

RENT XXXI - RESEARCH IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SMALL BUSINESS

Title of Submission *	HOW SATISFIED ARE ENTREPRENEURS? A COMPARISON OF WELL-BEING AND WORK-LIFE <small>In case the title of your final paper is different from the original abstract, please modify it here!</small>
Co-authors:	ROBERT BLACKBURN <small>Please only mention the co-author <u>name</u> (without email, address, affiliation,...)</small>
Keywords:	ENTREPRENEURS, WELL-BEING, WORK-LIFE BALANCE, SOLO, EMPLOYER
Session - Track *	14. Human capital, social capital and relational networks
Upload date:	May 15, 2017
Last modification:	July 19, 2017
Status	Accepted - Final paper needed
Final Decision:	
Current Version	Download
Select a file on your computer: * <small>(max. 2048 Kb.)</small>	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen
Select other files related to the submission <small>(databases, sheets, pictures...) (max. 350 Kb.)</small>	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen
	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen
	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen
	<small>(*) Mandatory</small>
	<input type="button" value="Save"/> <input type="button" value="Reset"/>

! WARNING !

- To reduce upload/download-time, the maximum file size for abstracts/papers/cv's is **2048 Kb (350 Kb for annexes)**.
- After hitting the 'Save' button, the reaction could take some time depending on the weight of the documents and your internet connection speed.

Reviews (2)	
	Review 1: (Submitted on May 19, 2017)
Review:	<p>1 - Is the purpose of the research and the contribution to the literature clearly explained ? - Scale 1:5 (1:Very Poor - 5:Outstanding - N/A:Not applicable) 1</p> <p>2 - Is the methodology used clearly explained and appropriate ? - Scale 1:5 (1:Very Poor - 5:Outstanding - N/A:Not applicable) 3</p> <p>3 - Are the (expected) results and implications relevant ? - Scale 1:5 (1:Very Poor - 5:Outstanding - N/A:Not applicable) 2</p> <p>4 - Are the findings and implications relevant for the RENT participants ? - Scale 1:5 (1:Very Poor - 5:Outstanding - N/A:Not applicable) 2</p> <p>5 - Overall recommendation (choose one): Yes (accepted) No (rejected) - Yes or No - Question No</p> <p>6 - Please provide sufficient constructive comments for the author/s for each of the headings (Objectives/Aims; Literature/Theoretical background ; Approach/Method ; Results/Findings ; Implications ; Value/Contribution) to help the author/s improve the final paper. - Open Question - Free Text Field While what you try to tackle here seems interesting, it does not appear to engage with current debates. This is evident from the literature you refer to. Moreover, the contribution to knowledge and understanding was not clear and this has to come across. In part this was because the work was not positioned in current debates in a way which showed the gap in knowledge and understanding that this work would deal with. What was presented around the methodology was fine and you seem familiar with your approach. The results and implications did not help to show where the work was heading and to which bits of current literature you were adding to. I also did not find the results too surprising either. To take the work forward, what I would do is engage with more recent literature and position your work</p>