## 1 Epididymitis caused by coxsackievirus A6 in association of hand, foot and

## 2 mouth disease

3 4

Tytti Vuorinen<sup>a\*</sup>, Riikka Österback<sup>a</sup>, Jani Kuisma<sup>b</sup> and Pekka Ylipalosaari<sup>c</sup>

5

6 <sup>a</sup>Department of Virology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, <sup>b</sup>Department of Urology, Oulu

7 University Hospital, Oulu, Finland and <sup>c</sup>Department of Infection Control, Oulu University Hospital,

8 Oulu, Finland

9 10

11 Running title: Epididymitis coxsackievirus

12

13 Key words: epididymitis, coxsackievirus, enterovirus, hand foot and mouth disease

14

- 15 Word count for abstract: 50
- 16 Word count for text: 1112

17

18

- 19 \* Corresponding author:
- 20 Tytti Vuorinen
- 21 Department of Virology
- 22 University of Turku
- 23 Kiinamyllynkatu 13
- 24 20520Turku
- 25 Finland
- 26 Email: tytti.vuorinen@utu.fi
- 27 Phone: +358 2 3337470

28

**Abstract** 

34	Coxsackievirus A6 (CV-A6) caused hand, foot and mouth disease (HFMD) with unique
35	manifestation of epididymitis. The patient underwent operation due to suspicion of testicular
36	torsion. Epididymitis was diagnosed by ultrasound examination. Enterovirus was detected from

37 epididymal fluid by PCR and typed by partial sequencing of viral protein 1 as CV-A6.

## 39 CASE REPORT

40

The case patient was 17 y.o. male, who was previously in good health. He was not on any regular medication. He sought medical care at the emergency department of the university hospital due to an intense pain in his left testis. He was admitted to the surgical ward as a suspicion of a testicular torsion. On admission his general condition was good. He had mild fever, swelling in the left side of scrotum and this area was painful in palpation. Vesicular exanthema had appeared on palms during the week before admission to hospital.

47

48 Due to the suspicion of testicular torsion an ultrasound examination was performed, which revealed 49 epididymo-orchitis. The right testicle was normal when examined by ultrasound. Because torsion could not be excluded an explorative operation was performed. Left testis and epididymis were 50 found to be swelling and irritated. There was no pus in the scrotal area, but under the tunica 51 52 vaginalis there was a small amount of fluid, which was aspirated and sent for the microbiological 53 analysis. Antimicrobial treatment was started with cefuroxime and ciprofloxacin. After operation 54 the patient remained on the ward for three days and on discharge he was recovering; he was afebrile 55 and did not have any pain or swollenness in the scrotal area.

56

57 Laboratory analysis showed increased blood C-reactive protein level 105 mg/ml (normal level

58 < 10 mg/l). On discharge CRP was 30 mg/ml. White blood cell count was normal (6.2-7.8 x 10<sup>9</sup>/l).

59 Bacterial culture from the epididymal fluid was negative, as well as was urine culture. Chlamydia

60 trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoea PCR tests from epididymal fluid were negative.

61

62 The patient had mild fever and small vesicles on palms before the admission to the hospital, which

63 led the clinician to suspect a viral etiology. Therefore enterovirus PCR was performed from

64	epididymal fluid sample. An in-house RT -PCR with primers derived from the 5' -non-coding
65	region of enterovirus genome was used (1). The PCR test gave a positive result. Because CV-A6
66	had been circulating in Finland causing HFMD, also PCR with CV-A6 specific primers (1) was run,
67	which was positive. For typing the enterovirus, RT -PCR with primers specific for a partial
68	sequence of viral protein 1 was performed (2). The amplicons were sequenced and run in the
69	BLAST search confirming the sequence as CV-A6 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide,
70	GenBank accession number: KF 687973) Enterovirus IgG antibodies from the sera were slightly
71	elevated, 82 EIU (enzyme immunoassay units; cut-off value for positive enterovirus IgG is 10 EIU),
72	and also enterovirus IgM antibodies were detected confirming a recent enteroviral infection.
73	
74	
75	
76	Coxsackievirus A6 (CV-A6) is a member of human enterovirus Species A in the genus Enterovirus
77	in the family of Picornaviridae. The most common clinical manifestation of CV-A6 infection is
78	herpangina, a febrile illness with vesicular lesions on oral mucosa mainly affecting children. Other
79	clinical manifestations include central nervous system infections.
80	
81	In 2008 the virus emerged as a cause of HFMD (3), which is a childhood febrile illness with
82	vesicular exanthema on hands, feet and oral mucosa caused mainly by coxsackievirus A 16 and
83	enterovirus 71. Since then CV-A6 has been associated with global HFMD outbreaks. The features
84	of CV-A6 HFMD have been atypical and more severe than in the classic disease and also adults
85	have been affected (3-6).
86	
87	In a large CV-A6 outbreak in Singapore and in France patients mainly had herpangina (7,8),

88 whereas in an outbreak in Taiwan CVA6 infections occurred as macular or vesicular lesion on

palms, soles and oral mucosa (9). Atypical HFMD presenting with onychomadesis, nail shedding,
caused by CV-A6 was first reported from Finland and Spain (3,10). Unusual lesions on scalp (11)
and perioral and perirectal papules, as well as vesicles on the dorsum of the hands (12) have been
shown. Recently, atypical HFMD cases with exsanthema resembling chickenpox or eczema
herpeticum was reported from United Kingdom (4).

94

95 The patient presented in this paper had a unique manifestation of CV-A6 infection as an 96 epididymitis. On admission the patient gave history of previous febrile illness with vesicular rash on 97 palms and soles and also his sister had same symptoms, which suggested a contagious disease as an 98 etiology. Within the previous three months there were also two other young males with similar 99 testicular symptoms who were exploratively operated by the same urological team due to suspicion of testis torsion. However, this could not be confirmed in the operation. Atypical clinical features as 100 101 well as admission and operation of the third patient within a quite a short period of time led to the 102 suspicion of a contagious viral disease. Vesicular exsanthema on palms suggested enterovirus as a 103 possible etiologic agent. Because infection could not be suspected in the first two surgical cases, no 104 samples for microbiological detection were taken and thus a possible common exposure between 105 the cases could not be confirmed. However, anamnesis showed that before the admission to the 106 hospital, the patients had had symptoms of a viral infection, such as mild fever. Anyway, this 107 implies that epididymitis of viral origin might have been more common than could be suspected. 108 There are several enterovirus types circulating during epidemics, therefore we can't speculate about 109 the virus specific tropism of CV-A6 to epididymal tissue based on a single case. 110

111 Bacteria are the most common cause of epididymitis in adults, while orchitis is the classic

112 complication of viral infection (mumps) (13). A bacterial etiology is defined in 64 % of the cases

and they cause infections in young men under 35 years as common as in older men (14).

114 Epididymitis in boys under 14 years is considered to be mainly a post-infectious inflammatory

6

115 process (15).

116

Outcome of epididymitis is usually self-limiting and favourable, but mumps orchitis may lead to
testis atrophy and influence for infertility (13). Recently significant changes in sperm protein

119 composition have been found to occur following epididymitis (16).

120

This is the first report to show that enteroviruses can be detected from epididymal fluid indicating virus replication in the tissue. Epididymitis in young boys has been thought to be post-infectious inflammatory phenomenon, which is based on the findings that the patients have had symptoms of upper respiratory infections preceding scrotal symptoms (15). Also viruses have been detected from nasopharyngeal specimens or stool samples and the patients have had higher virus antibody levels in sera than controls (15).

127

128 The patient described here underwent first surgery due to suspicion of testicular torsion. However,

129 the final diagnosis was epididymitis caused by an enterovirus. Clinical heed is important to

130 recognize unusual clinical presentation of HFMD and atypical etiology of epididymitis in order to

131 avoid unnecessary invasive procedures as well as inappropriate antibiotic treatments. Our

132 experience suggests that viral epididymitis should be suspected in young men with recent HFMD,

134

- 136
- 137 138

<sup>133</sup> who present with testicular pain.

141 Referen	ces
-------------	-----

143	1.	Österback, R., T., Tevaluoto, V., Peltola, P., Susi, T., Hyypiä, and M. Waris. 2013.
144		Simultaneous detection and differentiation of human rhino- and enteroviruses in clinical
145		specimens by real-time RT-PCR. J. of Clin. Microbiol. 51:3960-3967.
146	2.	Nix W.A., M.S., Oberste, and M.A. Pallansch. 2006. Sensitive, seminested PCR
147		amplification of VP1 sequences for direct identification of all enterovirus serotypes from
148		original clinical specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:2698-26704.
149	3.	Österback R., T., Vuorinen, M., Linna, P., Susi, T., Hyypiä, and M. Waris. 2009.
150		Coxsackievirus A6 and hand, foot, and mouth disease, Finland. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15:1485-
151		1488.
152	4.	Sinclair C., E., Gaunt, P., Simmonds, D., Broomfield, N., Nwafor, L., Wellington,
153		K., Templeton, L., Willocks, O., Schofield, and H. Harvala. 2014. Atypical hand, foot,
154		and mouth disease associated with Coxsackievirus A6 infection, Edinburgh, United
155		Kingdom, January to February. Eurosurveillance. 19:pii:20745.
156	5.	Ben-Chetrit E., Y., Wiener-Well, L.M., Shulman, M.J. Cohen, H., Elinav, D., Sofer, I.,
157		Feldman, E., Marva, and D.G. Wolf. 2014. Coxsackievirus A6-related hand foot and
158		mouth disease: skin manifestations in a cluster of adult patients. J. Clin. Virol. <b>59:</b> 201-203.
159	6.	Downing C., M.K., Ramirez-Fort, H.Q., Doan, F., Benoist, M.S., Oberste, F., Khan,
160		and S.K. Tyring. 2014. Coxsackievirus A6 associated hand, foot and mouth disease in
161		adults: Clinical presentation and review of the literature. J. Clin. Virol. 60:381-386. doi:
162		10.1016/j.jcv.2014.04.023.
163	7.	Wu Y., A., Yeo, M.C., Phoon, E.L., Tan, C.L., Poh, S.H., Quak, and V.T. Chow.

164		2010. The largest outbreak of hand; foot and mouth disease in Singapore in 2008: the role of
165		enterovirus 71 and coxsackievirus A strains. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 14:e1076-1081.
166	8.	Mirand A., C., Henquell, C., Archimbaud, S., Ughetto, D., Antona, J.L., Bailly,
167		and H. Peigue-Lafeuille. 2012. Outbreak of hand, foot and mouth disease/herpangina
168		associated with coxsackievirus A6 and A10 infections in 2010, France: a large citywide,
169		prospective observational study. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 18:e110-118.
170	9.	Wei S.H., Y.P., Huang, M.C., Liu, T.P., Tsou, H.C., Lin, T.L., Lin, C.Y., Tsai,
171		Y.N., Chao, L.Y., Chang, and C.M., Hsu. 2011. An outbreak of coxsackievirus A6 hand,
172		foot, and mouth disease associated with onychomadesis in Taiwan, 2010. BMC Infect. Dis.
173		11:346-351.
174	10	. Guimbao J., P., Rodrigo, M.J., Alberto, and M. Omeñaca. 2010. Onychomadesis
175		outbreak linked to hand, foot, and mouth disease, Spain, July 2008. Euro Surveill.
176		<b>15:</b> pii=19663.
177	11	. Lønnberg A.S., J., Elberling, T.K., Fischer, and L. Skov. 2013. Two cases of hand, foot,
178		and mouth disease involving the scalp. Acta Derm. Venereol. 11:doi: 10.2340/00015555-
179		1516.
180	12	. Flett K., I., Youngster, J., Huang, A., McAdam, T.J. Sandora, M., Rennick,
181		S., Smole, S.L., Rogers, W.A., Nix, M.S., Oberste, S., Gellis, and A.A. Ahmed. 2012.
182		Hand, foot, and mouth disease caused by coxsackievirus A6. Emerg. Infect. Dis 18:1702-
183		1704.
184	13	. Ludwig M. 2008. Diagnosis and therapy of acute prostatitis, epididymitis and orchitis. A
185		review. Andrologia. 40:76-80.
186	14	. Pilatz A., M., Buerger, F., Wagenlehner, H., Hossain, and W. Weidner. 2011. Acute
187		epididymitis: etiology and inflammatroy parameters in a prospective study. J. Urol.
188		<b>185:</b> e569

