30</td>
<td>98.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.8 Site-directed mutagenesis (papers II, III, V)

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by reverse polymerase-chain reaction according to the Quik-Change protocol with the PfuTurbo high-fidelity polymerase (Stratagene).

3.9 Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) binding experiments (papers II, V)

To characterize AggA binding to fibronectin, fibronectin (approximately 1800 RU) was loaded on a CM5 Sensor chip by amine coupling. To obtain the association and the dissociation curves, various concentrations of AggA were injected. To perform the kinetic analysis of the CsuC-CsuA/B binding, CsuC-CsuA/B-His6-tag was immobilized on an NTA-sensor chip. After CsuC was gradually washed off from the complex, samples of free CsuC at different concentrations were injected into the flow cell. The chips were regenerated after each cycle of the experiment. For all experiments, a Biacore X100 (GE Healthcare) was used.

3.10 Stability analysis of CsuC-CsuA/B and CsuA/Bdsc (paper VI)

Thermodynamic studies on CsuC-CsuA/B and CsuA/Bdsc were performed by differential scanning calorimetry using a Micro-Cal VP-ITC microcalorimeter with a cell volume of 0.5 ml at a heating rate 0.5–1°C/min.

3.11 Isolation of Myf and Psa fimbriae (paper III)

Psa fimbriae were expressed in *E. coli* BL21-AI transformants according to 3.2. Myf fimbriae were purified from *Yersinia enterocolitica* strain 8081c (collection of Prof. Skurnik, University of Helsinki). Fimbriae were isolated by ammonium sulfate precipitation. Myf fimbriae were subsequently labeled with Eu$^{3+}$-DTTA chelate using the DELFIA® Eu-Labeling kit (PerkinElmer) or 125I using Na$^{125}$I (100 μCi ml$^{-1}$; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).
3.12 Determination of receptor binding by Myf and Psa (paper III)

Bacterial cells expressing the Psa fimbriae were added to microtiter plates coated with phosphatidylcholine. After a few cycles of washing, the adhered cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde and stained with 1% crystal violet. After stain extraction with 0.2% Triton X-100, the absorbance at 570 nm was measured.

The isolated Psa or the Eu³⁺-DTTA chelate labeled Myf fimbriae at different concentrations were added to microtiter plates coated with lactosylceramide (Myf) or phosphatidylcholine (Myf, Psa) blocked with 0.5% bovine serum albumin in phosphate-buffered saline. Wells with the added Psa fimbriae were additionally incubated with rabbit anti-Psa serum, goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase, and ABTS substrate. The bound Psa fimbriae were detected by measuring the well’s absorbance at 405 nm. The bound Myf fimbriae were detected by measuring Eu³⁺-DTTA fluorescence at 615 nm following the well’s excitation at 340 nm.

3.13 Characterization of Myf receptor binding specificity (paper III)

The receptor binding specificity of the Myf fimbriae was analyzed by thin layer chromatography and chromatogram binding assay. Thin layer chromatograms of various glycosphingolipids were incubated with ¹²⁵I-labeled Myf and then autoradiographed for 12 hours.

3.14 Characterization of MyfAdsc binding to lactose and galactose (paper III)

The binding of MyfAdsc to galactose was analyzed by measuring its intrinsic protein fluorescence with and without the ligand. As the ligand directly interacts with Trp94 in MyfAdsc, it will decrease protein fluorescence. The binding of MyfAdsc to lactose was characterized by isothermal titration calorimetry.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 High-resolution structures of polyadhesin fimbrial subunits (papers I-III)

To elucidate the molecular basis for fimbriae-mediated receptor recognition, we performed high-resolution structural studies on fimbrial subunits. Three important members of the FGL (F1-G1 loop long) family of chaperone-usher (CU) fimbrial polyadhesins are in the focus of the thesis: AAF/I (from the outbreak strain of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* (EAEC) O4H104), Psa (from *Yersinia pestis* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*), and Myf (from *Y. enterocolitica*). It has been previously shown that both AAF and Psa fimbriae are directly involved in pathogenesis (Czeczulin et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1996; Lindler et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2006), whereas the role of Myf for the virulence of *Y. enterocolitica* has not yet been clarified.

Considering the homology of the CU machineries, we hypothesized that the assembly of AAF/I, Myf and Psa fimbriae is governed by the donor strand complementation (DSC) mechanism (Sauer et al. 2002), similarly to other previously studied adhesins (Zavialov et al., 2003; Anderson et al., 2004; Remaut et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2012). A closer inspection of the protein sequences at the N-terminus revealed a characteristic pattern of alternating hydrophobic-hydrophilic residues that could act as a donor strand (Gd) linking the subunits in the fiber. Both Myf and Psa fimbriae are homopolymers, as myf and ph6 gene clusters encode only one pilin subunit named MyfA and PsaA, respectively. In contrast, the agg gene cluster expresses two types of subunits: AggA (major subunit) and AggB (minor subunit). As the AggB subunit lacks the N-terminal sequence corresponding to the Gd strand, we assumed that the minor subunit is located on the tip of the pili whilst AggA, which is capable of polymerization, forms the shaft of the fiber.

To enable high-resolution structural studies on fimbrial subunits, their stable monomers have to be produced. This can be easily achieved by relocating the N-terminal Gd strand of the subunit to its C-terminus, thereby enabling the formation of a stable DSC monomer with a complete immunoglobulin (Ig) fold (Figure 7). Following this procedure, we engineered DSC subunits. The DSC monomers of AggA, PsaA and MyfA had their own Gd strand, whereas AggB was complemented with the donor sequence of AggA. The pilin subunits were expressed in *E. coli*, purified and crystallized, and thereafter their high-resolution structures were determined.
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Figure 7. Design of DSC monomers. The topology diagram is based on the structure of Caf1 (PDB code 1Z9S).

As expected, the DSC monomers of all studied pilin subunits had a canonical Ig-fold composed of two β-sheets. Strands A, B, and E form β-sheet 1, strands C, F, and Gd form β-sheet 2, and strand D switches between the sheets (Figure 8). In all structures, the Gd strand is hydrogen-bonded only to strand F, which is a characteristic feature of the FGL CU family.

Figure 8. The high-resolution structures of the DSC-subunits of AggA, AggB, MyfA and PsaA, cartoon representation. The β-strands as well as N- and C-termini are labeled; the Gd strands are shown in yellow. The donor residues are shown as ball-and-sticks and labeled.
Despite the similarity of the overall fold, there are several remarkable differences between the studied pilin subunits, such as the number of donor residues/acceptor pockets in each subunit and the presence/absence of a conserved disulfide bond. Thus, the Gd strand of AggAdsc inserts seven classical donor residues into the acceptor cleft, whereas the Gd strands of MyfA and PsaA possess six of them, and the AggB tip subunit accommodates only five residues donated by the major subunit (Figure 8). Unlike MyfA and PsaA, both the major and minor subunits of AAF/I fimbriae have a pair of cysteine residues, forming a disulfide bond in the core structure of the molecule. However, in AggA this bond connects the beginning of the subunit fold with a short α-helix between the strands B and C1, whilst in AggB the disulfide bond is formed between the BC1-loop and the F-strand of the molecule, thus protecting its acceptor cleft (Figure 9). Interestingly, the mentioned α-helix of AggA is absent in all other studied subunits. The presence of an additional donor residue and the α-helix gives AggA a more elongated shape compared to the other subunits.

As expected, the highly homologous MyfA and PsaA have similar structures. In contrast to AggA and AggB, MyfA and PsaA possess the short strands A’ and E’.
The only significant differences between PsaA and MyfA were observed in the structure of strand D and the orientation of the loops between strands A and B as well as D’ and D’’. In addition, the D’-D’’ loop of MyfA is two residues shorter (paper III, Figure 1, Figure 3C). In the following chapters, I will demonstrate that these structural variations play a key role in the recognition of host cell receptors by these adhesins.

The calculation of the electrostatic potential of all studied pilin subunits revealed another important difference between them. Similarly to other known structures of pilin monomers, MyfA and PsaA are negatively charged (Figure 10). By contrast, AggA and AggB carry a highly positive charge, which is more pronounced in AggA. Indeed, AggA possesses a significant number of surface-exposed basic residues. Can this feature of AggA be essential for receptor recognition?

Despite the above-mentioned differences, the structural organization of the studied polyadhesin subunits is similar to other fimbrial subunits of the FGL CU family (Zavialov et al., 2003; Roy et al., 2012). Therefore, the binding specificity of the polyadhesins is likely to be determined by the nature of residues exposed to the surface of the molecule.
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4.2 The structural basis for host cell receptor recognition in AAF/I, Myf, Psa fimbriae (papers I–III)

4.2.1 AAF/I specifically binds fibronectin using a positively charged surface (paper II)

Based on the structures of the DSC monomers and the previously determined crystal structure of the mini-fiber of F1-antigen (Zavialov et al., 2003), we proposed the atomic model of AAF/I fimbriae (paper II, Figure 4). The AAF/I shaft structure was constructed based on the structure of major subunits (AggA) with a terminal module of the minor subunit AggB. The circular permutation of the DSC-subunits was reverted by connecting the C-terminus of the Gd strands to the N-termini of the neighboring subunits by their native linker sequence TND. The artificial linker sequences bridging the C-termini of the DSC-subunits with the N-termini of the Gd strands were removed. The model of the AAF/I fiber revealed that most of the basic residues cluster at the junction between the neighboring subunits, forming a prominent basic surface with a shallow groove in the middle (paper II, Figure 6). The positively charged patch is formed by lysine side chains protruding towards the inter-subunit cleft (Figure 11).

![Figure 11. Positively charged patch at the inter-subunit cleft of AAF/I, cartoon representation. The β-strands are labeled. Residues of interest are shown as ball-and-sticks and labeled.](image)
The comparison of AggA with the structures of other AAF monomers showed the consistency of the positively charged surface patch at the poles of the subunits (paper II, Figure 6), even though the patch-forming residues are not highly conserved and are located at different sequence positions (paper II, Figure S1). The presence of the basic surface at the subunit interfaces might have various implications in bacterial pathogenesis. Firstly, the repulsion of subunits in a fiber may enable the fimbrial shaft to protrude from the cell surface as far as possible. In addition, the basic inter-subunit groove might be directly involved in host receptor recognition.

It was previously demonstrated that AAF/II fimbriae mediate the attachment of EAEC strain 042 to the extracellular matrix protein fibronectin, which is a common receptor for various bacterial adhesins (Farfan et al., 2008). Hence, we decided to investigate the possible adhesion of AAF/I subunits to this glycoprotein using surface plasmon resonance (SPR). The experiments revealed the specific binding of both the major and minor subunits of AAF/I fimbriae to fibronectin (paper II, Figure S8). However, the affinity of AggA to the receptor was significantly higher ($K=16\pm2 \, \mu M$) than that of AggB ($K=100 \, \mu M$), suggesting a leading role of the shaft subunit in receptor recognition. Interestingly, the affinity of AggA to fibronectin changed depending on the ionic strength of the buffer, which suggests that the binding is mediated by ionic interactions (paper II, Figure S8).

To verify our hypothesis about the potential role of the basic surface at the subunits junction in receptor recognition, we substituted the corresponding residues with alanine and analyzed the effect of mutations on fibronectin binding by SPR (paper II, Table 1). The affinity of AggA to fibronectin decreased dramatically in all double mutants, whilst a triple mutation (Lys73, Lys76, and Lys78) completely abrogated the binding. To ascertain that the introduced triple mutation neither prevented the correct folding of the resulting monomer nor affected its stability, the circular dichroism (CD) spectra of both wild-type and mutant subunits were analyzed. Identical CD profiles and similar thermal denaturation curves of the studied proteins confirmed the structural integrity and stability of the mutant (paper II, Figure S10). Thus, the inability of a triple mutant to bind fibronectin can be explained only by a defunct surface patch.

The observed results may also suggest a non-specific adhesion of AAF/I to acidic proteins. However, the presence of bovine serum albumin (pI 4.7) in excessive concentration did not affect the affinity of AggA to fibronectin (paper II, Figure S11).

In close proximity to the fibronectin-binding surface, we noticed some surface-exposed aromatic residues – Trp59, Trp57, Phe91, and partially exposed hydrophobic Ile85 (Figure 11). Interestingly, Trp59 is conserved within the major subunits from AAF and Afa/Dr families. The mutations did not affect the binding of AggA to fibronectin. However, they might be responsible for binding another, yet unknown receptor.
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This study confirms the polyadhesive mode of AAF/I adhesion originating from multiple interactions of a fimbrial polymer with fibronectin. Fibronectin binding may play a key role in EAEC pathogenesis by anchoring the growing biofilm and activating signaling pathways in the host epithelium (Westerlund et al., 1993; Henderson et al., 2011). Surprisingly, AAF/I-mediated fibronectin binding is primarily based on electrostatic interactions, and even though the observed affinity is relatively low, the multiple contacts of fimbrial subunits with fibronectin ensure high-avidity binding. Note that the AAF/I-fibronectin interactions have been studied using DSC monomers, where the basic surface patch for the receptor was somewhat incomplete. Hence, the affinity to the receptor would likely be higher if the binding of the functional unit of AAF/I fiber (i.e. AggA-dimer) to fibronectin was analyzed.

Despite the relatively low sequence identity between different variants of AAF, AAF/II utilizes the same mechanism of fibronectin binding (paper II). Thus, receptor recognition mediated by electrostatic interactions can represent a characteristic feature of all AAF. This mode of fibronectin binding is strikingly different from the mechanisms employed by other bacterial adhesins (Schwarz-Linek et al., 2003). For example, MSCRAMMs (microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules) of Gram-positive bacteria utilize multiple repeats in the C-terminal region of the protein to bind several F1 fibronectin-binding modules and to ensure extremely high affinity in the nanomolar range (Joh et al., 1994; Schwarz-Linek et al., 2004). Each F1-module consists of a double-stranded (strands A and B) and a triple-stranded (strands C, D, E) antiparallel β-sheet. Upon binding, the bacterial peptide forms an additional antiparallel β-strand to the triple-stranded β-sheet of the module. Interactions of the fibronectin-binding protein with serial F1-modules constitute an extensive tandem β-zipper (Schwarz-Linek et al., 2003). Hence, MSCRAMM-mediated adhesion is primarily based on hydrophobic interactions, although electrostatic interactions are also likely to be important in the binding (Schwarz-Linek et al., 2003). In contrast to MSCRAMMs, a more generalized, purely electrostatic mode of AAF/I and AAF/II adhesion hints at their ability to recognize several host cell receptors with an appropriate surface distribution of acidic residues, such as negatively charged glycosylation sugars and sites of phosphorylation. For example, the major subunit Aaf of AAF/II was shown to bind laminin, type IV collagen, and cytokeratin 8 (Farfan et al., 2008; Izquierdo et al., 2014).

Interestingly, bacterial adhesion mediated by ionic interactions was previously demonstrated for several bacterial species. For example, ionic interactions are involved in collagen binding by YadA adhesin from Y. enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis (Nummelin et al., 2004). The CfaE adhesive subunit from the CFA/I pili of the enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) utilizes a cluster of positively charged residues to attach to erythrocytes (Li et al., 2007). The binding of SdrF adhesin from Staphylococcus epidermidis to prosthetic material is also mediated by ionic interactions (Toba et al., 2013). Basic residues might also play a key role in the
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recognition of the sulfatide receptor by FasG adhesin from the 987P fimbriae of ETEC (Choi et al., 1999) as well as laminin and fibronectin by the RrgA adhesin from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Izoré et al., 2010).

The high positive charge of AAF/I and AAF/II distinguishes them from the majority of bacterial adhesins. To date, only few studies have reported the involvement of basic fimbriae in pathogenesis. For example, positively charged SMF-1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* fimbriae 1) was shown to mediate biofilm formation (Jucker et al., 1996) and adhere to abiotic surfaces and cultured epithelial cells (de Oliveira-Garcia et al., 2003). Thus, it would be interesting to study other positively charged adhesins to understand their role in pathogenesis.

4.2.2 Myf binds to terminal β-1-3- or β-1-4-linked galactose of glycosphingolipids (paper III)

It has been previously shown that Psa fimbriae bind phosphatidylcholine and glycosphingolipids (Payne et al., 1998, Galván et al., 2007). Considering the high sequence and structure similarity between the fimbrial subunits of Myf and Psa fimbriae, we hypothesized that MyfA can also recognize these receptors. Surprisingly, isolated Myf fimbriae did not interact with phosphatidylcholine but had a strong affinity towards lactosylceramide (paper III, Figure S2). Further analysis of the carbohydrate-binding specificity of MyfA showed that the protein binds to various glycosphingolipids with terminal β-1-3- or β-1-4-linked galactose (paper III, Table 1).

To understand the molecular mechanism of galactose recognition, the crystal structure of MyfAdsc-D-galactose was determined. MyfA binds the galactose molecule using a compact shallow pocket located on the surface of the FC1D’’D’ β-sheet (Figure 12). The indole side chain of Trp94 serves as an anchor for the pyranose ring of the galactose, whereas the aromatic side chain of Tyr128 interacts with the hydrophobic C4-C5-C6 end of the molecule. Additionally, MyfA forms a dense network of hydrogen bonds with four hydroxyl groups in the galactose. Hydroxyl groups at the C2 and C6 atoms are bound to Asp89 and K56, respectively, whereas the C3-hydroxyl group can form a hydrogen bond with either His92 or Asn59. The latter also interacts with the hydroxyl group at the C4 position via the structured water molecule.

Since the isolated Myf fimbriae bound to lactosylceramide with a high affinity, we decided to investigate whether the MyfA subunit can also recognize a lactosyl moiety. The modeling of the lactose into the galactose-binding pocket revealed that MyfA interacts with both the galactose and glucose residues of the disaccharide. Thus, the glucose C3-hydroxyl group is hydrogen-bonded to Asp89 (paper III, Figure S7). This additional interaction might significantly increase binding affinity. To address this hypothesis, we analyzed the intrinsic fluorescence of MyfA in the presence of galactose and lactose at various concentrations. Indeed, the tryptophan-fluorescence
quenching assay showed nearly 5-fold tighter interactions of MyfA with lactose compared to galactose (paper III, Figure 5).

The size-shape complementarity of the binding pocket to galactose and the extensive interactions of the ligand with the protein suggest high specificity of the site. To analyze the ability of the galactose cavity to recognize other carbohydrate moieties, we modeled the docking of several head groups of glycosphingolipids into the binding pocket. The bulky carbohydrate moieties of glycosphingolipids, such as N-acetylglactosamine, do not fit into the galactose-binding niche and clash with the pocket-forming residues (paper III, Figure S5). Similarly, MyfA is unable to recognize the terminal \(\alpha-1-3\)-linked galactose due to steric hindrance.

*Figure 12.* Structural basis for glycolipid recognition by Myf: surface representation of MyfA with bound galactose (yellow) and a close-up of the galactose-binding pocket. Interacting residues are shown as ball-and-sticks and labeled. Hydrogen bonds are depicted as dashed lines and their lengths are indicated. The conserved water molecule is shown as a red sphere. Electron density 2Fo-Fc map contoured at 1.0 \(\sigma\) is displayed around the ligand.

The Psa fimbriae were shown to have similar carbohydrate-binding specificity to Myf, as it recognizes glycosphingolipids with \(\beta-1\)-linked galactose (Payne *et al.*, 1998). The recently determined crystal structures of PsaA-galactose and PsaA-lactose complexes (Bao *et al.*, 2013) enabled the comparison of carbohydrate-binding pockets in two adhesins. As expected, in Myf and Psa the binding site is located in the same segment. The galactose molecules have a similar orientation, and they are hydrogen-bonded to the proteins via the same four hydroxyl groups (paper III, Figure 4E). However, the proteins use different residues to form the binding pocket. In PsaA, the bottom of the binding cavity is formed by the smaller phenyl group of Tyr95 instead of the indole
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4.1 Group of Trp94, as in MyfA. Additionally, residues establishing the hydrogen bonds with the ligand are different. Despite these differences, PsaA binds to galactose with a similar affinity (~40 mM) (Galván et al., 2007). However, the affinity of PsaA to lactose was significantly lower than that of MyfA. The superposition of the PsaA-lactose structure with lactose-modeled MyfA revealed that, unlike MyfA, PsaA does not form a hydrogen bond with the glucose moiety (paper III, Figure S7). It explains the striking difference in the affinities of the two polyadhesins to lactose. Therefore, despite the similar carbohydrate-binding specificity, MyfA establishes more interactions with lactosyl-containing receptors in comparison with PsaA.

Cell surface carbohydrates are common binding determinants for various adhesive fimbriae (De Greve et al., 2007). Galactose-specific adhesins have been found in a number of intestinal pathogens, such as EAEC (Grover et al., 2007) and ETEC (Coddens et al., 2009). Thus, FaeG subunit of K88 (F4) fimbriae (FGS CU systems) possesses a galactose-binding site, similar to that of MyfA and PsaA (Moonens et al., 2015). The binding pocket of FaeG is composed of an aromatic platform (Phe150 instead of Trp94 in MyfA) and several residues hydrogen-bonded to the ligand (paper III, Figure S11). The identically located Asn59 of MyfA and Glu170 of F4 have the same dual function in carbohydrate binding, as they form a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group at the C3-position of galactose and stabilize the conserved water molecule bound to the hydroxyl group of the C4-atom of galactose. Thus, both adhesins developed a similar scaffold to ensure the precise recognition of galactose and lactose moieties. It is noteworthy that the ability of bacterial fimbriae to attach to specific cell surface carbohydrates makes their adhesion to host tissues extremely selective. For example, F17 fimbriae recognize glycolipids containing N-glycolylneuraminic acid present only on the enterocytes of newborn piglets (Buts et al., 2003). Similarly, K99 (F5) fimbriae specifically bind to N-glycolyl-GM3 and N-glycolylsialoparagloboside, which is exclusively found in the small intestine of neonatal piglets, calves and lambs but is absent in both adult animals and humans (Kyogashima et al., 1989).

4.2.3 PsaA binds phosphatidylcholine using a tyrosine-rich surface (paper III)

To understand the structural basis for Psa-mediated phosphatidylcholine recognition, the crystal structure of PsaA-choline complex was determined. After a few cycles of refinement, the Fourier map revealed several blobs of prominent electronic density subsequently identified as choline molecules bound to the protein (Figure 13). Three unique binding pockets for choline on the same face of the PsaA subunit were found. The first choline-binding pocket located on the D-D’ loop is formed by the side chains of two tyrosines (Tyr69 and Tyr77) facing each other. In the second binding site, choline is inserted into a deep pocket formed by two pairs of tyrosines (Tyr69 and Tyr81) and tryptophans (Trp87 and Trp94). This site was previously shown to bind
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Phosphocholine (Bao et al., 2013). Interestingly, Tyr69 is involved in the formation of two choline-binding sites simultaneously. In the third binding site, choline interacts with a hydrophobic patch formed by Val88, Ile90, and Tyr95. Tyr95 also makes up the bottom of the galactose-binding pocket (Bao et al., 2013).

**Figure 13.** Structural basis for phosphatidylcholine recognition by Psa: surface representation of PsaA with bound choline molecules (orange) and a close-up of the choline-binding pockets I–IV. The β-strands are labeled; interacting residues are shown as ball-and-sticks and labeled. Electron density 2Fo-Fc map contoured at 1.0 σ is displayed around the ligands.

Intriguingly, PsaA has a surprisingly high number of tyrosine residues, forming a unique tyrosine-rich surface on the same face of the monomer. Four of the tyrosine residues were shown to be involved in choline recognition. Another pair of surface-exposed tyrosine residues (Tyr83 and Tyr109) might potentially form the fourth choline-binding site (Figure 13). In contrast, MyfA, lacking the tyrosine surface, was unable to interact with phosphatidylcholine. These results suggest that tyrosine-rich facade of PsaA governs its ability to recognize the phosphatidylcholine of host cells. To verify this hypothesis, we substituted tyrosines with alanine and analyzed the ability of purified Psa-fibers and Psa-mutant producing *E. coli* to bind immobilized phosphatidylcholine. Two single mutants (Tyr81Ala and Tyr95Ala) and two double mutants (Tyr69Ala+Tyr77Ala and Tyr83Ala+Tyr109Ala) were prepared. Isolated wild-type Psa fibers bound to the receptor at a concentration of 0.1-1 μg/ml (paper III, Figure 7C), whereas all introduced mutations significantly decreased the binding affinity. The most pronounced effect was observed in the double Tyr69Ala+Tyr77Ala mutation, which practically abolished binding to phosphatidylcholine. The single Tyr81Ala mutation also drastically decreased the affinity of the adhesin to the receptor.
As previously mentioned, Tyr69 is involved in the formation of two choline-binding pockets. Thus, the Tyr69Ala+Tyr77Ala mutation fully abrogated the binding between Tyr69 and Tyr77. Moreover, it caused additional disruption to binding site II. Binding site II is likely to play a major role in phosphatidylcholine recognition, as can be concluded from the substantial decrease in the affinity of the Tyr81Ala mutant to the receptor. Interestingly, the impaired ability of the Y83A+Y109A mutant to bind choline indeed confirms the presence of the fourth site in PsaA. The mutation Y95A had the least dramatic effect on affinity to phosphatidylcholine. The decline, but not the full absence, of affinity to choline indicates that binding sites formed by Y95 and Y83+Y109 play a secondary role in receptor recognition.

Our results thereby clearly demonstrate that the tyrosine-rich surface of PsaA determines its ability to bind phosphatidylcholine. The identification of one dominant and three complementary choline-binding sites in a single PsaA subunit raised a logical question about the ability of the protein to simultaneously use them in vivo. To address this hypothesis, we modeled the interactions of PsaA with four membrane-localized molecules of phosphatidylcholine. Indeed, the specific positioning of the fimbrial subunit allows it to bind four phosphatidylcholine molecules on the outer surface of the plasma membrane (Figure 14).

The recognition of choline by PsaA represents a common mechanism utilized by various proteins (Dougherty & Stauffer, 1990; Sussman et al., 1991; Petersen et al., 2005; Hermoso et al., 2005). The binding is primarily based on the hydrophobic interactions of the ligand with the aromatic and hydrophobic sidechains of the pockets’ residues. In addition, the electrostatic attraction of choline toward the aromatic residues may partially compensate the positive charge of the ammonium group and thereby also contributes to the binding.
Here we demonstrate that tyrosines with surface-exposed side chains play a key role in phosphatidylcholine recognition by PsaA. The substitution of these residues with alanines showed a significant reduction or complete disruption of choline binding in PsaA mutants. The absence of the tyrosine-rich surface in the highly homologous MyfA showing no affinity to phosphatidylcholine provides an additional proof of the importance of tyrosines in phosphatidylcholine recognition.

Intriguingly, we discovered a fragment of the PEG molecule bound to MyfA in a shallow cavity corresponding to the major choline-binding site (II) in PsaA (paper III, Figure S12). Similarly to PsaA, two identically positioned tryptophan residues (Trp88 and Trp93) make the bottom of the cavity in MyfA. However, instead of tyrosines, MyfA contains histidine (His82) and glutamine (Gln70). This binding pocket cannot bind phosphatidylcholine, but it might instead be able to recognize another unknown receptor.

Our data demonstrate that both Myf and Psa fimbriae might mediate the attachment of bacteria to host cells and play an important role in the tissue tropism of pathogenic yersiniae. Despite the high degree of sequence and structure similarity of pilin subunits, the adhesins show significant differences in receptor binding specificity. Thus, Myf is capable of recognizing only glycosphingolipids, whilst Psa has additionally acquired the ability to bind phosphatidylcholine lipids. Despite the fact that the fimbriae display similar carbohydrate-binding specificity, Myf elaborates a larger binding site, enhancing the interactions of the protein with the receptor and enabling higher affinity towards the lactosyl moieties of glycosphingolipids. This observation suggests that, like many other fimbriae of intestinal pathogens, Myf has become a specialized carbohydrate-binding adhesin. The evolutionary development of Myf towards the exclusive recognition of glycosphingolipids is not surprising due to the fact that \textit{Y. enterocolitica} expressing Myf is a strictly enteric pathogen. The dominating glycosphingolipids expressed by enterocytes of the human small intestine are galactosylceramide, blood group ABH, and Lewis glycolipids (Bjork\textit{et al.}, 1987, Breimer\textit{et al.}, 2012). Small amounts of lactotetraosylceramide and Le\(^a\) and Le\(^x\) terminated glycosphingolipids have also been identified (Bjork\textit{et al.}, 1987). As MyfA recognizes β-1-3- or β-1-4-linked galactose, it may target galactosylceramide, lactotetraosylceramide Le\(^a\) and Le\(^x\) terminated glycosphingolipids specifically (paper III, Table 1). Thus, the ability of Myf fimbriae to adhere to intestinal epithelial cells may promote the colonization of the small intestine by \textit{Y. enterocolitica} and facilitate the enteric route of infection.

The PsaA of \textit{Y. pestis} and \textit{Y. pseudotuberculosis} has acquired a prominent tyrosine-rich surface forming four distinct binding pockets for phosphatidylcholine on the same face of the protein. The ability of each PsaA subunit in the fiber to simultaneously attach to four phosphatidylcholine molecules ensures the high avidity of binding. The double receptor specificity acquired by Psa fimbriae might contribute to the versatile
tissue tropism of *Y. pestis* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*. The carbohydrate-binding specificity of Psa could facilitate the colonization of the intestine by *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, whereas its ability to recognize phosphatidylcholine might promote the adhesion of both *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. pestis* to the pulmonary surfactant. The high avidity of Psa-mediated phosphatidylcholine binding might explain the fast progression of pneumonic plague and its high mortality rates throughout history.

### 4.3 The biological significance of polyvalent attachment (papers II–III)

Attachment to the host tissues is a critical step in the pathogenesis of many infections. In this study, we elucidated the structural basis for host cell receptor recognition by three important fimbrial adhesins: AAF/I, Myf, and Psa. Myf and Psa are homopolymers, whereas AAF/I is a polymer of major subunits AggA decorated by a single copy of a minor subunit AggB at the tip of the fiber. Similarly to previously studied CU adhesins, subunit polymerization in AAF/I, Myf and Psa fimbriae occurs via the DSC mechanism. Each subunit has a typical Ig-fold composed of 7 main antiparallel β-strands arranged in a two-layer β-sandwich. Intriguingly, in pathogenic bacteria this type of protein fold is employed exclusively to form virulence factors. In that context, the Ig-fold commonly found in eukaryotes can be considered a structural mimicry used by bacteria to deceive the host to enable the attachment of a pathogen to target cells (De Greve et al., 2007). However, in spite of their similar structural organization, fimbriae recognize different receptors and use various mechanisms to ensure selective adhesion to host cells. Our study shows that the ability of fimbriae to recognize specific receptors is determined by the nature of surface-exposed residues (papers II, III). Thus, the AggA subunit of AAF/I interacts with fibronectin and potentially other negatively charged proteins via electrostatic interactions. The MyfA subunit of Myf exhibits a highly specialized binding pocket that selectively recognizes the terminal galactosyl and lactosyl moieties of glycosphingolipids. Each PsaA subunit of Psa fimbriae carries one galactose-binding pocket and four independent binding sites for phosphatidylcholine lipids due to the presence of the tyrosine surface. Despite the differences in receptor-binding specificity, all studied fimbriae are capable of multi-subunit adhesion to host cells (Figure 15). What would be the advantages of such polyvalent attachment for bacterial pathogens? Our results showed that a single fimbrial subunit binds the receptor with a relatively low affinity. Thus, multipoint interactions with host receptors will ensure sufficient avidity of binding to a target cell. For example, each PsaA subunit can simultaneously utilize four binding sites to phosphatidylcholine. Assuming that the architecture of the Psa fiber is similar to other members of FGL CU adhesins, every third subunit could potentially interact with a host cell. The ability of each Psa fiber to bind hundreds of phosphatidylcholine molecules will ensure tight fastening of the pathogen to the target tissue. The positioning of the bacteria close to a host cell might promote massive aggregation of
the receptors that will have an impact on cell signaling, triggering the deactivation of the host’s immune defense (Zav’yalov et al., 2010). For instance, in *Y. pestis*, a tight contact between the bacteria and the host initiates the Ca-dependent type III secretion system, destroying the cells of the immune system (Cornelis & Wolf-Watz, 1997; Viboud & Bliska, 2005). Thus, polyvalent adhesion could be crucial for bacterial virulence.

![Figure 15. The scheme of bacterial attachment mediated by AAF/I, Myf and Psa fimbriae. Pilin subunits are shown as ovals, Gd strands are depicted as arrows.](image)

Multi-subunit adhesion might play an important regulatory role by establishing firm interactions exclusively with cell-attached receptors (Moonens *et al.*, 2015). As affinity of a single subunit to its receptor is relatively low, only simultaneous interactions of several subunits with receptors will lead to a firm binding of the bacteria to the host cell. To achieve such firm contact, the fimbrial shaft of polyadhesins must be oriented parallel to the host cell surface. Furthermore, the high avidity of the binding is possible only when multiple cell-attached receptors are recognized.

The potential ability of polyadhesins to modulate their binding strength to host tissues would also be beneficial under various shear conditions inside the host. On the one hand, the bacteria must attach themselves strongly to the colonization surface to resist high shear stress. On the other hand, a permanently strong binding even under low shear force would limit the possibility of a pathogen to spread along the host tissue (Thomas *et al.*, 2002). Thus, the ability of polyadhesive fimbriae to potentially “switch” between the strong and the weak binding mode depending on the shear conditions would provide a serious advantage for the pathogen. The strong attachment
established when most binding sites are saturated could prevent the detachment of bacteria from the target surface, whereas the weaker binding would further facilitate the colonization of the host tissues.

Polyvalent adhesion represents a significant challenge for the development of drugs targeting the surface organelles of bacteria. The ability of fimbriae to establish multiple binding sites with one or more host cell receptors would substantially decrease the efficacy of conventional inhibitors. Thus, novel multivalent inhibitors have to be developed in order to suppress polyadhesin-mediated attachment and counter threatening bacterial infections.

4.4. Structural insights into archaic pili biogenesis (papers IV–VI)

4.4.1 DSC mechanism governs Csu pilus assembly (paper V)

Our knowledge of archaic pili biogenesis is limited to a few biochemical studies (Zhu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2014), whereas no structural information on either the architecture or the assembly of archaic fimbriae is currently available. To fill in the gap and unravel the precise molecular mechanism of archaic fimbriae assembly, we focused on the Csu-fimbriae of Acinetobacter baumannii. Csu fimbriae are involved in the formation of the dense biofilms of A. baumannii on both biotic and abiotic surfaces (Tomaras et al., 2003), and as such they contribute directly to the exceptional antibiotic resistance of the pathogen. The csu operon encodes all components of the Csu-assembling machinery: CsuC (chaperone), CsuD (usher), and pilin subunits (CsuA/B, CsuA, CsuB; CsuE). The size of the CsuE subunit suggests that it has a two-domain structure. Its position within the csu gene cluster and the level of expression (Tomaras et al., 2003; 2008) indicate that CsuE might cap the tip of the pili and carry a binding site for a host cell receptor, similarly to the two-domain tip-adhesive subunits of classical fimbriae (Choudhury et al., 1999; Dodson et al., 2001). The relatively high level of expression of the CsuA/B subunit (Tomaras et al., 2003; 2008) indicates that it might form the shaft of the pili by self-polymerization. To verify this hypothesis, we produced a recombinant CsuC-CsuA/B preassembly complex and analyzed the ability of CsuA/B to spontaneously form a polymer. The incubation of the purified protein complex at room temperature followed by SDS-PAGE revealed a characteristic ladder of bands of various sizes (paper V, Figure 1B). In contrast, the incubation of the CsuC-CsuE preassembly complex produced only a single band for CsuE. Thus, our results suggest that CsuA/B constitute the pili shaft, whereas the two-domain CsuE is located on the tip of the fimbriae (Figure 16). The other pilin subunits CsuA and CsuB had significantly lower levels of expression (Tomaras et al., 2008), and we thus reasoned that they might serve as adaptors in the formation of the Csu fimbriae.
Earlier studies demonstrated (Zhu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2014) that the assembly of archaic fimbriae might be governed by the general mechanisms of DSC and donor strand exchange (DSE). Indeed, we found a sequence of a putative donor strand composed of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues at the N-terminus of CsuA/B, CsuA, and CsuB subunits. To confirm the role of this sequence in the assembly of CsuA/B, we substituted three potential donor residues (Val8, Leu10, and Ile12) with alanine and analyzed the effect of the mutations on the formation of the polymer using SDS PAGE. Single mutants Ile12Ala and Leu10Ala were not polymerizing as efficiently as the wild-type CsuA/B, whereas a triple mutant (Val8Ala, Leu10Ala, and Ile12Ala) fully lost its ability to polymerize (paper V, Figure 1C). These data demonstrated that the N-terminal sequence of the CsuA/B subunit indeed forms a donor strand governing the subunit assembly in the pili shaft.

To elucidate the precise molecular interactions between the main components of Csu pili, we performed high-resolution structural studies on the CsuC-CsuA/B chaperone-major subunit preassembly complex and the DSC-major subunit CsuA/B. To avoid the polymerization of the major subunits in the CsuC-CsuA/B complex, the N-terminal extension of CsuA/B was substituted with a His6-tag sequence.

4.4.2 The CsuC chaperone displays several unique features

The structure of the CsuC-CsuA/B chaperone-major subunit preassembly complex has been determined to a resolution of 2.4 Å using the Se-SAD phasing method (Figure 17). The entire structure of the CsuC chaperone was automatically traced. Similarly to the chaperones from the classical and alternative families, CsuC has a canonical Ig-like
topology arranged as two domains nearly perpendicularly oriented in regard to each other. The structural superposition of CsuC with the classical Caf1M chaperone (F1-antigen, *Yersinia pestis* (Zavialov *et al.*, 2003) and the alternative chaperone CfaA (CFA/I pili, ETEC) (Bao *et al.*, 2014) revealed that the N-terminal domains of the proteins are significantly more conserved than their C-terminal domains (paper V, Table S2). Furthermore, CfaA and CsuC share more equivalent Cα atoms than Caf1M with any of the non-classical chaperones.

CsuC has a typical tandem Ig-fold. However, it displays several unique features in both its domains as well as the linker sequence (paper V, Figure 3A). The N-terminal domain of CsuC exhibits an additional strand D1 and a long C1-D1 hairpin shielding the inter-domain cleft (Figure 17). The protruding C1-D1 hairpin has also been observed in the alternative chaperones: CfaA and EcpB. Interestingly, the C1-D1 sequence is highly conserved in both archaic and alternative chaperones (paper V, Figure S1). Even though the C1-D1 hairpin of CsuC does not form any interactions with the bound CsuA/B, the obstruction of the inter-domain cleft might be crucial for other steps of pilus assembly in non-classical fimbriae.

![Figure 17. The high-resolution structure of the CsuC-CsuA/B preassembly complex, cartoon and surface representations. CsuC and CsuA/B are colored in cyan and pink, respectively. The β-strands as well as N- and C-termini are labeled. The C1-D1 hairpin of CsuC is colored in yellow.](image)

The structure of the inter-domain linker also varies in the classical Caf1M and archaic CsuC chaperones. Thus, in CsuC an α-helix of the linker is located closer to the N-terminal domain, whereas in Caf1M it belongs exclusively to the C-terminal domain. The position of the α-helix might determine the degree of movements of the chaperone domains relative to each other (paper V, Figure 3A).
Additional remarkable features of the archaic CsuC chaperone are found in the topology of its C-terminal domain. Thus, CsuC displays an additional α-helix absent in both Caf1M and CfaA. Moreover, CsuC exhibits a unique, nearly orthogonal packing of β-sheets in the C-terminal domain, whereas in Caf1M and CfaA the β-sheets are rotated towards each other only by 35–50° (paper V, Figure 7B). In the alternative EcpB chaperone, the β-sheets of the C-terminal domain have a practically parallel orientation (paper V, Figure 7B).

The CU pilus assembly strongly depends on the interactions of the chaperone with the usher assembly platform (Nishiyama et al., 2008). In classical systems, binding to the usher is mediated by a hydrophobic patch of the C1D1F1G1 β-sheet of the chaperone (Phan et al., 2011). To verify the existence of the same usher binding surface in non-classical systems, we superposed the structures of CsuC and EcpB with Caf1M (paper V, Figure S10). Both CsuC and EcpB exhibit a set of hydrophobic residues in the putative usher-binding site. Thus, non-classical systems might utilize a similar mechanism of usher recognition.

4.4.3 The CsuA/B subunit complexed with its chaperone is only partially folded

Despite the good values of the refinement statistics for the CsuC-CsuA/B complex (paper V, Table S1), nearly half of the CsuA/B sequence was not visible in the electron density map (Figure 17). Only strands positioned close to CsuC were automatically built. The quality of the electron density map for the regions located on the opposite side of the chaperone cleft drastically decreased, while their B-factors gradually increased (up to 113 Å² for Cα atoms) (paper V, Figure 4A). The NMR spectrum obtained by our collaborators (paper V, Figure 4B) indicates that CsuA/B is largely disordered in solution. These structural data suggest that the CsuC chaperone captures and retains CsuA/B in a partially ordered state, similar to a pre-molten globule (Jeng & Englander, 1991). The pre-molten globule represents the state between the unfolded protein and the molten globule. Along with the secondary structure elements, this folding intermediate features a substantial part of disordered regions (Uversky & Ptitzyn, 1994; 1996).

The partially built model of CsuA/B revealed an incomplete Ig-fold comprised of six β-strands. As predicted from the sequence alignment, CsuA/B displays only marginal structural similarity to the classical chaperone-bound Caf1 subunit (paper V, Figure 4D). Caf1 does not have any disordered regions, whereas in CsuA/B, strands A, B, C and E are interrupted by the unstructured sequences. The associated loops between the strands of CsuA/B are also disordered, except for the B'-C loop stabilized by the disulphide bond between Cys16 and Cys62, which is highly conserved in archaic pilin subunits. Other important differences in Caf1 and CsuA/B are related to the topology of donor strand D and associated loops.
Finding that a large portion of the sequence is unstructured in the chaperone-bound CsuA/B is highly surprising, as such a phenomenon has never been reported for classical CU systems (paper V, Table S3). Classical subunits associated with the chaperone are fully folded, but maintained in an assembly-competent conformation (Zavialov et al., 2003). In contrast, the disordered regions in CsuA/B constitute nearly 50% of the protein sequence.

Intriguingly, our collaborators observed a similar phenomenon for the EcpA subunit complexed with the EcpB chaperone from alternative systems (paper V). While the NMR spectra of free donor strand complemented EcpAsc and EcpB proteins suggest a fully folded conformation, the $^1$H-$^{15}$N TROSY NMR spectrum of the EcpB-EcpA complex clearly demonstrates the presence of disordered regions (paper V, Figure 9). Using free EcpA as a model, our colleagues were able to assign only 54% of the chaperone-bound subunit sequence. Thus, the partially folded conformation of the chaperone-bound pilin subunit is likely to represent a common feature for both archaic and alternative systems.

The presence of natively unstructured proteins in bacteria is significantly less common than in eukaryotes. Thus, only 4% of bacterial proteins are estimated to contain natively unfolded regions \textit{in vivo} (Fink, 2005). The partially disordered state of the chaperone-bound subunit in the biogenesis of archaic and alternative pili raises a question of the biological significance of this phenomenon. To find an explanation, we focused on the interactions of CsuA/B with the CsuC chaperone.

4.4.4 Both domains of CsuC are involved in the anchoring of CsuA/B

Our primary goal was to analyze the mechanism of subunit anchoring in the archaic systems. The C-terminus of CsuA/B is located precisely in the middle of the inter-domain cleft of CsuC (Figure 18). Interestingly, the C-carboxylate of the terminal Phe152 is oriented towards both domains of the chaperone. The particular positioning of the subunit C-terminal carboxylate and the makeup of the inter-domain cleft of CsuC allow CsuA/B to interact with both N- and C-terminal domains of the chaperone. Thus, one oxygen atom of the Phe152 carboxylate establishes an ionic bond with Arg89 (N-terminal domain), whereas the other oxygen atom is hydrogen-bonded to Tyr196 of the C-terminal domain. Arg174 interacting with Tyr196 additionally stabilizes the complex. Interestingly, all three residues are highly conserved within the archaic chaperones (paper V, Figure S1).

To investigate the role of each residue in subunit anchoring, we produced three single amino acid mutants of CsuC and analyzed the ability of the mutated chaperone to bind CsuA/B (paper V, Figure 6C). A sufficient CsuC level of expression similar to the wild-type confirmed that the introduced mutations did not affect the protein folding or
stability. However, they significantly reduced CsuC-CsuA/B complex formation. The Arg174Ala point mutation had the least dramatic effect on subunit recovery. This fact confirms the secondary role of this residue in C-terminal carboxylate binding. The substitution of either Arg89 or Tyr196 with alanine drastically reduced the level of CsuA/B in the periplasm. Furthermore, the CsuC chaperone combining both mutations was practically incapable of recovering the subunit. Based on these results, one can conclude that C-terminus anchoring is governed by both hydrogen and ionic interactions.

Tyr9 from the N-terminal domain of CsuC might also play a supplementary role in carboxylate anchoring, as it interacts with the oxygen atom of Phe152 via a water molecule (Figure 18). However, Tyr9 is not conserved within the archaic systems, and its involvement in subunit fastening might be limited to just a few members of the archaic fimbriae.

Interestingly, residues corresponding to Arg89, Tyr196, and Arg174 in CsuC are highly conserved, not only among the members of the archaic CU pathway, but also within the alternative systems (paper V, Figure S1). This fact indicates that all non-classical chaperones might utilize the same mechanism for subunit anchoring. Indeed, the structural superposition of CsuC with the alternative chaperone EcpB shows that the conserved Arg, Tyr and Arg residues have identical positions in both proteins (paper V, Figure 8B). Our collaborators reproduced the same site-directed mutagenesis experiment on EcpB and subsequently analyzed the recovery of the EcpA subunit in the periplasm (paper V, Figures 8D). The obtained results confirmed that the conserved Arg89 and Tyr169 of EcpB play a key role in subunit binding in alternative systems.
4. Results and discussion

The anchoring of the subunit’s C-terminal carboxylate plays a critical role in the biogenesis of CU pili (Kuehn et al., 1993). Thus, this mechanism has to be highly conserved among the related CU pathways. We demonstrated that archaic and alternative chaperones utilize the same residues to bind their nascent subunits in the periplasm. This mechanism strongly distinguishes archaic and alternative CU systems from the phylogenically distant classical CU machinery. In the classical fimbriae, only the N-terminal domain of the chaperone is involved in subunit capturing, and the interacting residues have no analogues in non-classical systems. In archaic and alternative fimbriae, both domains of the chaperone participate in subunit anchoring. The additional involvement of the C-terminal domain in the binding might be necessary for the stabilization of the partially disordered subunit.

4.4.5 The donor-strand register is shifted in the CsuC-CsuA/B complex

Similarly to the classical CU pathway, the archaic CsuC chaperone stabilizes the subunit by inserting its four hydrophobic donor strand residues (Val110, Phe112, Met114, and Tyr116) into the hydrophobic cleft of CsuA/B, thus providing the “substitution” for the missing G strand and completing the Ig-fold. The subunit’s strand F and the C-terminal part of strand A form hydrogen bonds with the G1 strand of the chaperone (paper V, Figure S2). The N-terminal part of the A strand of CsuA/B interacts with the A1 strand of CsuC.

The superposition of the CsuC-CsuA/B and Caf1M-Caf1 preassembly complexes revealed an intriguing feature of the archaic complex. CsuA/B is slightly shifted towards the C-terminal domain of CsuC and inserted deeper into its inter-domain cleft, compared to Caf1. To elucidate the molecular grounds for such a positioning of CsuA/B, we compared the donor strands of CsuC and Caf1M.

The donor strand of CsuC is shorter than that of Caf1M. Caf1M inserts five donor residues, whereas CsuC donates only four (paper V, Figure 5B). However, this is not a pathway-specific feature, as the length of the donor strand may vary within the same group of CU fimbriae. The most striking difference between the donor strands of classical and archaic chaperones is the relative position of their donor residues (Figure 19). In comparison with the classical chaperones, the donor strand register in CsuC is shifted along the G1 strand towards the C-terminus. In the classical systems the donor strand begins from position 1 (I134 in Caf1M), whereas in CsuC this position is occupied by the second donor residue (M114), and the first donor residue Tyr116 is placed in position 0 (Figure 19, paper V, Figure 5B). Thus, the shift of the donor strand motif towards the C-terminal end of the G1 strand determines the position of CsuA/B, which is inserted deeper into the inter-domain cleft of the chaperone.

The shift of the donor strand register has also been observed in alternative chaperones.
CfaA (Bao et al., 2014) and EcpB (paper V, Figure 8A). Interestingly, in all non-classical chaperones, position 0 is exclusively occupied by bulky residues such as tyrosine, methionine, leucine, and isoleucine (paper V, Figure S1).

![Figure 19](image)

Figure 19. The scheme of the donor strands in classical (FGL and FGS subfamilies) and non-classical chaperones.

The shift of the donor strand residues towards the C-terminus of the chaperone in archaic and alternative systems might significantly alter the mechanism of subunit assembly. In classical systems, the DSE occurs via a “zip-in-zip-out” mechanism where the donor residues of the adjacent subunit gradually replace the chaperone G1 strand, starting from position P5 (Remaut et al., 2006; Zavialov et al., 2003). Is the DSE in non-classical systems governed by the same principle? To investigate this hypothesis, we determined the crystal structure of the fiber inserted conformation of CsuA/B subunit.

### 4.4.6 The fiber-inserted conformation of the CsuA/B subunit has a unique structure of β-sheet ABE

The crystal structure of CsuA/Bdsc has been solved to a resolution of 1.5 Å using the Se-SAD phasing method (paper IV, Table S1).

Unlike the chaperone-bound subunit, CsuA/Bdsc has a fully folded conformation, and the B-factors of Ca atoms do not exceed 50 Å². CsuA/Bdsc adopts a canonical Ig-fold composed of seven β-strands (Figure 20). The comparison of CsuA/Bdsc with available structures of polymerizing pilin subunits from alternative and classical systems revealed that the ABE β-sheet of CsuA/Bdsc has a unique structure (paper VI, Figure 2). β-sheet ABE features two prominent hairpins protruding from the β-barrel with a nearly 90°-kink (Figure 20). The sequence alignment of the single-domain archaic subunits revealed that A'-A'' and B'-B'' hairpins are present in δ2 and δ2/3
families of archaic fimbriae (paper VI, Figure S1). Indeed, the representatives of both families have corresponding glycine-rich sequences. Conserved glycine residues (Gly20, Gly25, Gly31, Gly57) form turns in the polypeptide chain and are responsible for the disruption of β-strands and the formation of hairpins.

The hairpins might play an important structural and functional role in the CsuA/B-subfamily of archaic fimbriae. For example, they might be involved in the inter-subunit interactions within the fimbrial shaft or contribute to the development of bacterial communication and the formation of biofilms.

**4.4.7 Archaic CsuC chaperone provides incomplete structural information for the folding of CsuA/B**

To understand why the chaperone-bound CsuA/B is largely disordered, we compared the chaperone-bound and the fiber-inserted conformations of CsuA/B (paper VI, Figure 3). The comparison revealed that the G1 strand sequence of CsuC is only two thirds the length of the Gd strand of the attacking subunit. Four donor residues of CsuC occupy acceptor pockets P0-P3, whereas pockets P4 and P5 remain unstructured. In contrast, the long Gd strand of the attacking subunit occupies all acceptor pockets in the hydrophobic cleft of CsuA/B. These results suggest that the archaic CsuC chaperone provides only partial information for subunit folding, thereby maintaining it largely disordered. The replacement of the short G1 strand of the chaperone with the long Gd strand of the subunit triggers the folding of disordered regions and leads to the...
formation of a compact fiber module.

It was previously shown that the assembly of classical systems involves a large conformational change of the hydrophobic core of pilin subunits (Zavialov et al., 2003; 2005). The G1 strand of the classical chaperone intercalates between the β-sheets of the subunit and maintains it in a high-energy conformation, whereas a less bulky Gd strand of the attacking subunit allows the collapse of the subunit. To check if a similar conformational change occurs during the assembly of archaic systems, we superimposed the self-complemented and the chaperone-bound CsuA/B subunit (paper VI, Figure S4). The superposition revealed that, in contrast to classical systems, the polymerization of archaic pilin subunits does not lead to a rearrangement of the β-sheets. Thus, the main outcome of DSE in archaic systems is the folding of the disordered segments of the subunit.

We also observed that strand B of the chaperone-bound CsuA/B subunit does not form a hairpin. The molecular basis of this structural variation became clear after the comparison of self-complemented and chaperone-bound CsuA/B (Figure 21). In the preassembly complex, the bulky Phe114 of the chaperone G1 strand would collide with the side chain of Val50 in the B-B'-hairpin of CsuA/Bdsc. Similarly, the bulky G1 stand of CsuC also prevents the folding of strand A in the chaperone-bound subunit due to the steric clashes of Met114 of CsuC with Phe30 of the A’-A’’ hairpin.

Thus, the short donor-strand of the archaic chaperone provides only partial information for subunit folding. Additionally, the bulky donor residues of CsuC preclude the formation of the hairpins in the chaperone-bound subunit.

![Figure 21. Superposition of chaperone-bound (pink) and self-complemented (purple) CsuA/B, ribbon representation. The G1 strand of CsuC and the Gd strand of CsuA/B are colored in blue and green, respectively. Phe30 and Val50 of CsuA/Bdsc and donor residues are shown as ball-and-sticks and labeled.](image-url)
4.4.8 Assembly of the archaic fimbriae is driven by subunit folding energy

The unique anchoring mechanism and the substantially unfolded conformation of the chaperone-bound subunit might result in dramatically different thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the preassembly complex in comparison with the classical systems. To verify this assumption, we analyzed the association-dissociation kinetics of CsuC-CsuA/B using SPR. CsuC-CsuA/B-His6-tag was applied to a Ni-agarose coated sensor chip. Due to the presence of the His6-tag, CsuA/B attached to the chip, whereas CsuC could be gradually washed away. After the washing step, we added subunit-free CsuC and recorded the resonance signals of the association and dissociation phases (paper VI, Figure 5B). The experiment was repeated for the several concentrations of CsuC. Assuming that the CsuC chaperone binds the CsuA/B subunit in a 1:1 ratio, \( k_{on} \) and \( k_{off} \) rate constants were determined. Our results demonstrate that archaic CsuC binds to CsuA/B with an affinity similar to classical chaperones. However, the formation of the archaic CsuC-CsuA/B complex was 2–2.5 times slower than in classical systems (Yu et al., 2012a). The lower rate of the preassembly complex formation in archaic systems might be explained by its particular anchoring mechanism, which requires specific positioning of the flexible chaperone domains for subunit binding. As CsuC is significantly slower in capturing its subunit compared to its classical counterpart, the archaic CU systems might represent a less efficient and consequently more primitive assembly pathway. However, to prove this statement, it is necessary to evaluate the kinetic properties of other steps of the pilus assembly in archaic systems.

We hypothesized that the partially disordered conformation of the CsuC-bound CsuA/B might have a strong impact on the stability of the preassembly complex in archaic systems. Hence, we characterized the thermodynamics of the CsuC-CsuA/B complex by using differential scanning calorimetry. Intriguingly, our results showed that the spectra of thermal denaturation for the archaic preassembly complex were similar to those of the classical Caf1M-Caf1 complex. Thus, thermal denaturation of CsuC-CsuA/B gave two heat absorption peaks with maxima at 48 °C and 62 °C, corresponding to the unfolding of CsuA/B and CsuC, respectively (paper VI, Figure 5A). Melting of the classical Caf1M-Caf1 complex occurs at 45 °C, whereas the free Caf1M melts at 62 °C (Zavialov et al., 2005). How is it possible that, despite the different folding states of the chaperone-bound subunits, the classical and archaic preassembly complexes have nearly identical thermal denaturation curves? In the archaic CsuC-CsuA/B complex, the low stability of the subunit might be explained by its partially folded conformation (41 % of the sequence). In classical systems, the chaperone-associated subunit is fully folded, but the bulky donor residues of the G1 strand of the Caf1M chaperone prevent the collapse of the subunit hydrophobic core and set its β-sheets apart (Zavialov et al., 2005). Thus, in both CU systems the chaperone-bound subunits are maintained in energetically unfavorable conformation,
but at the different stages of folding. Thus, in classical systems the subunit is retained at the last folding step, whereas in archaic systems, the folding is blocked at a significantly earlier stage (Figure 22).

Our structural data showed that, upon DSE, the archaic CsuA/Bdsc adopts a fully folded low-energy conformation, suggesting its high stability. To verify this assumption, we compared the thermodynamic parameters of the chaperone-bound CsuA/B and CsuA/Bdsc by using differential scanning calorimetry. As expected, the melting temperature of the chaperone-free DSC subunit was significantly higher (90
°C) than in complex with CsuC (paper VI, Figure 5A). A free energy potential resulting from the differences in the conformations of the chaperone-bound and DSC subunits triggers their polymerization in the archaic pili (Figure 22).

The transition temperature of CsuA/Bdsc is similar to that of Caf1dsc, which has been determined previously (Zavialov et al., 2005). Thus, in both classical and archaic systems, DSC subunits have exceptional stability, thereby representing the most stable protein-protein interaction known to date (Makhatadze & Privalov, 1995; Puorger et al., 2008).

4.4.9 Non-classical CU systems might display a more flexible mode of DSE

The high-resolution structures of the CsuC-CsuA/B preassembly complex and the CsuA/Bdsc subunit suggest a possible mechanism for DSE in archaic systems. CsuA/Bdsc forms a tightly packed structure while the CsuC chaperone maintains CsuA/B in a partially ordered state, leaving open the hydrophobic core of the molecule. Since the donor motif of the chaperone is shifted towards the C-terminus of the G1 strand by two residues, the donor strand occupies only P0–P3 acceptor pockets, whereas the P4 and P5 are not formed. Thus, CsuC-CsuA/B preassembly complex is lacking a DSE initiation site common for classical systems (Figure 23). The donor-strand of the attacking subunit might easily enter the loosely packed hydrophobic core of CsuA/B.

The replacement of the G1 strand of the chaperone most likely occurs via the classical zip-in-zip-out mechanism (Zavialov et al., 2003; Remaut et al., 2006). However, the presence of large unstructured regions should allow higher flexibility for DSE. Thus, in archaic and alternative systems, DSE might be governed by the combination of zip-in-zip-out and lateral displacement mechanisms. For example, the G1 strand of the alternative chaperone EcpB is formed by small hydrophobic residues (Ala111, Ala113, and Ala115) in positions P1–P3 and bulky isoleucine in position P0 (paper V, Figure S6B). The Gd strand of the EcpA pilin subunit features a bulky tryptophan residue (Trp11) in the middle. Thus, Gd donates alanine residues for acceptor pockets P0, P1, P3, and P4 as well as tryptophan for the acceptor pocket P2. Considering that the chaperone-bound EcpA subunit is only partially ordered, it seems plausible that the Gd of the attacking subunit can penetrate much deeper into a loosely packed structure. According to that scenario, the initiation of the DSE may also occur at other acceptor pockets, rather than strictly at the P5 pocket as in the classical systems. Thus, for Ecppili, the initiation site might be located in the P2 acceptor pocket, where the attacking EcpB inserts the bulky Trp11 (paper V, Figure S8). DSE might also occur simultaneously in several acceptor pockets, enabling the lateral displacement the G1 strand. However, additional experiments are required to verify the exact mechanism of DSE in non-classical fimbriae.
4. Results and discussion

Figure 23. The proposed mechanism of donor strand exchange in the archaic Csu pili, topology diagram.

The relative movement of chaperone domains may play an important role during subunit assembly in archaic and alternative systems. Our results showed that, in the subunit-bound conformation, the angles between the N- and C-terminal domains of archaic CsuC and classical Caf1M are nearly identical (paper V, Figure 7B). The orientation of domains in free Caf1M is also similar. In contrast, in the subunit-free alternative chaperones CfaA and EcpB, the inter-domain angle varies significantly, indicating a high degree of domain movement in the absence of subunits in non-classical systems. As both the C- and N-terminal domains of the chaperone participate in subunit anchoring, they are set in a fixed conformation upon subunit attachment. Hence, the relative movements of domains apart from each other would promote the release of the subunit during DSE. This conformational change could be facilitated by the usher.
4. Results and discussion

4.4.10 Archaic and alternative systems – a distinct assembly pathway of CU organelles

The biogenesis of archaic and alternative pili is governed by the common principles of CU organelle assembly. However, our structural and biochemical data demonstrated significant deviations from the mechanisms of DSC and DSE as described for classical fimbriae. The observed differences indicate that archaic and alternative systems utilize a distinct pathway of pilus assembly. The most intriguing feature of non-classical systems is a significantly disordered state of the chaperone-bound subunit. Non-classical chaperones use short register-shifted donor strand motives that provide incomplete structural information for subunit folding.

The unfolded conformation of the subunit and the shift of the donor strand register allow for a different, possibly more flexible mode of DSE. The transition from a partially folded to a more energetically favorable fully folded conformation releases the energy driving the pilus assembly.

Non-classical systems also utilize a strikingly different mechanism for subunit anchoring, which involves both domains of the chaperone. This finding is of great fundamental and practical significance. The anchoring of the subunit’s C-terminus is likely to be the first step in chaperone-subunit complex formation, and thus the C-terminal carboxylate binding site represents a potential inhibition target. However, our results clearly demonstrate that different inhibitors have to be used to suppress pili formation in classical and non-classical CU machineries. Interestingly, β-fimbriae currently categorized as classical systems (Nuccio & Baumler, 2007) are likely to bind the subunit in a “non-classical” manner. Thus, instead of basic residues interacting with the subunit in classical pili, β-fimbriae possess analogous residues anchoring the subunit to those in alternative and archaic systems.

Despite the differences in the assembly process, all CU systems presumably employ a similar mechanism for the binding of the chaperone-subunit preassembly complexes to the usher platform. Thus, an inhibitor targeting the user-binding site of the chaperone may be able to prevent pilus assembly in different CU systems.
5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

The importance of bacterial adhesion in pathogenesis is undeniable, and its role goes beyond simple attachment to target tissues. The adhesion mediates the activation and delivery of toxins and affects host cell signaling, enabling a pathogen to evade the immune defense and prosper in the host environment. The sticking of bacteria to each other creates a biofilm – an important tool of antibiotic resistance. The key role of adhesion in establishing and spreading an infection underlines the need to thoroughly characterize bacterial adhesins and unravel their assembly process. In this thesis I aimed to elucidate the structural basis for polyadhesin-mediated attachment and the biogenesis of fimbriae via the recently discovered archaic chaperone-usher (CU) pathway.

Our findings demonstrate that polyvalent adhesins form a distinct class of bacterial surface organelles. Their common feature is the ability to establish multipoint contacts with a target cell, as each pilin subunit binds one or several host cell receptors. Although all studied pilin monomers have a similar structural organization, their mechanism of binding, the make-up of the binding pocket, as well as the nature of the target receptor significantly varies between the adhesins. The binding interface might be designed to interact with a specific ligand (e.g. β-1-3 or β-1-4 terminal galactose in the case of MyfA and PsaA) or with a group of receptors with similar properties (e.g. negatively charged proteins in the case of AggA). Polyvalent adhesion gives the pathogen a number of benefits in the host cell environment. Firstly, multipoint attachment places the bacteria closer to its host cell and, as a consequence, might accelerate the release and the delivery of toxins. Secondly, polyvalent attachment ensures adhesion only to cell-attached receptors. Thirdly, it allows the bacteria to modulate the avidity of binding whenever necessary.

The ability of pathogens to employ a polyvalent binding mode represents a serious problem for the development of antibacterial therapy, as it clearly challenges the utility of monovalent anti-adhesion inhibitors. Furthermore, the phenomenon of multipoint attachment underlines the necessity to target fimbriae biogenesis rather than the receptor-binding site in already assembled organelles. Thus, future studies on polyvalent adhesins should focus on finding the most appropriate inhibition target at the different steps of fimbrial assembly. For example, the effect of pilicides on the formation of various polyadhesins should be carefully analyzed.

This thesis also explored the biogenesis of adhesive organelles via the recently discovered archaic CU pathway. The archaic Csu fimbriae from Acinetobacter baumannii are used as a model. High-resolution structural data supported by biochemical studies reveal several unique features of pilus assembly via the archaic
CU pathway. We demonstrate that the CsuC chaperone traps the CsuA/B subunit in a substantially disordered state, which is likely to affect the mode of donor strand exchange (DSE) during subunit polymerization. Interestingly, similar results have been obtained for the alternative fimbriae Ecp and CFA/I. Thus, the archaic and alternative pili form a distinct group within the CU machinery.

This thesis is the first work focused on the molecular basis for non-classical fimbrial assembly. Thus, many questions remain to be addressed. Firstly, our assumption regarding the flexible mode of DSE needs to be verified and supported by additional biochemical and structural data. In particular, it is important to elucidate whether there is a specific initiation site for DSE. Can it be located in any other acceptor pockets besides P5 in different non-classical fimbriae?

Another critical step in pili biogenesis involves the binding of the chaperone-subunit preassembly complex to the usher assembly platform. Structural analysis of these interactions will provide several important insights into the non-classical fimbrial assembly and might further facilitate the development of inhibitors targeting archaic and alternative pili.

Regarding the clinically important archaic Csu pili of *A. baumannii* and the homologous CupE pili of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, it is crucial to unravel the structural basis for fimbriae-mediated biofilm formation and host cell receptor recognition. These data will provide a range of new tools to counter the multi-resistant pathogens. The suppression of *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa* biofilm-mediating fimbriae will significantly reduce bacterial virulence and the severity of the infections while increasing the susceptibility of the pathogens to traditional antibiotics.
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