

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/270222627>

Some properties of a class of elliptic partial differential operators

ARTICLE · DECEMBER 2014

DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.06.026 · Source: arXiv

DOWNLOADS

29

VIEWS

58

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Matti Vuorinen

University of Turku

253 PUBLICATIONS 2,578 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

SOME PROPERTIES OF A CLASS OF ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

SHAOLIN CHEN AND MATTI VUORINEN

ABSTRACT. We prove Schwarz-Pick type estimates and coefficient estimates for a class of elliptic partial differential operators introduced by Olofsson. Then we apply these results to obtain a Landau type theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let \mathbb{C} be the complex plane. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $r > 0$ and $\mathbb{D}(a, r) = \{z : |z - a| < r\}$. In particular, we use \mathbb{D}_r to denote the disk $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ and \mathbb{D} , the open unit disk \mathbb{D}_1 .

For a real 2×2 matrix, we will consider the matrix norm $\|A\| = \sup\{|Az| : |z| = 1\}$ and the matrix function $l(A) = \inf\{|Az| : |z| = 1\}$. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ with x and y real, we denote the *complex differential operators*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

If we denote the formal derivative of $f = u + iv$ by

$$D_f = \begin{pmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\|D_f\| = |f_z| + |f_{\bar{z}}|$ and $l(D_f) = ||f_z| - |f_{\bar{z}}||$, where u, v are real functions, $f_z = \partial f / \partial z$ and $f_{\bar{z}} = \partial f / \partial \bar{z}$. Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all n -times continuously differentiable complex-valued functions in \mathbb{D} , where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$T_\alpha = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4}(1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha-1} \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) + \frac{1}{4}(1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha} \Delta$$

be the *second order elliptic partial differential operator*, where Δ is the usual complex Laplacian operator

$$\Delta := 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.$$

We consider the *Dirichlet boundary value problem* of distributional sense as follows

File: chv20141226.tex, printed: 30-12-2014, 1.48

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 31A05; Secondary: 35J25.

Key words and phrases. Differential operator, coefficient estimate, Schwarz-Pick estimate.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} T_\alpha(f) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{D}, \\ f = f^* & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

Here, the boundary data $f^* \in \mathfrak{D}'(\partial\mathbb{D})$ is a *distribution* on the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of \mathbb{D} , and the boundary condition in (1.1) is interpreted in the distributional sense that $f_r \rightarrow f^*$ in $\mathfrak{D}'(\partial\mathbb{D})$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$, where

$$(1.2) \quad f_r(e^{i\theta}) = f(re^{i\theta}), \quad e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

for $r \in [0, 1)$ (see [21]).

In [21], Olofsson proved that, for parameter values $\alpha > -1$, a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if it has the form of a *Poisson type integral*

$$(1.3) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-i\tau}) f^*(e^{i\tau}) d\tau, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$K_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|1 - z|^{\alpha+2}},$$

$c_\alpha = (\Gamma(\alpha/2 + 1))^2 / \Gamma(1 + \alpha)$ and $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ for $s > 0$ is the standard Gamma function. If we take $\alpha = 2(n - 1)$, then f is *polyharmonic* (or *n-harmonic*), where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ (cf. [1, 2, 5, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]). In particular, if $\alpha = 0$, then f is harmonic.

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the *hypergeometric* function is defined by the power series

$$F(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

where $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_n = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the *Pochhammer* symbols. Obviously, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$. In particular, for $a, b, c > 0$ and $a+b < c$, we have (cf. [3, 4])

$$(1.4) \quad F(a, b; c; 1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} F(a, b; c; x) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} < \infty.$$

On the basis of Olofsson's research, we continue to investigate some properties of solutions to (1.1). The following is a Schwarz-Pick type estimate on the solutions to (1.1).

Theorem 1. *For $\alpha > -1$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ satisfy (1.1) and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq M$, where M is a positive constant. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$(1.5) \quad \left| f(z) - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} f(0) \right| \leq M \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) dt - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} K_\alpha(0) \right]$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \|D_f(z)\| \leq \frac{M \mathcal{M}_\alpha(|z|) [2 + \alpha + (4 + 3\alpha)|z|]}{1 - |z|^2} \leq \frac{M [2 + \alpha + (4 + 3\alpha)|z|]}{1 - |z|^2},$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(re^{i\epsilon}) d\epsilon = \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; r^2\right), \quad r \in [0, 1).$$

Let f be a harmonic mapping of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D} with $f(0) = 0$. In [15], Heinz showed that, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\|D_f(z)\| \geq \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

By using Theorem 1, we get a Heinz type inequality on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as follows.

Theorem 2. *For $\alpha \geq 0$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ satisfying (1.1). Suppose that $f(0) = 0$, $f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $f(\partial\mathbb{D}) = \partial\mathbb{D}$.*

(a) *If $\alpha = 0$, then, for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$,*

$$\|D_f(e^{i\theta})\| \geq \frac{2}{\pi};$$

(b) *If $\alpha > 0$, then, for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$,*

$$\|D_f(e^{i\theta})\| \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(r)$ is given by (1.7).

The following result is the homogeneous expansion of solutions to (1.1).

Theorem A. ([21, Theorem 2.2]) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then f satisfies (1.1) if and only if it has a series expansion of the form*

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) = & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; |z|^2\right) z^k \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; |z|^2\right) \bar{z}^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

for some sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers satisfying

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \sup |c_k|^{\frac{1}{|k|}} \leq 1.$$

In particular, the expansion (1.8), subject to (1.9), converges in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, and every solution f of (1.1) is \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth in \mathbb{D} .

For $\alpha = 0$, there are numerous discussions on coefficient estimates of harmonic mappings in the literature, see for example [7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 24]. We investigate the problem of coefficient estimates on the solutions to (1.1) as follows.

Theorem 3. *For $\alpha > -1$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a solution to (1.1) with the series expansion of the form (1.8) and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq M$, where M is a positive constant. Then, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$,*

$$(1.10) \quad \left| c_k F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; 1\right) \right| + \left| c_{-k} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; 1\right) \right| \leq \frac{4M}{\pi}$$

and

$$\left| {}_cF\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; 1\right) \right| \leq M.$$

In particular, if $\alpha = 0$, then the estimate of (1.10) is sharp and all the extreme functions are

$$f_k(z) = \frac{2\varepsilon M}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left(\log \frac{1 + \vartheta z^k}{1 - \vartheta z^k} \right),$$

where $|\varepsilon| = |\vartheta| = 1$.

The following result easily follows from Theorem 3 and [21, Proposition 1.4].

Corollary 1.1. *For $\alpha > -1$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a solution to (1.1) with the series expansion of the form (1.8) and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq M$, where M is a positive constant. Then, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$,*

$$|c_k| + |c_{-k}| \leq \frac{4M\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(k + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{k!\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\pi}.$$

For $p \in (0, \infty]$, the *Hardy space* \mathcal{H}^p consists of those functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that f is measurable, $M_p(r, f)$ exists for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\|f\|_p < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f), & \text{if } p \in (0, \infty), \\ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|, & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_p^p(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta.$$

The classical theorem of Landau shows that there is a $\rho = \frac{1}{M + \sqrt{M^2 - 1}}$ such that every function f , analytic in \mathbb{D} with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and $|f(z)| < M$ in \mathbb{D} , is univalent in the disk \mathbb{D}_ρ and in addition, the range $f(\mathbb{D}_\rho)$ contains a disk of radius $M\rho^2$ (see [16]), where $M \geq 1$ is a constant. Recently, many authors considered Landau type theorem for planar harmonic mappings (see [6, 7, 8, 9]), biharmonic mappings (see [1]) and polyharmonic mappings (see [11]). Applying Theorems 1 and 3, we get the following Landau type theorem.

Theorem 4. *For $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a solution to (1.1) satisfying $f(0) = |J_f(0)| - \lambda = 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^p$, where λ is a positive constant and J_f is the Jacobian of f . Then f is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0\rho_0}$, where ρ_0 satisfies the following equation*

$$\frac{\lambda}{M^*(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{4M^*\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2 - \rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)(1 - \rho_0^2)^2} \right] = 0,$$

where $\mu(\gamma) = (1 + \gamma)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} / \left[\gamma(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]$, $\mu(\gamma_0) = \min_{0 < \gamma < 1} \mu(\gamma)$ and $M^* = c_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \mu(\gamma_0)$.

Moreover, $f(\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0\rho_0})$ contains a univalent disk $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0 R_0}$ with

$$R_0 \geq \frac{2\rho_0}{3} \left[\frac{\lambda}{M^*(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{M^*\rho_0(2 - \rho_0)}{\pi(1 - \rho_0)^2} \right].$$

We remark that Theorem 4 is a generalization of [6, Theorem 2] and [10, Theorem 5].

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 will be presented in Section 2, and the proof of Theorem 4 will be given in Section 3.

2. SCHWARZ-PICK TYPE ESTIMATES AND COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove (1.5). By the assumption, we see that $f_r \rightarrow f^*$ in $\mathfrak{D}'(\partial\mathbb{D})$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$, where f_r is given by (1.2) for $r \in [0, 1)$. By (1.3), for $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(z) - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} f(0) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) f^*(e^{it}) dt - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(0) f^*(e^{it}) dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \left(K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} K_\alpha(0) \right) f^*(e^{it}) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} K_\alpha(0) \right) |f^*(e^{it})| dt \\ &\leq M \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) dt - \frac{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1 + |z|} K_\alpha(0) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove (1.6). By the proof of [21, Theorem 3.1], we observe that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(re^{i\epsilon}) d\epsilon = \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; r^2\right)$$

and $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(r)$ is increasing on $r \in [0, 1)$ with

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = 1.$$

By elementary calculations, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) = c_\alpha \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) e^{-it} (1 - \bar{z} e^{it}) (1 - |z|^2) - (\alpha + 1) \bar{z} |1 - ze^{-it}|^2 \right]}{|1 - ze^{-it}|^{4+\alpha}}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) = c_\alpha \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) e^{it} (1 - ze^{-it}) (1 - |z|^2) - (\alpha + 1) z |1 - ze^{-it}|^2 \right]}{|1 - ze^{-it}|^{4+\alpha}},$$

which, together with (1.3) and (2.1), imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_f(z)\| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) f^*(e^{it}) dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) f^*(e^{it}) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{Mc_\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left[(1 + \alpha) |z| |1 - ze^{-it}|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) |1 - ze^{-it}| (1 - |z|^2) \right]}{|1 - ze^{-it}|^{4+\alpha}} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{Mc_\alpha}{\pi} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1+\alpha)|z|(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}{|1-ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|1-ze^{-it}|^{3+\alpha}} dt \right] \\
&\leq \frac{Mc_\alpha}{\pi} \left[\frac{(1+\alpha)|z|}{(1-|z|^2)} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|1-ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} dt + \frac{(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})}{(1-|z|)} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|1-ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} dt \right] \\
&= \left[\frac{2M(1+\alpha)|z|}{1-|z|^2} + \frac{M(2+\alpha)}{1-|z|} \right] \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) dt \\
&= \left[\frac{2M(1+\alpha)|z|}{1-|z|^2} + \frac{M(2+\alpha)}{1-|z|} \right] \mathcal{M}_\alpha(|z|) \\
&= \frac{M\mathcal{M}_\alpha(|z|)[2+\alpha+(4+3\alpha)|z|]}{1-|z|^2} \\
&\leq \frac{M[2+\alpha+(4+3\alpha)|z|]}{1-|z|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Since (a) easily follows from the inequality (15) in [15], we only need to prove (b). Let $\alpha > 0$. By Theorem 1 (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})|}{1-r} &\geq \frac{1 - |f(re^{i\theta})|}{1-r} \\
&\geq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) dt + \frac{(1-|z|)^{\alpha+1}}{1+|z|} K_\alpha(0)}{1-r}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Applying [21, Theorem 3.1], we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(ze^{-it}) dt = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = 1,$$

which, together with L'Hopital's rule and (2.2), yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_f(e^{i\theta})\| &\geq \left(\left| \frac{\partial f(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \right| \right)_{r=1} \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})|}{1-r} \\
&\geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(re^{i(\theta-t)}) dt + \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{1+r} K_\alpha(0)}{1-r} \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(re^{i\eta}) d\eta + \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{1+r} K_\alpha(0)}{1-r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{d}{dr} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\alpha(re^{i\eta}) d\eta \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(r)$ is given by (1.7). It follows from the proof of [21, Theorem 3.1] that

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(\frac{\alpha}{2})_n]^2}{(n!)^2} r^{2n},$$

which yields that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} r F\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}; 2; r^2\right),$$

where $r \in (0, 1)$. By (1.4), for $\alpha > 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} F\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}; 2; 1\right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\alpha)}{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})]^2} \\
&= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$,

$$\|D_f(e^{i\theta})\| \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where $\alpha > 0$. The proof of this theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. For $r \in [0, 1)$, let

$$A_k(r, \alpha) = c_k F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; r^2\right)$$

and

$$B_k(r, \alpha) = c_{-k} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k + 1; r^2\right),$$

where $r = |z|$. Then

$$A_k(r, \alpha) r^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta$$

and

$$B_k(r, \alpha) r^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) e^{ik\theta} d\theta,$$

which imply that

$$(2.3) \quad |A_k(r, \alpha)| r^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) e^{-ik\theta} e^{-i \arg A_k(r, \alpha)} d\theta$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |B_k(r, \alpha)|r^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) e^{ik\theta} e^{-i \arg B_k(r, \alpha)} d\theta,$$

where $A_k(r, \alpha) = |A_k(r, \alpha)|e^{i \arg A_k(r, \alpha)}$, $B_k(r, \alpha) = |B_k(r, \alpha)|e^{i \arg B_k(r, \alpha)}$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$. By (2.3), (2.4) and [12, Lemma 1], we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(|A_k(r, \alpha)| + |B_k(r, \alpha)|)r^k| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) \left[e^{-i(k\theta + \arg A_k(r, \alpha))} + e^{i(k\theta - \arg B_k(r, \alpha))} \right] d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)| \left| e^{-i(k\theta + \arg A_k(r, \alpha))} + e^{i(k\theta - \arg B_k(r, \alpha))} \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| e^{-i(k\theta + \arg A_k(r, \alpha))} + e^{i(k\theta - \arg B_k(r, \alpha))} \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i(2k\theta + \arg A_k(r, \alpha) - \arg B_k(r, \alpha))} \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{M}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \cos \left(k\theta + \frac{\arg A_k(r, \alpha) - \arg B_k(r, \alpha)}{2} \right) \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{4M}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $r \rightarrow 1-$ on (2.5), we obtain

$$|A_k(1, \alpha)| + |B_k(1, \alpha)| \leq \frac{4M}{\pi}.$$

On the other hand, for $k = 0$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta &= \left| c_0 F \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; 1 \right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\left| c_k F \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; 1 \right) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| c_{-k} F \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; 1 \right) \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq M^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $r \in [0, 1)$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$\left| c_0 F \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1; 1 \right) \right| \leq M.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then the sharpness part follows from [11, Lemma 1]. The proof of this theorem is complete. \square

3. THE LANDAU TYPE THEOREM

Lemma 1. For $x \in [0, 1)$, let

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\delta}{M(2+\alpha)} - \frac{4Mx}{\pi} \left[\frac{(2-x)}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{2x}{(1-x)(1-x^2)^2} \right],$$

where $\alpha > -2$, $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$ are constant. Then φ is strictly decreasing and there is an unique $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) = 0$.

Proof. For $x \in [0, 1)$, let

$$f_1(x) = \frac{4M}{\pi} \frac{x(2-x)}{(1-x)^2} \text{ and } f_2(x) = \frac{4M}{\pi} \frac{2x^2}{(1-x)(1-x^2)^2}.$$

Since, for $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$f_1'(x) = \frac{8M}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1-x)^3} > 0,$$

we see that f_1 is continuous and strictly increasing in $[0, 1)$. We observe that f_2 is also continuous and strictly increasing in $[0, 1)$. Then

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\delta}{M(2+\alpha)} - f_1(x) - f_2(x)$$

is continuous and strictly decreasing in $[0, 1)$, which, together with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x) = \frac{\delta}{M(2+\alpha)} > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi(x) = -\infty,$$

imply that there is an unique $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2. For $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a solution to (1.1) satisfying $f(0) = |J_f(0)| - \beta = 0$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq M$, where M, β are positive constants and J_f is the Jacobian of f . Then f is univalent in \mathbb{D}_{ρ_0} , where ρ_0 satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{\beta}{M(2+\alpha)} - \frac{4M\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2-\rho_0}{(1-\rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1-\rho_0)(1-\rho_0^2)^2} \right] = 0.$$

Moreover, $f(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_0})$ contains a univalent disk \mathbb{D}_{R_0} with

$$R_0 \geq \frac{2\rho_0}{3} \left[\frac{\beta}{M(2+\alpha)} - \frac{M\rho_0(2-\rho_0)}{\pi(1-\rho_0)^2} \right].$$

Proof. By Theorem A, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; |z|^2\right) z^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; |z|^2\right) \bar{z}^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

for some sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers satisfying

$$\lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \sup |c_k|^{\frac{1}{|k|}} \leq 1.$$

For $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, by [21, Proposition 1.4], we observe that

$$F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; r^2\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_n \left(k - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_n r^{2n}}{(k+1)_n n!} \geq 0$$

is bounded and increasing on $r \in [0, 1)$, which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & (|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; r^2\right) \\ & \leq (|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; 1\right) \\ & \leq \frac{4M}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = |z|$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

By (3.1) and Theorem 3, we see that, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$(3.2) \quad (|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) \frac{\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_n \left(k - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_n}{(k+1)_n} \frac{1}{n!} \leq \frac{4M}{\pi},$$

where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

Since $c_0 = f(0) = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad f_z(z) - f_z(0) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k c_k F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) z^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{d}{dw} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) z^k \bar{z} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} \frac{d}{dw} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) \bar{z}^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k c_{-k} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) \bar{z}^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{d}{dw} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) z^{k+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} \frac{d}{dw} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) \bar{z}^k z, \end{aligned}$$

where $w = |z|^2$.

Applying (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & |f_z(z) - f_z(0)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0)| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) |z|^{k-1} \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) \frac{d}{dw} F\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, k - \frac{\alpha}{2}; k+1; w\right) |z|^{k+1} \\
& \leq \frac{4M}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|z|^{k-1} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{4M}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|z|^{2(n-1)} \right] |z|^{k+1} \\
& = \frac{4M}{\pi} \frac{|z|(2-|z|)}{(1-|z|)^2} + \frac{8M}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{k+1}}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \\
& = \frac{4M}{\pi} \frac{|z|(2-|z|)}{(1-|z|)^2} + \frac{8M}{\pi} \frac{|z|^2}{(1-|z|)(1-|z|^2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1 (1.6), we get

$$\beta = |J_f(0)| = |\det D_f(0)| = \|D_f(0)\| l(D_f(0)) \leq M(2+\alpha) l(D_f(0)),$$

which gives that

$$(3.6) \quad l(D_f(0)) \geq \frac{\beta}{M(2+\alpha)}.$$

In order to prove the univalence of f in \mathbb{D}_{ρ_0} , we choose two distinct points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_0}$ and let $[z_1, z_2]$ denote the segment from z_1 to z_2 with the endpoints z_1 and z_2 , where ρ_0 satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{\beta}{M(2+\alpha)} - \frac{4M\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2-\rho_0}{(1-\rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1-\rho_0)(1-\rho_0^2)^2} \right] = 0.$$

By (3.5), (3.6) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| &= \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} f_z(z) dz + f_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} f_z(0) dz + f_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (f_z(z) - f_z(0)) dz + (f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0)) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&\geq l(D_f)(0) |z_2 - z_1| \\
&\quad - \int_{[z_1, z_2]} (|f_z(z) - f_z(0)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0)|) |dz|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> |z_2 - z_1| \left\{ \frac{\beta}{M(2 + \alpha)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{4M\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2 - \rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)(1 - \rho_0^2)^2} \right] \right\} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(z_2) \neq f(z_1)$. The univalence of f follows from the arbitrariness of z_1 and z_2 . This implies that f is univalent in \mathbb{D}_{ρ_0} .

Now, for any $\zeta' = \rho_0 e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{\rho_0}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(\zeta') - f(0)| &= \left| \int_{[0, \zeta']} f_z(z) dz + f_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{[0, \zeta']} f_z(0) dz + f_{\bar{z}}(0) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \int_{[0, \zeta']} (f_z(z) - f_z(0)) dz + (f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0)) d\bar{z} \right| \\
&\geq l(D_f)(0)\rho_0 - \int_{[0, \zeta']} (|f_z(z) - f_z(0)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z) - f_{\bar{z}}(0)|) |dz| \\
&\geq l(D_f)(0)\rho_0 - \frac{4M\rho_0^2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{t(2 - \rho_0 t)}{(1 - \rho_0 t)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0 t^2}{(1 - \rho_0 t)(1 - \rho_0^2 t^2)^2} \right] dt \\
&\geq \frac{\beta\rho_0}{M(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{4M\rho_0^2}{\pi} \left[\frac{(2 - \rho_0)}{(1 - \rho_0)^2} \int_0^1 t dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)(1 - \rho_0^2)^2} \int_0^1 t^2 dt \right] \\
&= \rho_0 \left\{ \frac{\beta}{M(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{4M\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2 - \rho_0}{2(1 - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{3(1 - \rho_0)(1 - \rho_0^2)^2} \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{2\rho_0}{3} \left[\frac{\beta}{M(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{M\rho_0(2 - \rho_0)}{\pi(1 - \rho_0)^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_0})$ contains a univalent disk \mathbb{D}_{R_0} with

$$R_0 \geq \frac{2\rho_0}{3} \left[\frac{\beta}{M(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{M\rho_0(2 - \rho_0)}{\pi(1 - \rho_0)^2} \right].$$

The proof of this lemma is complete. \square

Let us recall the following result which is referred to as *Jensen's inequality* (cf. [23]).

Lemma B. *Let (Ω, A, μ) be a measure space such that $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. If g is a real-valued function that is μ -integrable, and if χ is a convex function on the real line, then*

$$\chi\left(\int_{\Omega} g d\mu\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} \chi \circ g d\mu.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. For $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$, we have

$$f(z) = \frac{c_{\alpha}}{2\pi r^{\alpha}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r^2 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|r - ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} f(re^{it}) dt,$$

where $r \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$\phi_z(r) = \frac{c_{\alpha}}{2\pi r^{\alpha}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r^2 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|r - ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} dt,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$. Applying [21, Theorem 3.1], we see that, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$(3.7) \quad \phi_z(1) \leq \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1-} \phi_z(1) = 1.$$

By using Jensen's inequality (see Lemma B), for $p \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{\phi_z(r)} \right|^p &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{c_{\alpha}}{r^{\alpha} \phi_z(r)} \frac{(r^2 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|r - ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} f(re^{it}) dt \right|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{c_{\alpha}}{r^{\alpha} \phi_z(r)} \frac{(r^2 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{|r - ze^{-it}|^{2+\alpha}} |f(re^{it})|^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{c_{\alpha}}{r^{\alpha} \phi_z(r)} \frac{(r^2 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{(r - |z|)^{2+\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_{\alpha} \|f\|_p^p (r + |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{r^{\alpha} \phi_z(r) (r - |z|)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|f(z)| \leq \left[\frac{c_{\alpha} \|f\|_p^p (\phi_z(r))^{p-1}}{r^{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{(r + |z|)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}}{(r - |z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$. By letting $r \rightarrow 1-$ and (3.7), for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad |f(z)| \leq \left[c_{\alpha} \|f\|_p^p (\phi_z(1))^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{(1 + |z|)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq c_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \frac{(1 + |z|)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, let $Q(\zeta) = f(\gamma\zeta)/\gamma$, where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. It is not difficult to know that $Q(0) = |J_Q(0)| - \lambda = 0$. By (3.8), for $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, we obtain

$$|Q(\zeta)| = \frac{|f(\gamma\zeta)|}{\gamma} \leq c_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}}{\gamma(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

which gives that

$$|Q(\zeta)| \leq c_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \min_{0 < \gamma < 1} \mu(\gamma),$$

where

$$\mu(\gamma) = (1 + \gamma)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} / \left[\gamma(1 - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right].$$

Let $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$ satisfy

$$\mu(\gamma_0) = \min_{0 < \gamma < 1} \mu(\gamma).$$

By using Lemma 2, we observe that Q is univalent in \mathbb{D}_{ρ_0} , where ρ_0 satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{\lambda}{M^*(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{4M^*\rho_0}{\pi} \left[\frac{2 - \rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{2\rho_0}{(1 - \rho_0)(1 - \rho_0^2)^2} \right] = 0,$$

where $M^* = c_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \mu(\gamma_0)$. Moreover, $Q(\mathbb{D}_{\rho_0})$ contains a univalent disk \mathbb{D}_{R_0} with

$$R_0 \geq \frac{2\rho_0}{3} \left[\frac{\lambda}{M^*(2 + \alpha)} - \frac{M^*\rho_0(2 - \rho_0)}{\pi(1 - \rho_0)^2} \right].$$

Hence f is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0\rho_0}$ and $f(\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0\rho_0})$ contains a univalent disk $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0 R_0}$. The proof of this theorem is complete. \square

Acknowledgements: This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11401184 and No. 11326081), the Hunan Province Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 2015JJ3025), the Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of Special Foundation of Hunan Province (No: 100017), the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province, The Väisälä Foundation of The Finnish Academy of Sciences and Letters.

REFERENCES

1. Z. ABDULHADI AND Y. ABU MUHANNA, Landau's theorem for biharmonic mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **338**(2008), 705–709.
2. A. ALEMAM AND J. A. PELÁEZ, Spectra of integration operators and weighted square functions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **61**(2012), 775–793.
3. G. D. ANDERSON, R. W. BARNARD, K. C. RICHARDS, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(1995), 1713–1723.
4. G. D. ANDERSON, S. L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, Generalized elliptic integrals and modular equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **192**(2000), 1–37.
5. A. BORICHEV AND H. HEDENMALM, Weighted integrability of polyharmonic functions, *Adv. Math.*, **264**(2014), 464–505.
6. H. CHEN, P. M. GAUTHIER AND W. HENGARTNER, Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128**(2000), 3231–3240.
7. SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY AND A. RASILA, Coefficient estimates, Landaus theorem and Lipschitz-type spaces on planar harmonic mappings, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **96**(2014), 198–215.
8. SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY AND X. WANG, Harmonic mappings in Bergman spaces, *Monatsh. Math.*, **170**(2013), 325–342.
9. SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY AND X. WANG, Integral means and coefficient estimates on planar harmonic mappings, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **37**(2012), 69–79.

10. SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY AND X. WANG, On planar harmonic Lipschitz and planar harmonic Hardy classes, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **36**(2011), 567–576.
11. SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY AND X. WANG, Bloch constant and Landau’s theorems for planar p -harmonic mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **373**(2011), 102–110.
12. SH. CHEN AND A. RASILA, Schwarz-Pick type estimates of pluriharmonic mappings in the unit polydisk, arXiv:1409.7897, to appear in *Illinois J. Math.*
13. P. DUREN, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
14. M. ENGIŠ, A Loewner-type lemma for weighted biharmonic operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **179**(1997), 343–353.
15. E. HEINZ, On one-to-one harmonic mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **9**(1959), 101–105.
16. E. LANDAU, Über die Bloch’sche konstante und zwei verwandte weltkonstanten, *Math. Z.*, **30**(1929), 608–634.
17. M. S. LIU, Z. W. LIU AND Y. C. ZHU, Landau’s theorems for certain biharmonic mappings, *Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series*, **54**(2011), 1–12.
18. A. OLOFSSON, A representation formula for radially weighted biharmonic functions in the unit disc, *Publ. Math.*, **49** (2005), 393–415.
19. A. OLOFSSON, Regularity in a singular biharmonic Dirichlet problem, *Monatsh. Math.*, **148** (2006), 229–239.
20. A. OLOFSSON AND J. WITTSTEN, Poisson integrals for standard weighted Laplacians in the unit disc, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, **65** (2013), 447–486.
21. A. OLOFSSON, Differential operators for a scale of Poisson type kernels in the unit disc, *J. Anal. Math.*, **123** (2014), 227–249.
22. M. PAVLOVIĆ, Decompositions of L^p and Hardy spaces of polyharmonic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **216** (1997), 499–509.
23. W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill. ISBN 0-07-054234-1, 1987.
24. W. SZAPIEL, Bounded harmonic mappings, *J. Anal. Math.*, **111**(2010), 47–76.

SHAOLIN CHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, HENGYANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HENGYANG, HUNAN 421008, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

E-mail address: mathechen@126.com

MATTI VUORINEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TURKU, TURKU 20014, FINLAND.

E-mail address: vuorinen@utu.fi