# See final publication at :<u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458518791680</u>

### Positron emission tomography imaging in evaluation of MS pathology in vivo

Heidi Högel<sup>1,2</sup>, Eero Rissanen<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Vuorimaa<sup>1,2</sup>, and Laura Airas<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Turku PET Centre, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland <sup>2</sup> Division of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland

Number of tables: 4 Number of figures: 2 Word count abstract: 141 Word count paper: 2310 Number of references: 124

Corresponding author: Heidi Högel, PhD Turku PET Centre, c/o Turku University Hospital Kiinamyllynkatu 4–8, 20520 Turku Finland Tel. +358 2 231 8191 Email: hhhoge@utu.fi Twitter: @hhogel

Eero Rissanen Anna Vuorimaa Laura Airas eerris@utu.fi anna.vuorimaa@utu.fi laura.airas@utu.fi

Running title: Imaging MS pathology using PET

Keywords: PET, imaging, microglia, neuroinflammation, brain, multiple sclerosis

# 1 Abstract

2	Positron emission tomography (PET), gives an opportunity to quantitate the expression
3	of specific molecular targets in vivo and longitudinally in brain, and thus enhances our
4	possibilities to understand and follow up MS-related pathology. For successful PET
5	imaging, one needs a relevant target molecule within the brain, to which a blood-brain
6	barrier-penetrating specific radioligand will bind. TSPO-binding radioligands have been
7	used to detect activated microglial cells at different stages of MS, and remyelination has
8	been measured using amyloid PET. Several PET ligands for detection of other
9	inflammatory targets besides TSPO have been developed but not yet been used for
10	imaging MS patients. Finally, synaptic density evaluation has been successfully tested
11	in human subjects, and gives opportunities for evaluation of the development of cortical
12	and deep gray matter pathology in MS. This review will discuss PET imaging
13	modalities relevant for MS today.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

# 1 Abbreviations

2	2-AG	2-arachidonoylglycerol
3	A2A	adenosine receptor A2A
4	AD	Alzheimer's disease
5	ALS	amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
6	APP	$\beta$ amyloid precursor protein
7	ATP, ADP, AMP	adenosine triphosphate/diphosphate/monophosphate
8	BBB	blood brain barrier
9	СВ	cannabinoid receptor
10	CNS	central nervous system
11	COX	cyclooxygenase
12	EAE	experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis
13	ECS	endocannabinoid system
14	FR	folate receptor
15	GM	grey matter
16	iNOS	inducible nitric oxide synthase
17	M1	classically activated or proinflammatory microglia
18	M2	alternatively activated or anti-inflammatory microglia
19	MMP	matrix metalloproteinase
20	MS	multiple sclerosis

1	nAChR	nicotinic acetylcholine receptors
2	NAWM	normal appearing white matter
3	2X	ionotropic purinergic receptor
4	P2Y	metabotropic purinergic receptor
5	PD	Parkinson's disease
6	PET	positron emission tomography
7	ROS	reactive oxygen species
8	RRMS	relapsing remitting MS
9	SPMS	secondary progressive MS
10	SV2A	synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A
11	TSPO	18-kDa translocator protein

12

#### 13 Introduction

14 The diagnosis and follow-up of multiple sclerosis (MS) is mostly based on conventional

- 15 MRI including T2-, T1-weighted and post-gadolinium T1-weighted images.<sup>1, 2</sup> The
- 16 technique is, however, unspecific and unable to fully differentiate between
- 17 abnormalities such as inflammation, demyelination, ischemia and neural damage.<sup>3</sup>
- 18 Thus, more specific *in vivo* molecular imaging techniques are needed for better
- 19 understanding of the disease pathology.

20

1	Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging enables targeted, quantitative and non-
2	invasive imaging of physiological and pathological processes in vivo. The technique is
3	based on radiolabeled ligands which accumulate into target tissues and structures. The
4	radiolabels are radioisotopes with short half-life, such as <sup>11</sup> C, <sup>15</sup> O and <sup>18</sup> F. The ligands
5	on the other hand are molecules which bind specific targets expressed during processes
6	of interest. The hallmarks of MS pathology and thus the processes in focus are
7	inflammation, gliosis, demyelination, and degeneration. Using PET techniques, it is
8	possible to image the heterogeneity of the MS lesions and inflammatory changes in
9	normal appearing white matter (NAWM) and grey matter (GM), but also functional
10	changes of the brain. Brain PET imaging in MS has focused on imaging the reactive
11	immune cells of the brain-resident innate immune system, that is, the microglia and
12	macrophages. Other targets for MS-relevant PET imaging include reactive astrocytes,
13	neurons, and myelin. In this review, we discuss the present status of ligand development
14	and the practical experience in imaging of the various MS-relevant targets.

## 15 Microglia as an imaging target

Microglia are resident immune cells of the central nervous system (CNS). They are very much like peripheral macrophages as they are motile and capable of phagocytosis, and of secreting cytokines, chemokines, free radicals, and neurotrophic factors.<sup>4</sup> Microglia have two important functions in the CNS: defense and maintenance. As part of the innate immune system, they take care of the immune defense of the CNS. They also maintain homeostasis by contributing to neuronal proliferation and differentiation, and
 modulation of synaptic connections.<sup>5</sup>

3

4 In a healthy CNS the morphology of microglia is ramified, and they are considered as 5 "resting". Resting is somewhat misleading as even in this stage the microglia are 6 actively gathering information about their microenvironment and can thus be considered rather as surveying than resting.<sup>6</sup> Change in the homeostasis of CNS causes resting 7 8 microglia to be activated. Previously, the distinction of microglia between resting and 9 activated was considered as on-off situation. However, this process seems more 10 complex and dependent on the activation mechanism. Classically activated or pro-11 inflammatory microglia (M1-like) are activated by interferon y, and they secrete reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inflammatory cytokines.<sup>7</sup> Alternatively activated or 12 13 anti-inflammatory microglia (M2-like) are divided to three subclasses (M2a, M2b and M2c) according to their function and molecules stimulating the activation.<sup>8</sup> Microglial 14 15 activity is induced in several neurodegenerative pathologies including MS, Alzheimer's 16 (AD), and Parkinson's diseases (PD), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Thus, it 17 has become a major target of PET brain imaging of neuroinflammation and 18 neurodegeneration.

#### 1 18-kDa translocator protein

2 Most of the PET studies on MS have focused on imaging activated microglia using the 3 18-kDa translocator protein (TSPO) as a target. TSPO is a protein expressed on outer 4 membrane of mitochondria of activated microglia, and its high expression is linked to neuroinflammation and neuronal injury.<sup>9</sup> Recent evidence from *in vitro* and animal 5 6 studies also indicates that the increased expression of TSPO in neuroinflammation might be specific for proinflammatory M1-like microglia.<sup>10</sup> TSPO expression has 7 however, also been described in macrophages and in some astrocytes.<sup>11</sup> 8 9 The oldest TSPO-ligand is  $[^{11}C]PK11195$ . Due to the shortcomings of  $[^{11}C]PK11195$ 10

11 characteristics, such as relatively low blood-brain barrier (BBB) penetration and high 12 non-specific binding, new second- and third-generation TSPO ligands have been 13 developed. These, however, show heterogeneous binding to TSPO due to genetic 14 polymorphism (rs6971), which complicates interpretation of the results. For  $[^{11}C]PBR28^{12}$  and  $[^{18}F]FEPPA^{13}$  this has been investigated in detail, but similar 15 16 differences in the binding affinity between subjects has been observed for all second-17 and third-generation TSPO ligands. The sensitivity of only one second-generation tracer, namely [<sup>11</sup>C]ER176, has been shown to be sufficient enough for the 18 quantification of all three binding affinity types.<sup>14</sup> For all other tracers, low-affinity 19 20 binders have too low specific radioligand binding to allow reliable quantification.

2	Despite the challenges, TSPO imaging has provided valuable information about
3	microglial activation in MS in vivo. Using [ <sup>11</sup> C]PK11195, investigators have
4	demonstrated that microglial activation is not only increased in MRI-detected focal
5	inflammatory lesions in MS patients with active disease, but also in the NAWM and at
6	the rim of chronic active lesions in patients with secondary progressive MS (SPMS),
7	compared to relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) and healthy controls.
8	Importantly, the level of TSPO binding correlates with clinical disability. <sup>15, 16</sup> Figure 1
9	demonstrates the TSPO binding patterns related to demyelination in an MS patient. We
10	have recently reviewed TSPO imaging in detail in this journal and elsewhere. <sup>17, 18</sup>
11	Cannabinoid receptor CB2
12	Cannabinoid receptors are G-protein coupled receptors of two subtypes, cannabinoid
13	receptor 1 (CB1) which is mainly expressed in the CNS and cannabinoid receptor 2
14	(CB2) which is found particularly in the immune system, but also in certain parts of the
15	CNS. <sup>19</sup> CB2 is expressed in neurons and microglia, and the expression is increased
16	upon microglial activation. <sup>20</sup> There is growing evidence suggesting that CB2 receptor
17	expression and activity contributes to the shift of M1-like microglia towards M2-like
18	microglia. This makes CB2 an interesting and potential target of in vivo imaging of
18 19	microglia. This makes CB2 an interesting and potential target of <i>in vivo</i> imaging of neuroinflammation. <sup>21, 22</sup> Interestingly, microglia themselves produce cannabinoid

(ATP) stimulation,<sup>23, 24</sup> and the production has been linked to another receptor of
 interest, purinergic receptor P2X7,<sup>24</sup> discussed later in this review.

3

4 In MS, endocannabinoid system (ECS) is disrupted in multiple ways, and the expression 5 of CB receptors, the production of endocannabinoids, and the expression of enzymes metabolizing them is altered.<sup>19</sup> The CB2 has been the main focus of interest as its levels 6 7 are increased in neuroinflammation and during microglial activation while the 8 expression level of CB1 is unaltered. The activity of CB1 has however been shown to 9 reduce the severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in mice, which suggests a protective role for CBs.<sup>19</sup> Together with the recent report showing an 10 early increase in CB2 following ischemia,<sup>25</sup> these findings suggest that CB2 expression 11 12 might indicate an early stage of activation, or "primed" state of microglia. This might be 13 related to an early neuroprotective response to injury. Altogether, the changes in ECS 14 have made it a potentially interesting in vivo imaging target. To date the most promising, and the only tracer tested in human subjects, is [<sup>11</sup>C]NE40.<sup>26, 27</sup> 15 16

#### 17 The role of purinergic receptors during neuroinflammation

18 The purinergic system includes a heterogenous group of purinergic receptors facilitating 19 important signaling pathways of the nervous and immune system.<sup>28</sup> Extracellular purine 20 and pyrimidine nucleosides and nucleotides, especially ATP, are important signaling

1	molecules in the brain, and responsible for neuron-to-neuron and neuron-to-glia
2	communication. <sup>29</sup> ATP is a direct ligand of purinergic receptors, but its effects are also
3	mediated through extracellular ecto-nucleotidases which produce extracellular
4	adenosine diphosphate (ADP), adenosine monophosphate (AMP), and adenosine and
5	thus control the ligand availability of nucleotide and adenosine receptors. <sup>30, 31</sup>
6	Nucleotides act through ionotropic (P2X) and metabotropic (P2Y) purinergic receptors,
7	whereas adenosine activates G protein-coupled receptors adenosine A1 receptor (A1),
8	adenosine A2A receptor (A2A), adenosine A2B receptor (A2B), and adenosine A3
9	receptor (A3). <sup>32</sup>
10	
10	Adenosine A2A receptor
11	Among the four types of adenosine receptors, A2A signaling has been particularly
12	described as a potent regulator of inflammation. <sup>33</sup> A2A receptors (A2ARs) are most
13	abundant in the striatum, and in pathological conditions their expression has been
14	demonstrated also in other CNS areas and cell types, such as microglia and astrocytes. <sup>34</sup>
15	Most of all, A2AR has been shown to be involved in glial activation and
16	neuroinflammation. <sup>35</sup> Development of several PET tracers (table 1) have enabled

- 17 imaging of A2AR and revealed its increased and spreading expression in
- 18 neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases including MS.<sup>35, 36</sup> In AD, the
- 19 astrocytic expression of A2AR has been recently shown,<sup>34</sup> but the identity and role of

A2AR-expressing cells in MS remains to be seen. For further reading, we have recently
 reviewed imaging of A2AR in more detail elsewhere.<sup>35</sup>

#### 3 Purinergic P2X7 receptor (P2X7R)

4 P2X7 receptors (P2X7R) are ATP-gated non-selective ion channels which are abundant 5 in microglia, and they are also expressed in other glial cells such as astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. Neuronal expression of P2X7 has been somewhat controversial<sup>37, 38</sup> 6 but most of the evidence indicates its expression on presynaptic terminals<sup>28</sup>. The 7 8 receptor activity in immune cells indicates a role for P2X7 in immune functions and 9 inflammatory responses, and its activity has been shown to promote microglial activation and proliferation.<sup>39</sup> Most of all, the activity of P2X7 is a key event in 10 activation and recruitment of the inflammasome,<sup>40</sup> which is needed for processing and 11 release of proinflammatory cytokines interleukin (IL)-1ß and IL-18.<sup>41</sup> The interest in 12 13 P2X7 as an imaging target for PET rises from its expression in microglia and increased activity during pathologies including neuroinflammation.<sup>28</sup> Recent reports indicate that 14 15 P2X7 could be used in discriminating the proinflammatory M1-like microglia from M2like microglia.42 16

17

18 Increased expression of P2X7 in activated microglia has been reported in MS,<sup>42,43</sup>

19 although a recent study found that the P2X7 receptor is expressed yet inhibited in

20 peripheral monocytes during acute phases of relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS).<sup>44</sup> This

1	report further shows an increase in the expression of P2X7 in the astrocytes in the
2	frontal cortex of SPMS patients. Several ligands targeting P2X7 have been evaluated
3	preclinically (table 2) but only a few have shown potential to proceed to studies in
4	humans. <sup>45, 46</sup> The existence of multiple splice variants and genetic polymorphism of the
5	P2X7 gene <sup>47, 48</sup> issue a challenge to research as some of them affect the function of the
6	receptor, and thus may also lead to heterogeneity in binding potential of the tracer.
7	
8	In addition, another purinergic receptor P2Y12 has been of interest as it is expressed
9	particularly in resident M2-like microglia, and not in peripheral macrophages. <sup>49</sup> In line,
10	its expression has been reported to be altered in pathologies such as AD, ALS, and
11	MS. <sup>50-52</sup> However, more research is needed before P2Y12 can be considered as a valid
12	PET imaging target.
12	
13	Imaging myelin
14	Destruction of the myelin sheath wrapped around axons in the CNS is one of the
15	hallmarks of MS. Remyelination can however restore the axonal function lost upon the
16	demyelination process, and enhancing remyelination is a potential therapeutic approach.
17	Hence, the ability to measure the remyelination process in vivo is of great interest both
18	
10	for designing therapeutic studies, and for evaluation of natural disease evolution.
19	for designing therapeutic studies, and for evaluation of natural disease evolution. Thioflavine T derivatives (Pittsburgh Compound-B (PiB), flutemetamol, florbetabir and

20 florbetaben) are ligands that bind to fibrillar amyloid A $\beta$  deposits and have mainly been

11

1	used for the detection of cortical gray matter amyloid pathology in AD and related
2	disorders. However, since these ligands also bind avidly to myelin in the white matter,
3	with $\beta$ amyloid precursor protein (APP) being the proposed binding site and playing a
4	role in the processes of demyelination and remyelination, there has been growing
5	interest for amyloid PET imaging in MS. <sup>53</sup> Indeed, the degree of demyelination in MS
6	can be measured using [ <sup>11</sup> C]PiB showing not only decreased binding within
7	demyelinated lesions, but also increasing binding with dynamic remyelination
8	correlating to decreasing disability in follow-up. <sup>54, 55</sup> In addition, other promising PET
9	tracers for myelin imaging have also been developed <sup>56</sup> and used in animal models of
10	MS. Table 3 summarizes the key ligands and studies related to PET imaging of myelin
11	in MS.

#### 12 Imaging grey matter pathology – focus on neuronal synapses

Recent neuropathological studies have revealed over 50 % reduction in synaptic density in both lesioned and normal-appearing cortical grey matter in patients with late RRMS or SPMS.<sup>57</sup> A novel PET ligand binding to a synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A (SV2A),<sup>58, 59</sup> [<sup>11</sup>C]UCB-J, now enables imaging of synapses in the living human brain.<sup>59</sup> PET studies using this ligand will likely increase our understanding of the temporal development of grey matter pathology in MS and the association of white matter pathology to the developing neurodegeneration. The ability to image the synaptic 1 density *in vivo* will likely have enormous potential in clarifying the mechanisms leading

2 to progression of the disease.

#### **3** Other potential PET imaging targets of neuroinflammation

There are several other potential PET imaging targets which have been used only in
preclinical research or have shown potential also in clinical research but are still
missing the final proof of their usability. We summarize shortly some of these targets
and the pathology behind them in table 4.

#### 8 Future perspectives

9 TSPO-binding radioligands have formed the cornerstone of PET imaging of

10 neuroinflammation, but the field is still struggling with challenges related to TSPO-

11 ligands, such as non-specificity of ligand binding, and heterogeneity of analysis

12 methods.<sup>18</sup> New TSPO ligands have been developed to overcome these problems, but

13 genetically determined differences in the binding affinities of the second- and third-

14 generation TSPO ligands have brought more variables into the already complex picture.

15 Better targets than TSPO are needed for imaging neuroinflammation, and ligands

16 binding to such varied CNS targets as P2X7 receptor, A2A receptor and CB2 receptor

17 are already in human investigational use. Other aspects of MS pathology, such as

18 remyelination and loss of synapses can be evaluated using PET imaging and

19 radioligands binding to amyloid protein and SV2A, respectively (Figure 2). Strong

1 collaboration is needed between neuroimmunologists, neurobiologists,

2	neuropathologists, radiochemists, and PET imagers for optimal detection of the most
3	relevant CNS targets for in vivo imaging of MS disease-relevant pathology and for the
4	development of PET ligands binding to these targets. Longitudinal PET imaging has the
5	potential to elucidate kinetics of certain aspects of MS pathology, such as astrogliosis,
6	remyelination, and microglial activation. It can be used to evaluate the treatment effects
7	of new and existing drugs on various aspects of MS pathology at different stages of
8	MS. <sup>60-62</sup> Finally, PET studies may provide new predictive imaging biomarkers to detect
9	those patients most at risk of disease progression. Due to the complex nature of the
10	technique and high costs involved, PET imaging can never replace MR imaging in the
11	evaluation of MS pathology, but it can excellently complement MR imaging by
12	bringing molecular specificity to in vivo evaluation of MS brain pathology.
13	

# 14 **Funding**

15 This work was supported by Finnish Academy, Sigrid Juselius Foundation and Finnish

16 Medical Association.

## 17 Declaration of Conflicting Interests

18 The Authors declare no conflict of interest.

## 1 Acknowledgements

2 Marjo Nylund is acknowledged for the help in formatting the manuscript.

## 3 **References**

McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, et al. Recommended diagnostic
 criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis
 of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2001; 50: 121-127. 2001/07/18.

Wattjes MP, Steenwijk MD and Stangel M. MRI in the Diagnosis and
Monitoring of Multiple Sclerosis: An Update. *Clin Neuroradiol* 2015; 25 Suppl 2: 157165. 2015/07/23. DOI: 10.1007/s00062-015-0430-y.

10 3. Enzinger C, Barkhof F, Ciccarelli O, et al. Nonconventional MRI and 11 microstructural cerebral changes in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; 11: 676-12 686. 2015/11/04. DOI: 10.1038/nrneurol.2015.194.

Wake H, Moorhouse AJ and Nabekura J. Functions of microglia in the
 central nervous system--beyond the immune response. *Neuron Glia Biol* 2011; 7: 47-53.
 2012/05/23. DOI: 10.1017/s1740925x12000063.

165.Mosser CA, Baptista S, Arnoux I, et al. Microglia in CNS development:17Shaping the brain for the future. *Prog Neurobiol* 2017; 149-150: 1-20. 2017/02/02.12Development in the future. *Prog Neurobiol* 2017; 149-150: 1-20. 2017/02/02.

18 DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2017.01.002.

Nimmerjahn A, Kirchhoff F and Helmchen F. Resting microglial cells are
 highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma in vivo. *Science* 2005; 308: 1314 1318. 2005/04/16. DOI: 10.1126/science.1110647.

7. Boche D, Perry VH and Nicoll JA. Review: activation patterns of
microglia and their identification in the human brain. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*2013; 39: 3-18. 2012/12/21. DOI: 10.1111/nan.12011.

Cherry JD, Olschowka JA and O'Banion MK. Neuroinflammation and M2
 microglia: the good, the bad, and the inflamed. *J Neuroinflammation* 2014; 11: 98.
 2014/06/04. DOI: 10.1186/1742-2094-11-98.

28 9. Liu GJ, Middleton RJ, Hatty CR, et al. The 18 kDa translocator protein,

29 microglia and neuroinflammation. *Brain Pathol* 2014; 24: 631-653. 2014/10/28. DOI:
30 10.1111/bpa.12196.

31 10. Beckers L, Ory D, Geric I, et al. Increased Expression of Translocator

32 Protein (TSPO) Marks Pro-inflammatory Microglia but Does Not Predict

- 33 Neurodegeneration. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2018; 20: 94-102. DOI: 10.1007/s11307-017-
- 34 1099-1.

1 11. Owen DR and Matthews PM. Imaging brain microglial activation using 2 positron emission tomography and translocator protein-specific radioligands. Int Rev 3 Neurobiol 2011; 101: 19-39. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387718-5.00002-X. Owen DR, Yeo AJ, Gunn RN, et al. An 18-kDa translocator protein 4 12. 5 (TSPO) polymorphism explains differences in binding affinity of the PET radioligand 6 PBR28. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2012; 32: 1-5. 2011/10/19. DOI: 7 10.1038/jcbfm.2011.147. 8 13. Mizrahi R, Rusjan PM, Kennedy J, et al. Translocator protein (18 kDa) 9 polymorphism (rs6971) explains in-vivo brain binding affinity of the PET radioligand 10 [(18)F]-FEPPA. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2012; 32: 968-972. 2012/04/04. DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2012.46. 11 12 Ikawa M, Lohith TG, Shrestha S, et al. 11C-ER176, a Radioligand for 18-14. 13 kDa Translocator Protein, Has Adequate Sensitivity to Robustly Image All Three 14 Affinity Genotypes in Human Brain. J Nucl Med 2017; 58: 320-325. 2016/11/17. DOI: 15 10.2967/jnumed.116.178996. 16 15. Rissanen E, Tuisku J, Rokka J, et al. In Vivo Detection of Diffuse 17 Inflammation in Secondary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis Using PET Imaging and the 18 Radioligand 11C-PK11195. J Nucl Med 2014; 55: 939-944. 2014/04/09. DOI: 19 10.2967/jnumed.113.131698. 20 16. Rissanen E, Tuisku J, Vahlberg T, et al. Microglial activation, white 21 matter tract damage, and disability in MS. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2018; 22 5: e443. 2018/03/06. DOI: 10.1212/NXI.00000000000443. 23 Airas L, Nylund M and Rissanen E. Evaluation of Microglial Activation in 17. 24 Multiple Sclerosis Patients Using Positron Emission Tomography. Front Neurol 2018; 25 9: 181. 2018/04/11. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00181. 26 Airas L, Rissanen E and Rinne J. Imaging of microglial activation in MS 18. 27 using PET: Research use and potential future clinical application. Mult Scler 2017; 23: 28 496-504. 2016/10/22. DOI: 10.1177/1352458516674568. 29 19. Chiurchiù V, van der Stelt M, Centonze D, et al. The endocannabinoid 30 system and its therapeutic exploitation in multiple sclerosis: Clues for other 31 neuroinflammatory diseases. Progress in Neurobiology 2018; 160: 82-100. DOI: 32 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2017.10.007. 33 20. Savonenko AV, Melnikova T, Wang Y, et al. Cannabinoid CB2 Receptors 34 in a Mouse Model of Abeta Amyloidosis: Immunohistochemical Analysis and 35 Suitability as a PET Biomarker of Neuroinflammation. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0129618. 36 2015/06/19. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129618. 37 21. Lourbopoulos A, Grigoriadis N, Lagoudaki R, et al. Administration of 2arachidonoylglycerol ameliorates both acute and chronic experimental autoimmune 38 39 encephalomyelitis. Brain Res 2011; 1390: 126-141. 2011/03/17. DOI: 40 10.1016/j.brainres.2011.03.020.

1 22. Fernandez-Suarez D, Celorrio M, Riezu-Boj JI, et al. Monoacylglycerol 2 lipase inhibitor JZL184 is neuroprotective and alters glial cell phenotype in the chronic MPTP mouse model. Neurobiol Aging 2014; 35: 2603-2616. 2014/06/29. DOI: 3 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.05.021. 4 5 23. Walter L, Franklin A, Witting A, et al. Nonpsychotropic cannabinoid 6 receptors regulate microglial cell migration. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 1398-1405. 7 2003/02/25. 8 24. Witting A, Walter L, Wacker J, et al. P2X7 receptors control 2-9 arachidonoylglycerol production by microglial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 3214-3219. 2004/02/21. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0306707101. 10 Hosoya T, Fukumoto D, Kakiuchi T, et al. In vivo TSPO and cannabinoid 11 25. 12 receptor type 2 availability early in post-stroke neuroinflammation in rats: a positron 13 emission tomography study. J Neuroinflammation 2017; 14: 69. 2017/03/29. DOI: 14 10.1186/s12974-017-0851-4. 15 26. Ahmad R, Postnov A, Bormans G, et al. Decreased in vivo availability of the cannabinoid type 2 receptor in Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 16 2016; 43: 2219-2227. 2016/08/05. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-016-3457-7. 17 18 27. Ahmad R, Koole M, Evens N, et al. Whole-body biodistribution and 19 radiation dosimetry of the cannabinoid type 2 receptor ligand [11C]-NE40 in healthy 20 subjects. Mol Imaging Biol 2013; 15: 384-390. 2013/03/20. DOI: 10.1007/s11307-013-21 0626-y. 22 28. Burnstock G. Purinergic Signalling: Therapeutic Developments. Front 23 Pharmacol 2017; 8: 661. 2017/10/11. DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00661. 24 Fields RD and Burnstock G. Purinergic signalling in neuron-glia 29. 25 interactions. Nat Rev Neurosci 2006; 7: 423-436. 2006/05/23. DOI: 10.1038/nrn1928. 26 Zimmermann H, Zebisch M and Strater N. Cellular function and 30. 27 molecular structure of ecto-nucleotidases. Purinergic Signal 2012; 8: 437-502. 28 2012/05/05. DOI: 10.1007/s11302-012-9309-4. 29 Del Puerto A, Wandosell F and Garrido JJ. Neuronal and glial purinergic 31. 30 receptors functions in neuron development and brain disease. Front Cell Neurosci 2013; 31 7: 197. 2013/11/06. DOI: 10.3389/fncel.2013.00197. 32 32. Cekic C and Linden J. Purinergic regulation of the immune system. Nat 33 Rev Immunol 2016; 16: 177-192. 2016/03/01. DOI: 10.1038/nri.2016.4. 34 Ohta A and Sitkovsky M. Role of G-protein-coupled adenosine receptors 33. 35 in downregulation of inflammation and protection from tissue damage. *Nature* 2001; 36 414: 916-920. 2002/01/10. DOI: 10.1038/414916a. 37 34. Orr AG, Hsiao EC, Wang MM, et al. Astrocytic adenosine receptor A2A 38 and Gs-coupled signaling regulate memory. Nat Neurosci 2015; 18: 423-434.

39 2015/01/27. DOI: 10.1038/nn.3930.

1 35. Vuorimaa A, Rissanen E and Airas L. In Vivo PET Imaging of Adenosine 2 2A Receptors in Neuroinflammatory and Neurodegenerative Disease. Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging 2017; 2017: 15. DOI: 10.1155/2017/6975841. 3 Rissanen E, Virta JR, Paavilainen T, et al. Adenosine A2A receptors in 4 36. 5 secondary progressive multiple sclerosis: a [(11)C]TMSX brain PET study. J Cereb 6 Blood Flow Metab 2013; 33: 1394-1401. 2013/05/23. DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2013.85. 7 37. Illes P, Khan TM and Rubini P. Neuronal P2X7 Receptors Revisited: Do 8 They Really Exist? J Neurosci 2017; 37: 7049-7062. 2017/07/28. DOI: 9 10.1523/jneurosci.3103-16.2017. 10 38. Miras-Portugal MT, Sebastian-Serrano A, de Diego Garcia L, et al. Neuronal P2X7 Receptor: Involvement in Neuronal Physiology and Pathology. J 11 12 Neurosci 2017; 37: 7063-7072. 2017/07/28. DOI: 10.1523/jneurosci.3104-16.2017. 13 Monif M, Reid CA, Powell KL, et al. The P2X7 receptor drives microglial 39. 14 activation and proliferation: a trophic role for P2X7R pore. J Neurosci 2009; 29: 3781-3791. 2009/03/27. DOI: 10.1523/jneurosci.5512-08.2009. 15 Franceschini A, Capece M, Chiozzi P, et al. The P2X7 receptor directly 16 40. 17 interacts with the NLRP3 inflammasome scaffold protein. Faseb j 2015; 29: 2450-2461. 18 2015/02/19. DOI: 10.1096/fj.14-268714. 19 41. Mariathasan S, Weiss DS, Newton K, et al. Cryopyrin activates the 20 inflammasome in response to toxins and ATP. Nature 2006; 440: 228-232. 2006/01/13. 21 DOI: 10.1038/nature04515. 22 Beaino W, Janssen B, Kooij G, et al. Purinergic receptors P2Y12R and 42. 23 P2X7R: potential targets for PET imaging of microglia phenotypes in multiple sclerosis. J Neuroinflammation 2017; 14: 259. 2017/12/24. DOI: 10.1186/s12974-017-1034-z. 24 25 Yiangou Y, Facer P, Durrenberger P, et al. COX-2, CB2 and P2X7-43. 26 immunoreactivities are increased in activated microglial cells/macrophages of multiple 27 sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis spinal cord. BMC Neurol 2006; 6: 12. 28 2006/03/04. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2377-6-12. 29 44. Amadio S, Parisi C, Piras E, et al. Modulation of P2X7 Receptor during 30 Inflammation in Multiple Sclerosis. Front Immunol 2017; 8: 1529. 2017/12/01. DOI: 31 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01529. 32 45. Ory D, Celen S, Gijsbers R, et al. Preclinical Evaluation of a P2X7 33 Receptor-Selective Radiotracer: PET Studies in a Rat Model with Local Overexpression 34 of the Human P2X7 Receptor and in Nonhuman Primates. J Nucl Med 2016; 57: 1436-35 1441. 2016/05/21. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.115.169995. 36 Final Report Summary - INMIND (Imaging of Neuroinflammation in 46. 37 Neurodegenerative Diseases). 2017. Germany: Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität 38 Münster. 39 47. Ferrari D, Pizzirani C, Adinolfi E, et al. The P2X7 receptor: a key player 40 in IL-1 processing and release. J Immunol 2006; 176: 3877-3883. 2006/03/21.

1 48. Xu XJ, Boumechache M, Robinson LE, et al. Splice variants of the P2X7 2 receptor reveal differential agonist dependence and functional coupling with pannexin-3 1. J Cell Sci 2012; 125: 3776-3789. 2012/05/04. DOI: 10.1242/jcs.099374. Moore CS, Ase AR, Kinsara A, et al. P2Y12 expression and function in 4 49. 5 alternatively activated human microglia. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2015; 2: e80. 2015/03/31. DOI: 10.1212/nxi.0000000000000080. 6 7 50. Amadio S, Parisi C, Montilli C, et al. P2Y(12) receptor on the verge of a 8 neuroinflammatory breakdown. Mediators Inflamm 2014; 2014: 975849. 2014/09/03. 9 DOI: 10.1155/2014/975849. 10 51. Amadio S, Montilli C, Magliozzi R, et al. P2Y12 receptor protein in cortical gray matter lesions in multiple sclerosis. Cereb Cortex 2010; 20: 1263-1273. 11 12 2009/09/29. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhp193. 13 Mildner A, Huang H, Radke J, et al. P2Y12 receptor is expressed on 52. 14 human microglia under physiological conditions throughout development and is sensitive to neuroinflammatory diseases. Glia 2017; 65: 375-387. 2016/11/20. DOI: 15 16 10.1002/glia.23097. 17 53. Matias-Guiu JA, Oreja-Guevara C, Cabrera-Martin MN, et al. Amyloid 18 Proteins and Their Role in Multiple Sclerosis. Considerations in the Use of Amyloid-19 PET Imaging. Front Neurol 2016; 7: 53. 2016/04/12. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2016.00053. 20 54. Stankoff B, Freeman L, Aigrot MS, et al. Imaging central nervous system 21 myelin by positron emission tomography in multiple sclerosis using [methyl-(1)(1)C]-2-22 (4'-methylaminophenyl)- 6-hydroxybenzothiazole. Ann Neurol 2011; 69: 673-680. 23 2011/02/22. DOI: 10.1002/ana.22320. 24 55. Bodini B, Veronese M, Garcia-Lorenzo D, et al. Dynamic imaging of 25 individual remyelination profiles in multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol 2016 2016/02/19. 26 DOI: 10.1002/ana.24620. 27 56. Mallik S, Samson RS, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, et al. Imaging outcomes for 28 trials of remyelination in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2014; 85: 29 1396-1404. 2014/04/29. DOI: 10.1136/jnnp-2014-307650. 30 Jurgens T, Jafari M, Kreutzfeldt M, et al. Reconstruction of single cortical 57. 31 projection neurons reveals primary spine loss in multiple sclerosis. Brain 2016; 139: 39-32 46. 2015/12/17. DOI: 10.1093/brain/awv353. 33 58. Finnema SJ, Nabulsi NB, Mercier J, et al. Kinetic evaluation and test-34 retest reproducibility of [(11)C]UCB-J, a novel radioligand for positron emission 35 tomography imaging of synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A in humans. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2017: 271678x17724947. 2017/08/10. DOI: 10.1177/0271678x17724947. 36 37 Finnema SJ, Nabulsi NB, Eid T, et al. Imaging synaptic density in the 59. 38 living human brain. Sci Transl Med 2016; 8: 348ra396. 2016/07/22. DOI: 39 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6667.

1 60. Kaunzner UW, Kang Y, Monohan E, et al. Reduction of PK11195 uptake 2 observed in multiple sclerosis lesions after natalizumab initiation. Mult Scler Relat 3 Disord 2017; 15: 27-33. 2017/06/24. DOI: 10.1016/j.msard.2017.04.008. Sucksdorff M, Rissanen E, Tuisku J, et al. Evaluation of the Effect of 4 61. 5 Fingolimod Treatment on Microglial Activation Using Serial PET Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis. J Nucl Med 2017; 58: 1646-1651. 2017/03/25. DOI: 6 7 10.2967/jnumed.116.183020. 8 Ratchford JN, Endres CJ, Hammoud DA, et al. Decreased microglial 62. 9 activation in MS patients treated with glatiramer acetate. J Neurol 2012; 259: 1199-1205. 2011/12/14. DOI: 10.1007/s00415-011-6337-x. 10 Mishina M, Ishiwata K, Naganawa M, et al. Adenosine A(2A) receptors 11 63. 12 measured with [C]TMSX PET in the striata of Parkinson's disease patients. PLoS One 13 2011; 6: e17338. 2011/03/10. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017338. 14 Barret O, Hannestad J, Vala C, et al. Characterization in humans of 18F-64. MNI-444, a PET radiotracer for brain adenosine 2A receptors. J Nucl Med 2015; 56: 15 586-591. 2015/02/24. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.114.152546. 16 17 Moresco RM, Todde S, Belloli S, et al. In vivo imaging of adenosine A2A 65. receptors in rat and primate brain using [11C]SCH442416. Eur J Nucl Med Mol 18 19 Imaging 2005; 32: 405-413. 2004/11/19. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-004-1688-5. 20 66. Grachev ID, Doder M, Brooks DJ, et al. Quantitative in vivo Imaging of 21 Adenosine A2A Receptors in the Human Brain Using 11C-SCH442416 PET: A Pilot 22 Study. Journal of Diagnostic Imaging in Therapy 2014; 1: 1-19. DOI: 23 doi:10.17229/jdit.2014-0620-001. 24 67. Khanapur S, van Waarde A, Dierckx RA, et al. Preclinical Evaluation and 25 Quantification of (18)F-Fluoroethyl and (18)F-Fluoropropyl Analogs of SCH442416 as Radioligands for PET Imaging of the Adenosine A2A Receptor in Rat Brain. J Nucl 26 27 Med 2017; 58: 466-472. 2016/10/30. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.178103. 28 Zhou X, Khanapur S, de Jong JR, et al. In vivo evaluation of 68. 29 [(11)C]preladenant positron emission tomography for quantification of adenosine A2A 30 receptors in the rat brain. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2017; 37: 577-589. 2016/02/27. 31 DOI: 10.1177/0271678x16634714. 32 Zhou X, Boellaard R, Ishiwata K, et al. In Vivo Evaluation of (11)C-69. 33 Preladenant for PET Imaging of Adenosine A2A Receptors in the Conscious Monkey. J 34 Nucl Med 2017; 58: 762-767. 2017/01/08. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.182410. 35 Sakata M, Ishibashi K, Imai M, et al. Initial Evaluation of an Adenosine 70. 36 A2A Receptor Ligand, (11)C-Preladenant, in Healthy Human Subjects. J Nucl Med 37 2017; 58: 1464-1470. 2017/03/11. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.188474. 38 71. Janssen B, Vugts DJ, Funke U, et al. Synthesis and initial preclinical 39 evaluation of the P2X7 receptor antagonist [(1)(1)C]A-740003 as a novel tracer of

1 neuroinflammation. J Labelled Comp Radiopharm 2014; 57: 509-516. 2014/07/06. DOI: 10.1002/jlcr.3206. 2 3 Fantoni ER, Dal Ben D, Falzoni S, et al. Design, synthesis and evaluation 72. 4 in an LPS rodent model of neuroinflammation of a novel 18F-labelled PET tracer 5 targeting P2X7. EJNMMI Res 2017; 7: 31. 2017/04/04. DOI: 10.1186/s13550-017-6 0275-2. 7 73. Territo PR, Meyer JA, Peters JS, et al. Characterization of 11C-8 GSK1482160 for Targeting the P2X7 Receptor as a Biomarker for Neuroinflammation. 9 J Nucl Med 2017; 58: 458-465. 2016/10/20. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.181354. 10 74. Han J, Liu H, Liu C, et al. Pharmacologic characterizations of a P2X7 receptor-specific radioligand, [11C]GSK1482160 for neuroinflammatory response. Nucl 11 12 Med Commun 2017; 38: 372-382. DOI: 10.1097/MNM.00000000000660. 13 Janssen B, Vugts DJ, Wilkinson SM, et al. Identification of the allosteric 75. 14 P2X7 receptor antagonist [(11)C]SMW139 as a PET tracer of microglial activation. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 6580. 2018/04/28. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-24814-0. 15 16 76. Gao M, Wang M, Glick-Wilson BE, et al. Synthesis and preliminary 17 biological evaluation of a novel P2X7R radioligand [(18)F]IUR-1601. Bioorg Med 18 Chem Lett 2018; 28: 1603-1609. 2018/04/10. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.03.044. 19 77. Faria Dde P, Copray S, Sijbesma JW, et al. PET imaging of focal 20 demyelination and remyelination in a rat model of multiple sclerosis: comparison of 21 [11C]MeDAS, [11C]CIC and [11C]PIB. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014; 41: 995-22 1003. 2014/02/07. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-013-2682-6. 23 Zeydan B, Lowe VJ, Schwarz CG, et al. Pittsburgh compound-B PET 78. 24 white matter imaging and cognitive function in late multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2017: 25 1352458517707346. 2017/05/06. DOI: 10.1177/1352458517707346. 26 79. Wu C, Zhu J, Baeslack J, et al. Longitudinal positron emission 27 tomography imaging for monitoring myelin repair in the spinal cord. Ann Neurol 2013; 28 74: 688-698. 2013/07/03. DOI: 10.1002/ana.23965. 29 80. Brugarolas P, Sanchez-Rodriguez JE, Tsai HM, et al. Development of a 30 PET radioligand for potassium channels to image CNS demyelination. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 31 607. 2018/01/14. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-18747-3. 32 Kawamata J and Shimohama S. Stimulating nicotinic receptors trigger 81. 33 multiple pathways attenuating cytotoxicity in models of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's 34 diseases. J Alzheimers Dis 2011; 24 Suppl 2: 95-109. 2011/03/16. DOI: 10.3233/jad-35 2011-110173. 36 82. Martin A, Szczupak B, Gomez-Vallejo V, et al. In vivo PET imaging of 37 the alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor as a marker for brain inflammation 38 after cerebral ischemia. J Neurosci 2015; 35: 5998-6009. 2015/04/17. DOI: 39 10.1523/jneurosci.3670-14.2015.

1 83. Patel H, McIntire J, Ryan S, et al. Anti-inflammatory effects of astroglial 2  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors are mediated by inhibition of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway and activation of the Nrf2 pathway. J Neuroinflammation 2017; 14: 192. 2017/09/26. 3 DOI: 10.1186/s12974-017-0967-6. 4 5 84. O'Neill MJ, Murray TK, Lakics V, et al. The role of neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in acute and chronic neurodegeneration. Curr Drug Targets 6 7 CNS Neurol Disord 2002; 1: 399-411. 2003/05/29. 8 Schmaljohann J, Minnerop M, Karwath P, et al. Imaging of central 85. 9 nAChReceptors with 2-[18F]F-A85380: optimized synthesis and in vitro evaluation in Alzheimer's disease. Appl Radiat Isot 2004; 61: 1235-1240. 2004/09/25. DOI: 10 10.1016/j.apradiso.2004.02.026. 11 12 Schmaljohann J, Gundisch D, Minnerop M, et al. In vitro evaluation of 86. 13 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors with 2-[18F]F-A85380 in Parkinson's disease. Nucl 14 Med Biol 2006; 33: 305-309. 2006/04/25. DOI: 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2005.12.012. 15 Sabri O, Becker G-A, Meyer PM, et al. First-in-human PET quantification 87. study of cerebral  $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptors using the novel specific 16 radioligand (-)-[18F]Flubatine. NeuroImage 2015; 118: 199-208. DOI: 17 18 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.05.065. 19 88. Kuwabara H, Gao Y, Stabin M, et al. Imaging alpha4beta2 Nicotinic 20 Acetylcholine Receptors (nAChRs) in Baboons with [(18)F]XTRA, a Radioligand with 21 Improved Specific Binding in Extra-Thalamic Regions. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2017; 19: 22 280-288. 2016/08/27. DOI: 10.1007/s11307-016-0999-9. 23 89. Coughlin JM, Slania S, Du Y, et al. (18)F-XTRA PET for enhanced 24 imaging of the extrathalamic alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. J Nucl Med 25 2018 2018/03/03. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.117.205492. Betthauser TJ, Hillmer AT, Lao PJ, et al. Human biodistribution and 26 90. 27 dosimetry of [(18)F]nifene, an alpha4beta2\* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor PET tracer. 28 Nucl Med Biol 2017; 55: 7-11. 2017/10/01. DOI: 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2017.08.001. 29 91. Mukherjee J, Lao PJ, Betthauser TJ, et al. Human brain imaging of 30 nicotinic acetylcholine alpha4beta2\* receptors using [(18) F]Nifene: Selectivity, 31 functional activity, toxicity, aging effects, gender effects, and extrathalamic pathways. J Comp Neurol 2018; 526: 80-95. 2017/09/07. DOI: 10.1002/cne.24320. 32 33 92. Wong DF, Kuwabara H, Pomper M, et al. Human Brain Imaging of  $\alpha$ 7 34 nAChR with [18F]ASEM: a New PET Radiotracer for Neuropsychiatry and 35 Determination of Drug Occupancy. Molecular Imaging and Biology 2014; 16: 730-738. Article. DOI: 10.1007/s11307-014-0779-3. 36 37 93. Hillmer AT, Li S, Zheng MQ, et al. PET imaging of alpha7 nicotinic 38 acetylcholine receptors: a comparative study of [(18)F]ASEM and [(18)F]DBT-10 in 39 nonhuman primates, and further evaluation of [(18)F]ASEM in humans. Eur J Nucl 40 Med Mol Imaging 2017; 44: 1042-1050. 2017/01/26. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-017-3621-8.

1 94. Aïd S and Bosetti F. Targeting cyclooxygenases-1 and -2 in 2 neuroinflammation: Therapeutic implications. Biochimie 2011; 93: 46-51. 2010/09/22. 3 DOI: 10.1016/j.biochi.2010.09.009. Ohnishi A, Senda M, Yamane T, et al. Human whole-body biodistribution 4 95. 5 and dosimetry of a new PET tracer, [(11)C]ketoprofen methyl ester, for imagings of neuroinflammation. Nucl Med Biol 2014; 41: 594-599. 2014/05/24. DOI: 6 7 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2014.04.008. 8 Shukuri M, Mawatari A, Ohno M, et al. Detection of Cyclooxygenase-1 in 96. 9 Activated Microglia During Amyloid Plaque Progression: PET Studies in Alzheimer's Disease Model Mice. J Nucl Med 2016; 57: 291-296. 2015/11/21. DOI: 10 10.2967/jnumed.115.166116. 11 12 97. Ohnishi A, Senda M, Yamane T, et al. Exploratory human PET study of 13 the effectiveness of (11)C-ketoprofen methyl ester, a potential biomarker of 14 neuroinflammatory processes in Alzheimer's disease. Nucl Med Biol 2016; 43: 438-444. 2016/05/18. DOI: 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2016.04.005. 15 Ohl K, Tenbrock K and Kipp M. Oxidative stress in multiple sclerosis: 16 98. 17 Central and peripheral mode of action. Exp Neurol 2016; 277: 58-67. 2015/12/03. DOI: 18 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.11.010. 19 99. Jung KH, Lee JH, Thien Quach CH, et al. Resveratrol suppresses cancer 20 cell glucose uptake by targeting reactive oxygen species-mediated hypoxia-inducible 21 factor-1alpha activation. J Nucl Med 2013; 54: 2161-2167. 2013/11/14. DOI: 22 10.2967/jnumed.112.115436. 23 Pagani M, Nobili F, Morbelli S, et al. Early identification of MCI 100. 24 converting to AD: a FDG PET study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2017; 44: 2042-25 2052. 2017/07/01. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-017-3761-x. 26 Webster JM, Morton CA, Johnson BF, et al. Functional imaging of 101. 27 oxidative stress with a novel PET imaging agent, 18F-5-fluoro-L-aminosuberic acid. J 28 Nucl Med 2014; 55: 657-664. 2014/03/01. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.113.126664. 29 Okamura T, Okada M, Kikuchi T, et al. A (1)(1)C-labeled 1,4-102. 30 dihydroquinoline derivative as a potential PET tracer for imaging of redox status in 31 mouse brain. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2015; 35: 1930-1936. 2015/06/18. DOI: 32 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.132. 33 103. Tsukada H, Nishiyama S, Fukumoto D, et al. Novel PET probes 18F-34 BCPP-EF and 18F-BCPP-BF for mitochondrial complex I: a PET study in comparison 35 with 18F-BMS-747158-02 in rat brain. J Nucl Med 2014; 55: 473-480. 2014/01/29. 36 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.113.125328. 37 Tsukada H, Ohba H, Kanazawa M, et al. Evaluation of 18F-BCPP-EF for 104. 38 mitochondrial complex 1 imaging in the brain of conscious monkeys using PET. Eur J 39 Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014; 41: 755-763. 2013/11/22. DOI: 10.1007/s00259-013-40 2628-z.

23

105. 1 Ikawa M, Okazawa H, Kudo T, et al. Evaluation of striatal oxidative stress 2 in patients with Parkinson's disease using [62Cu]ATSM PET. Nucl Med Biol 2011; 38: 3 945-951. 2011/10/11. DOI: 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2011.02.016. Ikawa M, Okazawa H, Tsujikawa T, et al. Increased oxidative stress is 4 106. 5 related to disease severity in the ALS motor cortex: A PET study. Neurology 2015; 84: 6 2033-2039. 2015/04/24. DOI: 10.1212/wnl.000000000001588. 7 107. Herrero P, Laforest R, Shoghi K, et al. Feasibility and dosimetry studies 8 for 18F-NOS as a potential PET radiopharmaceutical for inducible nitric oxide synthase 9 in humans. J Nucl Med 2012; 53: 994-1001. 2012/05/15. DOI: 10 10.2967/jnumed.111.088518. 11 108. Huang HJ, Isakow W, Byers DE, et al. Imaging pulmonary inducible nitric 12 oxide synthase expression with PET. J Nucl Med 2015; 56: 76-81. 2014/12/20. DOI: 13 10.2967/jnumed.114.146381. 14 109. Hou C, Hsieh CJ, Li S, et al. Development of a Positron Emission 15 Tomography Radiotracer for Imaging Elevated Levels of Superoxide in Neuroinflammation. ACS Chem Neurosci 2018; 9: 578-586. 2017/11/04. DOI: 16 17 10.1021/acschemneuro.7b00385. 18 110. Puig-Kroger A, Sierra-Filardi E, Dominguez-Soto A, et al. Folate receptor 19 beta is expressed by tumor-associated macrophages and constitutes a marker for M2 20 anti-inflammatory/regulatory macrophages. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 9395-9403. 21 2009/12/03. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-09-2050. 22 Farkas R, Siwowska K, Ametamey SM, et al. 64Cu- and 68Ga-Based PET 111. 23 Imaging of Folate Receptor-Positive Tumors: Development and Evaluation of an 24 Albumin-Binding NODAGA–Folate. Molecular Pharmaceutics 2016; 13: 1979-1987. 25 DOI: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.6b00143. 26 Chen O, Meng X, McOuade P, et al. Synthesis and Preclinical Evaluation 112. 27 of Folate-NOTA-Al(18)F for PET Imaging of Folate-Receptor-Positive Tumors. Mol 28 Pharm 2016; 13: 1520-1527. 2016/04/08. DOI: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00989. 29 113. Chen Q, Meng X, McQuade P, et al. Folate-PEG-NOTA-Al(18)F: A New 30 Folate Based Radiotracer for PET Imaging of Folate Receptor-Positive Tumors. Mol 31 Pharm 2017; 14: 4353-4361. 2017/10/14. DOI: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.7b00415. 32 Gent YY, Weijers K, Molthoff CF, et al. Evaluation of the novel folate 114. 33 receptor ligand [18F]fluoro-PEG-folate for macrophage targeting in a rat model of 34 arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2013; 15: R37. 2013/03/05. DOI: 10.1186/ar4191. 35 115. Chandrupatla D, Jansen G, Vos R, et al. In-vivo monitoring of anti-folate 36 therapy in arthritic rats using [(18)F]fluoro-PEG-folate and positron emission 37 tomography. Arthritis Res Ther 2017; 19: 114. 2017/06/02. DOI: 10.1186/s13075-017-38 1325-x.

- 1 116. Brand C, Longo VA, Groaning M, et al. Development of a New Folate-
- 2 Derived Ga-68-Based PET Imaging Agent. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2017; 19: 754-761.
- 3 2017/02/15. DOI: 10.1007/s11307-017-1049-y.
- 4 117. Konnecke H and Bechmann I. The role of microglia and matrix
- metalloproteinases involvement in neuroinflammation and gliomas. *Clin Dev Immunol*2013; 2013; 914104, 2013/09/12. DOI: 10.1155/2013/914104.
- 7 118. Wagner S, Breyholz HJ, Holtke C, et al. A new 18F-labelled derivative of
  8 the MMP inhibitor CGS 27023A for PET: radiosynthesis and initial small-animal PET
- 9 studies. *Appl Radiat Isot* 2009; 67: 606-610. 2009/01/27. DOI:
- 10 10.1016/j.apradiso.2008.12.009.
- 11 119. Zinnhardt B, Viel T, Wachsmuth L, et al. Multimodal imaging reveals
- 12 temporal and spatial microglia and matrix metalloproteinase activity after experimental
- 13 stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2015; 35: 1711-1721. 2015/07/02. DOI:
- 14 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.149.
- 15 120. Zinnhardt B, Pigeon H, Theze B, et al. Combined PET Imaging of the
- 16 Inflammatory Tumor Microenvironment Identifies Margins of Unique Radiotracer
- Uptake. *Cancer Res* 2017; 77: 1831-1841. 2017/02/01. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.can16-2628.
- 19121.Palumbo S. Pathogenesis and Progression of Multiple Sclerosis: The Role
- 20 of Arachidonic Acid-Mediated Neuroinflammation. In: Zagon IS and McLaughlin PJ
- 21 (eds) Multiple Sclerosis: Perspectives in Treatment and Pathogenesis. Brisbane (AU):
- 22 Codon Publications
- 23 Copyright: The Authors., 2017.
- 24 122. Ji B, Kumata K, Onoe H, et al. Assessment of radioligands for PET
- 25 imaging of cyclooxygenase-2 in an ischemic neuronal injury model. *Brain Res* 2013;
- 26 1533: 152-162. 2013/08/27. DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2013.08.026.
- 27 123. de Vries EF, Doorduin J, Dierckx RA, et al. Evaluation of
- 28 [(11)C]rofecoxib as PET tracer for cyclooxygenase 2 overexpression in rat models of
- 29 inflammation. Nucl Med Biol 2008; 35: 35-42. 2007/12/27. DOI:
- 30 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2007.07.015.
- 31 124. Alam MM, Lee J and Lee SY. Recent Progress in the Development of
- 32 TSPO PET Ligands for Neuroinflammation Imaging in Neurological Diseases. Nucl
- 33 *Med Mol Imaging* 2017; 51: 283-296. 2017/12/16. DOI: 10.1007/s13139-017-0475-8.

Radioligand	Model organism	Key results	References
[ <sup>11</sup> C]TMSX	Human PD, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i>	Increased uptake in putamen among PD patients with dyskinesia.	Mishina et al., 2011 <sup>63</sup>
[ C]IMSA	Human SPMS, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i>	Increased uptake of the tracer in NAWM of SPMS patients.	Rissanen et al., 2013 <sup>36</sup>
[ <sup>18</sup> F]MNI-444	Healthy human subjects, <i>in vivo</i>	Useful for imaging A2A in the human brain, good in vivo brain kinetic properties and test- retest variability.	Barret et al., 2015 <sup>64</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]SCH442416	Rat, <i>ex vivo</i> and non-human primate, <i>in vivo</i>	Good kinetic properties, high nonspecific binding.	Moresco et al., 2005 <sup>65</sup>
	Healthy human subjects, in vivo	Complex tracer kinetics, low specific binding.	Grachev et al., 2014 <sup>66</sup>
[ <sup>18</sup> F]-FESCH*	Rat, in vivo	Tracer kinetics similar to [ <sup>11</sup> C]Preladenant, fluorinated compound and therefore provides more flexibility.	Khanapur et al., 2017 <sup>67</sup>
	Rat, in vivo	Good kinetic properties, high striatal uptake, low extrastriatal binding. Radiometabolites in the brain.	Zhou et al., 2017a <sup>68</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]Preladenant	Non-human primate, <i>in vivo</i>	High striatal uptake, specific binding.	Zhou et al., 2017b <sup>69</sup>
	Healthy human subjects, <i>in vivo</i>	Specific binding, pharmacologically safe. Possibly some radiometabolites in the brain.	Sakata et al., 2017 <sup>70</sup>

Table 1: Currently available A2A-receptor binding radioligands.

Abbreviations: PD – Parkinson's disease, SPMS – secondary progressive MS, NAWM – normal appearing white matter \* Analog of SCH442416

Radioligand	Model organism	Key results	Reference
			71
	Rat, in vivo	Marginal uptake.	Janssen et al. 2014 <sup>71</sup>
<sup>3</sup> H1A-74003	Rat (EAE) and	Binding to pro-inflammatory microglia.	Beaino et al. 2017 <sup>42</sup>
[ <sup>3</sup> H]A-74003	human MS post-		
	mortem tissues		
[ <sup>18</sup> F]EFB	LPS-induced rat	Low penetration through the BBB but still	Fantoni et al 2017 <sup>72</sup>
ГГЈЕГО	model, in vivo	detectable.	
	Humanized rat and	Good penetration through the BBB,	Ory et al. 2016 <sup>45</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]JNJ-54173717	non-human primate,	indications of specific binding.	
	in vivo		
	LPS-induced mouse	Favorable kinetics, specific binding.	Territo et al 2017 <sup>73</sup>
	model, in vivo		
[ <sup>11</sup> C]-GSK1482160	EAE rat model, in	Good penetration through BBB. Binding	Han et al 2017 <sup>74</sup>
[ C]-G5K1462100	vitro and non-	correlates with the number of activated	
	human primate, in	microglia in EAE rat model.	
	vivo		
	Rat, in vivo and ex	High metabolic stability and receptor	Janssen et al. 201875
	vivo, and human	binding. No significant difference in binding	
[ <sup>11</sup> C]SMW139	AD post-mortem	between controls and AD patients, and no	
	tissues	correlation with immunostaining.	
	Human MS, in vivo	Clinical studies in MS patients ongoing.	INMIND report, 2017 <sup>46</sup>
		Successful synthesis, preliminary biological	Gao et al. 2018 <sup>76</sup>
[ <sup>18</sup> F]IUR-1601	-	evaluation demonstrates good binding	
		affinity.	

Table 2: P2X7 receptor-binding radioligands in development.

 armnity.

 Abbreviations: EAE - experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, LPS – lipopolysaccharide, BBB – blood-brain barrier, AD – Alzheimer's disease,

Radioligand	Model organism	Key results	Reference
	Focal lesional rat model, <i>in vivo</i>	High uptake in WM and cerebrum but low in cerebellum.	de Paula Faria et al., 2014 a <sup>77</sup>
	Non-human primate and human MS, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i>	Specific binding to myelin in WM, binding in humans quantitable using SUV.	Stankoff et al., 2011 <sup>54</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]PiB	Human MS, <i>in vivo</i>	Reduced binding in MS lesions, dynamic remyelination inversely correlated with clinical disability.	Bodini et al., 2016 <sup>55</sup>
		Reduced uptake in lesional WM and NAWM associated with decreased visuospatial performance in late MS.	Zeydan et al. 2017 <sup>78</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]MeDAS	Focal lesional rat model, <i>in vivo</i>	Radioligand distribution and uptake correlate well with myelin density in focally induced lesions. Higher uptake in healthy WM, cerebellum and brain stem when compared to [ <sup>11</sup> C]PiB.	de Paula Faria et al., 2014a <sup>77</sup>
	Focal lesional and EAE rat models, <i>ex</i> <i>vivo</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Changes in uptake correlate well with associated myelin loss in the spinal cord.	Wu et al. 2013 <sup>79</sup>
[ <sup>11</sup> C]CIC	Focal lesional rat model, <i>in vivo</i>	Ligand vulnerable to photoisomerizaton. Slow kinetics, homogeneous brain uptake, less suitable for <i>in vivo</i> imaging	de Paula Faria et al., 2014a <sup>77</sup>
[ <sup>18</sup> F]3-F-4-AP	Demyelination and focal lesional mouse and rat models, <i>ex</i> <i>vivo</i> and <i>in vivo</i> , non- human primate, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i>	Targets axonal voltage-gated K-channels exposed upon demyelination. Binding increases with demyelination. Good properties for brain imaging; fast entry into brain, slow to moderate washout, highest binding in GM and lowest in WM.	Brugarolas et al. 2018 <sup>80</sup>

Table 3: Radioligands utilized in imaging of demyelination and remyelination in MS.

Abbreviations: PiB = Pittsburgh compound B, WM – white matter, SUV = standardized uptake value, NAWM – normal appearing white matter, EAE - experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, GM = gray matter

Table 4: Other potential PET imaging targets for neuroinflammation and MS under development.

Target	Basis for the imaging	Tracers	Key results and limitations	References				
Targets with tracers in human studies								
α7 and α4β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs)	Involved in synaptic plasticity, neuronal survival and neuroprotection <sup>81</sup> . Expressed mainly in neurons, microglia and astrocytes <sup>82, 83</sup> . Reduced levels in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases <sup>84</sup> . Activation of $\alpha$ 7 in astrocytes inhibits NF- $\kappa$ B signaling <sup>83</sup> .	2-[ <sup>18</sup> F]-fluoro- A85380	Reduced uptake in the thalamus and in the occipital cortex. (Human AD, <i>in vitro</i> )Reduced uptake in the caudate, putamen and in the thalamus. (Human PD, <i>in vitro</i> )Increased binding in the acute phase of cerebral ischemia. (MCAO rat, <i>in vivo</i> )	Schmaljohann et al., 2004 <sup>85</sup> Schmaljohann et al., 2006 <sup>86</sup> Martin et al., 2015 <sup>82</sup>				
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]flubatine	Favorable kinetics, indications of specific binding. (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> )	Sabri et al., 2015 <sup>87</sup>				
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]XTRA	Good properties for imaging the extrathalamic regions. (Mouse and baboon, <i>in vivo</i> ) High brain uptake, suitable kinetics and good properties for imaging the extrathalamic regions. (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> )	Kuwabara et al., 2017 <sup>88</sup> Coughlin et al., 2018 <sup>89</sup>				
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]nifene	Rapid kinetics compared to other $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ tracers. (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> )	Betthauser et al., 2017 <sup>90</sup> Mukherjee et al., 2018 <sup>91</sup>				
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]ASEM	High brain uptake, suitable kinetics and biodistribution comparable to in vitro tissue data (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> ) Minimum 90 minutes scan needed (Healthy human subjects, <i>in vivo</i> )	Wong et al., 2014 <sup>92</sup> Hillmer et al., 2017 <sup>93</sup>				
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]DBT-10	Kinetic properties comparable to [ <sup>18</sup> F]ASEM. (Non-human primate, <i>in vivo</i> )	Hillmer et al., 2017 <sup>93</sup>				
Cyclooxygenase 1 (COX-1)	Expressed mainly in microglia and perivascular cells. Facilitates excretion of proinflammatory prostaglandins and is involved in acute and chronic inflammation <sup>94</sup> .	[ <sup>11</sup> C]-KTP-Me	Penetrates through the BBB, favorable dosimetry and biodistribution. (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> ) Specific binding in neuroinflammatory areas. (LPS-induced rat and AD mouse model, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i> )	Ohnishi et al., 2014 <sup>95</sup> Shukuri et al., 2016 <sup>96</sup>				

			No difference in binding between healthy subjects and patients. (Healthy and MCI/AD human subjects, <i>in vivo</i> )	Ohnishi et al., 2016 <sup>97</sup>
	idative stress Mitochondria in activated macrophages, microglia and astrocytes produce oxidizing agents contributing to tissue damage <sup>98</sup> . PET tracers target the metabolic outcome of oxidative stress, such as glucose consumption, or the expression of ROS scavengers and mitochondrial complexes.	[ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG	Tracer uptake correlates with ROS production. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> ) Tracer uptake reduction predicts conversion of MCI to AD. (Human MCI, <i>in vivo</i> )	Jung et al., 2013 <sup>99</sup> Pagani et al., 2017 <sup>100</sup>
Oxidative stress		[ <sup>18</sup> F]FASu	Rapid and high tracer uptake. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> )	Webster et al., 2014 <sup>101</sup>
		[ <sup>11</sup> C]DHQ1	Potential PET tracer for imaging of redox status. (Mouse, <i>in vivo</i> )	Okamura et al., 2015 <sup>102</sup>
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]F-BCPP-EF	High uptake, suitable kinetics. Specific binding, potential tracer for MC-I imaging. (Ischemic rat model, <i>in vivo</i> ) Could discriminate the neuronal damaged areas with neuroinflammation. (Ischemic non- human primate model, <i>in vivo</i> )	Tsukada et al., 2014a <sup>103</sup> Tsukada et al., 2014c <sup>104</sup>
		[ <sup>62</sup> Cu]ATSM	Tracer accumulation in the striatum enhanced in PD. (Human PD, <i>in vivo</i> ) Tracer accumulation correlates with disease severity. (Human ALS, <i>in vivo</i> )	Ikawa et al., 2011 <sup>105</sup> Ikawa et al., 2015 <sup>106</sup>
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]NOS	Uptake correlates with myocardial tissue iNOS level. (Human OHT, <i>in vivo</i> ) Uptake correlates with endotoxin-induced iNOS in lungs. (Healthy humans, <i>in vivo</i> )	Herrero et al., 2012 <sup>107</sup> Huang et al., 2015 <sup>108</sup>
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]ROStrace	High uptake, enhanced tracer accumulation in neuroinflammation. (LPS-induced mouse model, <i>in vivo</i> )	Hou et al., 2018 <sup>109</sup>
Targets with trace	rs in preclinical use			
Folate receptor β (FRβ)	Expression strongly elevated in activated macrophages, expression potentially specific for M2-like type	[ <sup>68</sup> Ga]/[ <sup>64</sup> Cu]- rf42 (NODAGA-Folate conjugate)	High uptake, suitable kinetics. Specific binding, low background signal. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> )	Farkas et al., 2016 <sup>111</sup>
	<sup>110</sup> . The tracers have been studied mainly in cancer and in peripheral	folate-NOTA- Al[ <sup>18</sup> F]	High uptake, specific binding. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> )	Chen et al., 2016 <sup>112</sup>

	inflammation.	folate-PEG <sub>12</sub> - NOTA-Al[ <sup>18</sup> F]	High uptake, specific binding. Reduced liver uptake. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> )	Chen et al., 2017 <sup>113</sup>
		[ <sup>18</sup> F]fluoro-PEG- folate	<ul> <li>High uptake, specific binding (macrophages).</li> <li>(Arthritic rat model, <i>in vivo</i>)</li> <li>High uptake, specific binding. Binding</li> <li>reduced in treated rats. (Arthritic rat model, <i>in vivo</i>)</li> </ul>	Gent et al., 2013 <sup>114</sup> Chandrupatla et al., 2017 <sup>115</sup>
		[ <sup>68</sup> Ga]NOTA-folate	High uptake, specific binding. Relatively low kidney uptake and very low liver uptake. (Tumor xenografts in mice, <i>in vivo</i> )	Brand et al., 2017 <sup>116</sup>
Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)	Induced during inflammation. MMP- 1, -2, -3, -7 and -9 described in macrophages, microglia, leucocytes and astrocytes in MS. MMP-9 linked to myelin degradation, elevated levels detected in the CSF of MS patients <sup>117</sup> . MMP-9 and -12 expressed in oligodendrocytes and might regulate their maturation and other processes <sup>117</sup> .	[ <sup>18</sup> F]-BR-351	Potentially targets the activated forms of MMP-2, -8, -9, and -13. High lipophilicity. (Mouse, <i>in vivo</i> ) Time-dependent increase different from TSPO tracer uptake after ischemia. Uptake associates with increased MMP-9 expression. (MCAO mouse, <i>in vivo</i> ) High uptake into tumors. Binding colocalized to MMP-2 and -9 expressions. (Mouse model of human glioma, <i>in vivo</i> )	Wagner et al. 2009 <sup>118</sup> Zinnhardt et al., 2015 <sup>119</sup> Zinnhardt et al., 2017 <sup>120</sup>
Cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2)	Inducible cyclooxygenase. Expression increased in inflammation in oligodendrocytes and in immune cells during demyelinating processes in MS <sup>121</sup> .	[ <sup>11</sup> C]-Celecoxib	Only non-specific binding. (Cerebral ischemia mouse model, <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> )	Ji et al., 2013 <sup>122</sup>
		[ <sup>11</sup> C]-Rofecoxib	Homogeneous tracer uptake. Increase in COX-2 expression not detected. (Inflammatory rat model, <i>in vivo</i> ) Specific binding in vitro, only non-specific binding in vivo. (Cerebral ischemia mouse model, <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> )	De Vries et al. 2008 <sup>123</sup> Ji et al., 2013 <sup>122</sup>

Abbreviations: AD – Alzheimer's disease, PD – Parkinson's disease, MCAO - Cerebral ischemia rat model, BBB – blood-brain barrier, LPS – lipopolysaccharide, MCI - mild cognitive impairment, ROS – reactive oxygen species, MC-I – mitochondrial complex I, ALS - amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, OHT – orthotopic heart transplantation,

#### 1 Figure legends

Figure 1. TSPO binding patterns in MS brain in an SPMS patient (49-year-old female, 2 3 EDSS 7.5, disease duration 17 years, no immunomodulatory treatment at the time of 4 imaging). Axial views of gadolinium enhanced T1-weighted 1.5T MRI (left), and the same MRI image overlaid with parametric [<sup>11</sup>C]PK11195 image (right), where the level 5 of  $[^{11}C]PK11195$  binding is visualized as distribution volume ratio (DVR) in each voxel 6 7 denoted by the color scale bar. The images show an active lesion with slightly increased gadolinium enhancement in the center of the lesion and increased [<sup>11</sup>C]PK11195 8 9 binding correspondingly (red arrows), a chronic active T1 hypointense lesion (yellow arrows) with increased binding in the perilesional area and in the adjacent NAWM, and 10 11 a large chronic inactive lesion (white arrows) with negligible radioligand binding within 12 the lesion and surrounding it. 13

Figure 2: PET imaging targets relevant for evaluation of MS pathology *in vivo*, and examples of radioligands already used for human CNS imaging. Microglia are shown in orange and astrocytes are shown in green. TSPO (1) is located on the outer membrane of mitochondria in activated microglia and is the most common PET imaging target in evaluation of neuroinflammation in MS. A more comprehensive list of TSPO-ligands in development can be found for example in Alam et al. 2017<sup>124</sup>. Other ligands targeting glial cells include [<sup>11</sup>C]TMSX and [<sup>18</sup>F]MNI-444 (A2AR ligands) (2), [<sup>11</sup>C]SMW139

- 1 and  $[^{11}C]GSK1482160$  (P2X7 ligands) (3) and  $[^{11}C]NE40$  (CB2 ligand) (4). Synaptic
- 2 density can be measured using radioligand [<sup>11</sup>C]UCB-J which binds to the synaptic
- 3 vesicle glycoprotein 2A (SV2A) (5).  $[^{11}C]$ PiB is a tracer used for myelin imaging (6).



