1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
1 <i>2</i> 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
2/	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
27	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
10	
40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
22	
56	
57	

1 Complex influence of climate on the distribution and body size of an Alpine species.

- 2 Daniele Baroni<sup>1</sup>, Giulia Masoero<sup>1</sup>
  - 3 Running Head: Climatic influences on S. ursulae
- 4

# 5 Abstract

Alpine species with a limited distribution can act as sentinels of climate change, but first we have to
 identify their climate-sensitive traits. Here, we adopted a multi-level approach to define the influence of
 climate on the geographical distribution and body size of a steno-endemic Alpine grasshopper, the
 Stenobothrus ursulae Nadig, 1986.

2. We built a species distribution model (SDM) with the following climatic predictors: precipitation seasonality, precipitation of the wettest month, mean temperature of the driest quarter and isothermality. The model provides a satisfactory representation of the species geographical range, but it also identifies areas suitable in terms of climate in which the species was not found. Therefore, we suggest that climatic and geographic barriers combined with a poor dispersal ability might have limited its distribution.

3. We measured 309 individuals collected across the whole geographical range. Our results show that increasing elevation was linked both to a decline in *S. ursulae* body length (converse Bergmann's rule) and to a decline in sexual size dimorphism (converse Rensch's rule). We demonstrate also that the same climatic predictors that describe the species distribution affect its morphological variation.

Our results suggest that climate affects this species distribution and body size with opposite effects:
 suitable climatic conditions are found at high elevations, and the fitness-related trait (i.e. body size)
 declines with elevations. In the future, distribution and/or morphology of the species might change in
 order to successfully cope with the changing climate, but its very limited dispersal ability constitutes an
 issue of concern.

4

24

27

30

31

Keywords: Bergmann's rule, bioclimatic envelope, body length, Gomphocerinae, Orthoptera, Rensch's rule,
 species distribution models, *Stenobothrus ursulae*, steno-endemic species, Western Alps.

<sup>1</sup>Section of Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Turku, FI - 20014 Turku, Finland

- 29 email: dbaroni12@gmail.com

58 59

### 32 Introduction

Mountains host a large number of endemic species of plants and animals (Myers et al., 2000; Nagy & Grabherr, 2009; Schmitt, 2009). These species are linked and often restricted to the many micro-climatic habitats created by the combination of elevation, exposure, slope and other geological or hydrological factors (Hewitt, 2000; Essl et al., 2009). This specialization can lead to an increased vulnerability to climate change (Williams et al., 2008). High-elevation species and habitats are expected to be among the most severely impacted by climate change (Beniston et al., 1997; Theurillat & Guisan, 2001; Nogue-Bravo et al., 2007; Dirnböck et al., 2011). Upward shifts of alpine plants and treeline species (Grabherr et al., 1994; Gindl, 1999; Paulsen et al., 2000; Motta & Nola, 2001; Pauli et al., 2001) have occurred and the composition of alpine communities has consequently changed (Keller et al., 2000). Elevational range shifts may lead to structural changes in the composition of a particular habitat, or even its loss, therefore increasing fragmentation (Peñuelas & Boada, 2003; Gonzalez & Neilson, 2010). Climate change is, in effect, one of the major driving factors for species extinction process. Before reaching extinction, a species may develop adaptations to altered climatic conditions, through important modifications both at the species level, including shifts in geographical ranges either latitudinal or elevational and at the individual level, including changes in morphology (e.g. body size) (Root et al., 2003; Bellard et al., 2012).

Climate is the primary factor determining animal species distribution (Helmuth et al., 2005). The range of climatic conditions to which the species is adapted define its climatic niche, an essential component of the fundamental niche (Hutchinson, 1957; Pearson & Dawson, 2003). Bioclimate envelope modelling approach identifies abiotic limitations on species distribution, defining its suitable climatic habitats. The realized niche can then be described considering observed species distribution, which is influenced also by biotic limitations, e.g. competition (Pearson & Dawson, 2003). A deep understanding on climatic niche is crucial to assess the vulnerability under a rapidly changing climate. Many species ranges have moved poleward or to higher elevations in the last century as an adaptation to climate change (Parmesan et al., 1999; Thomas & Lennon, 1999; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Root et al., 2003; Hickling et al., 2005). Steno-endemic species, i.e. local endemism characterized by very limited distribution, are expected to be especially vulnerable and may be threatened by extinction (Schlumprecht et al., 2010). Conservation biologists agree that efforts have to be concentrated on hotspots of biodiversity and endemic species. To identify the appropriate conservation strategies, a crucial step is to acquire detailed knowledge of the geographic distribution and basic biology of endemic species and, in the context of climate change, assess their sensitivity to climate (Sunday, 2002).

Body size is a pivotal aspect of an organism's biology and ecology, it is often related to climate and can show broad scale patterns of variation (Whitman, 2008; Winterhalter & Mousseau, 2008; Sheridan & Bickford, 2011). Part of this relationship has been explained by some ecological rules, i.e. Bergmann's, Rensch's and their converse (Bergmann, 1847; Rensch, 1950). Bergmann's rule was proposed for endothermic animals and states that organisms show increased body size or mass in colder climates (Bergmann, 1847). Afterward, it was extended to the temperature variations along latitudinal and elevation gradient (e.g. Laiolo et al., 2013). Body size is hypothesised to increase in colder climates because in endotherms heat generation capacity increases with body volume. Moreover, larger bodied organisms better retain body heat in cooler environments, thanks to a relatively lower surface area. The application of the Bergmann's rule on ectothermic animals, however, is still not certain, and multiple mechanisms behind the trend probably occurs (Shelomi, 2012; Vinarski, 2014). Ectotherms rely on environmental heat to thermoregulate. Therefore, in colder climate small bodied organisms are favoured because they are able to absorb heat faster. On the opposite, hot environments favour large bodied organisms because they perform better to avoid overheat. Another mechanism is commonly observed in ectotherms at higher latitudes/elevations. Here, the short growing season implies faster developmental rates, and therefore smaller adult individuals. For said reasons, the converse Bergmann's rule is often observed in ectotherms, especially those with a comparatively longer instar development time. In addition, the Bergmann's rule may relate to sexual size dimorphism (i.e. the inequality of body sizes between the two sexes; hereafter SSD) connecting it to another ecogeographic rule: Rensch's rule. It states that degree of SSD increases with body size in species with larger males, and decreases with body size in those with larger females (converse Rensch's rule assumes the opposite; Rensch, 1950; Abouheif & Fairbairn, 1997; Blanckenhorn et al., 2006). In insects, hypoallometry of females versus males has been related to life-history trade-offs and to sexual selection. Males are commonly smaller than females in arthropods, and fecundity selection favouring large female size is often strong (Blanckenhorn et al., 2007). Then we predict that SSD should increase with elevation in arthropods. However, there is still a scarcity of information about SSD intraspecific variation along elevation gradients.

We investigated the influence of climate on geographic distribution and body size in a steno-endemic species of the Italian Graian Alps, *Stenobothrus ursulae* Nadig, 1986. *S. ursulae* is a small size, annual and brachypterous grasshopper (Insecta: Orthoptera: Gomphocerinae), living between 1300 and 2556 m a.s.l. (Massa *et al.*, 2012; Sindaco *et al.*, 2012) and assessed as Vulnerable in the IUCN Red List, although there is no research published on the threats to this rare species so far (Zuna-Kratky *et al.*, 2016). Some

populations are found in protected areas: Gran Paradiso National Park, Mont Avic Natural Park and five Natura 2000 Special Areas of Conservation (SACs). S. ursulae has been described on the basis of a good series of specimens collected near Santa Elisabetta (Piedmont), between 1300 and 1500 m a.s.l. (Nadig, 1986). Another taxon, strictly related to this species, has been described as S. nadigi La Greca, 1987 on the basis of a small series of specimens collected near Lake Chamolè (Aosta Valley) at 2300 m a.s.l. Later, Nadig (1991) considered S. nadigi a synonym of S. ursulae, and this conclusion has been supported, with new materials, by Massa (2010). The wide morphological variability presented by the species at different elevations had misled taxonomists in the past, but the factors influencing it still remained unclear. S. ursulae is known to show wide size variations both within and between sexes (total body length males: 10.5-17.3 mm, total body length females: 13.7-23.5 mm, pronotum length males; 2.4-3.3 mm, pronotum length females: 2.9-4.2 mm, tegmina length males: 4.8-8.4 mm, tegmina length females: 4.5-8.0 mm, hind femurs length males: 7.2-9.6 mm, hind femurs length females: 8.1-12.3 mm). Since this species inhabits just a small portion of the available alpine and subalpine grasslands in the Western Alps, its distribution is likely to be determined by factors other than habitat availability.

In order to understand the effect of climate change on a species, it is important first to study how climate influences the species characteristics. In this paper, we adopted a multi-level approach to define the possible influence of climate on the geographical distribution and the body length of an alpine species. We hypothesized that S. ursulae can act as sentinel of climate change, and we aimed to identify its climate-sensitive traits. We focused on climate influences on body length, an individual trait that determines individual fitness and dispersal, and on species geographic distribution, which may also be influenced by these intrinsic factors. Clear evidence to link both species (range) and individual (phenotype) patterns to the climate in high elevation-only species are still lacking. However, climate may affect multiple traits with different magnitude and/or direction, e.g. a weak individual-level but a strong species-level effect or vice versa. A multi-level approach on a single study system is then desirable to assess the role of the climate on mountain species. In particular, insects inhabiting high elevations areas face relatively brief periods of favourable climate conditions due to the strong seasonality of the environment. Thus, we focused on isothermality, temperature and precipitation seasonality, and we tested if a species- and/or individual-level effect in our study system does exist. Moreover, abiotic factors typically show variations along elevation gradients. Temperature decreases at a rate of approximately 0.5 °C per 100 m of ascent, although this general trend is strongly affected by local topography and meteorology. Temperature is also tightly associated with seasonality, and both have remarkable consequences on ectotherms, influencing their

phenology, growth, reproduction and fitness-related traits such as body size (Laiolo & Obeso, 2017). Along elevation gradients, also atmospheric pressure and oxygen partial pressures decrease roughly linearly with elevation, influencing animal respiration processes. Unlike temperature and atmospheric pressure, precipitation shows non-linear relationships with elevation. It exhibits large spatial heterogeneity, with different local and regional patterns. The whole range of annual precipitation values is represented in the sector of the Graian Alps where S. ursulae occurs: particularly dry conditions are found in the Aosta Valley, shadowed against directions with prevailing moisture bearing winds, while particularly large annual mean values are observed along the rim of the Alps, towards the Po Plain (Isotta et al., 2014).

The aims of our study were to evaluate if climate affects S. ursulae distribution and body size and, if yes, whether similar or opposite effects are found.

Materials and methods

#### Study area, surveys and distribution data

The presence of S. ursulae is known in two regions of Italy, and in particular in the southern part of the Aosta Valley and in the adjacent north-western part of Piedmont, and its geographical range is restricted to the eastern Graian Alps. The known elevation range of the species is 1300-2300 m a.s.l. (Massa et al., 2012) and outside of that range, it was found in one single locality at 2556 m (Sindaco et al., 2012). For the present study, 23 elevation transects were walked in 2013 through 2017, from 1200 up to 3438 m a.s.l., to collect presence data all across the distribution area of S. ursulae. Therefore, our study area is restricted to a few side valleys of the Aosta Valley and to the type locality (near St. Elisabetta) in Piedmont. Each transect covered from 6 to 21 km of distance and from 630 up to 1630 m of elevation. Considering the 23 transects as a whole, we covered 250 km of distance and 21570 m of elevation. All the main categories of grassland habitats were surveyed, with a uniform coverage of different exposure, elevation and different valleys. The surveying was continuous along the transects, through direct observations, acoustic surveys and sweep netting. We identified the specimens following Massa et al. (2012), and we excluded the nymphs due to the risk of misidentification with other gomphocerine grasshoppers. Each presence site was georeferenced with a precision <10 m and the geographical coordinates were reported in UTM WGS84 reference system. Moreover, additional surveys have been carried out outside the known species distribution range; the area covered by these samplings is shown with grey dots in Figure 1. For the distribution analysis, the final database included the standardized data of the direct field surveys combined with a critical screening of the 

data derived from the literature (Nadig, 1986, 1991; Massa, 2010; Massa *et al.*, 2012; Sindaco *et al.*, 2012), and from the Italian fauna data base (Fontana *et al.*, 2005). In particular, the only record of the species in Valle di Susa, first mentioned in Fontana *et al.* (2005) and subsequently cited in Massa *et al.* (2012), derived from a wrongly reported information present in La Greca (1986). Moreover, the finding of this species in Slovenia reported by Schraut (1999) is due to a misidentification with *Chorthippus alticola* Ramme, 1921 (S. Gomboc, comm. pers.). Localities of each observation were added and validated in ESRI's ArcGIS Desktop ArcMap 10.2.2 software (Esri, 2014) to construct a distribution map, using a UTM WGS84 reference system.

163 Environmental variables

Twenty-two environmental variables were initially chosen as potential predictors of habitat distribution. Environmental variables included 19 bioclimatic factors (BIO1–BIO19) and 3 topographic factors: elevation (ALT), aspect (ASPECT) and slope (SLOPE). The bioclimatic variables were obtained from WorldClim -Global Climate Data (version 1.4 available at http://www.worldclim.org) developed by Hijmans et al. (2005) and were derived from monthly weather station measurements of temperature and rainfall. Our modelling area covers two different Italian regions and part of France and Switzerland (Figure 1). Those provided from WorldClim are the more precise and homogeneous data available for the whole area. The reliability of WorldClim data is not problematic, as we confirmed in a comparison with locally measured meteorological data in the Aosta Valley region. These data have been used in some other SDM studies for insects with restricted alpine distribution (Urbani et al., 2015; Biella et al., 2017) and for plants (Casazza et al., 2013).

WorldClim bioclim variables are biologically meaningful, and capture annual ranges, seasonality, and limiting factors useful for niche modelling (such as monthly and guarterly temperature and precipitation extremes). Elevation data (ALT) were obtained from Digital Elevation Model, while the individuals capture points' elevations were provided by GPS as UTMs and were used in the models involving the body length. Elevation data were used to generate the variables SLOPE, that is the incline or steepness of the surface, and ASPECT, that is the compass direction that a topographic slope faces (both variables were derived from the layer ALT and are expressed in degrees) using the "surface tool" in ArcGIS Spatial Analyst (Esri, 2014). All digital information was obtained or created at a spatial resolution of 30 arc second (~1 km). A mask was created in order to reduce the extent of all the environmental variable layers to the size of the study area. Since the WorldClim variables were derived from a common set of temperature and precipitation data, they could exhibit multicollinearity (Hijmans et al., 2005). Before model fitting, it was proceeded with the detection of the effect of collinearity by evaluating the variance inflation factors (VIFs) for the variable set. In order to

Page 7 of 33

understand the collinearity inside of the study area, only the values inside of the mask were considered. The variable with the highest VIF was sequentially omitted using a threshold value of 3.0 (Zuur *et al.*, 2009). Only the variables that showed minimal levels of collinearity were retained, also taking into account their relevance for our research aims during the variables selection (ASPECT, BIO 3, BIO9, BIO13 and BIO 15), obtaining the set of uncorrelated variables used in the modelling (Table 1). Finally, the final variable set (masked to fit the extent of the study area) was entered into Maxent as an ASCII raster grid.

# 193 Maxent modelling procedure

Maximum Entropy Species Distribution Modelling (Maxent; Phillips et al., 2006; Phillips & Dudík, 2008) version 3.3.3k (https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/) was adopted to model the environmental suitability of S. ursulae. Maxent is a software package that estimates the distribution of a species using a presence-only dataset by finding the probability distribution of maximum entropy (Phillips et al., 2006). We used an analysis methodology based on presence-only data because it is almost impossible to determine the absence of a species (MacKenzie, 2005). In particular, the detectability of S. ursulae is dependent on the annual abundance and it has been observed to differ considerably from year to year (DB, personal observ.). Maxent was chosen among several techniques in predicting species distribution from presence-only data, because in recent comparisons, it was found to be one of the most effective and it had also a particularly good performance when analysing data sets with a small number of records (Elith et al., 2006; Hernández et al., 2006). Moreover, the reliability of Maxent results had been confirmed by its good prediction on new presence localities for poorly known species (Pearson et al., 2007).

We defined the modelling area as a rectangle of 14.700 Km<sup>2</sup> comprising the whole study area, the surrounding main mountain massifs ecologically comparable to the study area and also some adjacent unsuitable surface that we supposed to be potential ecological barriers, such as the Po Plain. To generate a potential prediction map, the input has to consist of a set of presence data and their georeferenced locations and in a set of environmental variables (Phillips et al., 2006; Elith et al., 2011, Phillips, 2017). The software can also calculate the relative importance of the different environmental features used as input. The selection of the functions for the predictor variables (feature type) was carried out automatically (auto features), so the program selects the appropriate function depending on the number of available data (Phillips, 2017). The parameter settings were set to the default values, following advice of Elith et al. (2011), i.e. 500 as maximum iterations, 0.00001 as convergence threshold, 10,000 as maximum number of background points, 1 as the regularization multiplier and "crossvalidate" as replicated run type (Phillips & 

Dudík, 2008). The models obtained were calibrated using 75% of the available records for each species as training data (calibration data), and the remaining 25% were used as test data to validate the model internally. The logistic value output was selected because it gives an estimate ranging from 0 (unsuitable) to 1 (suitable) of habitat suitability, and it is therefore the easiest to conceptualize (see Phillips & Dudík, 2008 and http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/tutorial/tutorial.doc for further details). Logistic output estimates probability of presence assuming that the sampling design is such that typical presence localities have probability of presence of about 0.5. The Area Under the Curve (AUC) value and a P value less than 0.05 for at least one binomial test were used to evaluate the goodness of fit of the models (Pawar et al., 2007), and both can be obtained from the program. AUC provides a single measure of overall accuracy that is not dependent upon a particular threshold and is therefore used as an index to evaluate models (Fielding & Bell, 1997). The value of the AUC ranges between 0 and 1. Values from 0.5 to 0.7 indicate a performance no better than random; from 0.7 to 0.8 an acceptable performance; from 0.8 to 0.9 a good performance; and above 0.9 a highly accurate or excellent performance (Manel et al., 2001). The models were generated in ascii format, and exported directly in ArcGIS 10.2.2 (Esri, 2014). A potential distribution map with a habitat suitability classification ranging from 0 (unsuitable) to 1 (suitable) was obtained as output of the model. The map was imported in ArcGIS and reclassified using the 0.5 threshold value.

#### 234 Grasshopper measurements and statistical analysis

Three hundred and nine individuals (152 males and 157 females) were collected in 49 sites during the months of August and September 2014. The sites were distributed in the whole geographical range of the species, from 1445 up to 2878 m a.s.l. We aimed to collect similar numbers of males and females. The individuals were measured using the LAS Core Image Program (Leica Application Suite software, version 3.1; Leica Imaging Systems Ltd., Cambridge, UK) with the camera Leica EC3 by means of a stereo LEICA M80 with a 0.75-6.0 zoom, fitted with an ocular Leica 10450023 10x/23. Specimens have been vouchered and stored in alcohol in the first author's collection at the Regional Natural Science Museum, Aosta Valley. Two different proxies for body size were measured: (i) total body length (from the head to the last abdominal tergite, excluding supra-anal and subgenital plates), (ii) length of right hind femur (Duke & Crossley, 1975; Butlin et al., 1987; Willott & Hassall, 1998; Laiolo et al., 2013). Data were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed, scaled and centred prior to the analysis. Total body length had been used as a proxy of condition-dependent body size (Laiolo et al., 2013). The length of the hind femur had proven to be partly determined by sex-linked genes (Reinhold, 1994) and was often used as a proxy for body size in general on Orthoptera (Mousseau, 1997; 

Bidau & Martí, 2007; Lehmann & Lehmann, 2008) and in particular for structural body size (Laiolo et al., 2013). We therefore decided to use both total body length and hind femur length as measures of body size, because the two measurements are differently related to sex and may also subtend different biological implications. To test Bergmann's rule, it was analysed whether total body length and hind femur length varied between sexes and with elevation by means of generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs). To better appreciate SSD variation with elevation, the interaction of elevation × sex was tested. This allowed elevation gradients to vary between sexes. In this analysis we used elevation data for each sampling point measured in the field with the GPS. Latitude and longitude were entered also as covariates to control for the nonindependence of data from close populations. The site was entered as a random factor to control for pseudoreplication (Laiolo et al., 2013). It was then tried to assess the effect of climatic variation on body size in the two sexes with GLMMs with as before, site as random factor. For each analysis, a candidate set of models was compared including all the possible models with the possible predictors (BIO3, BIO9, BIO13 and BIO15, sex of the grasshopper and their two-way interactions, i.e. climatic variable  $\times$  sex), including the intercept-only model. Models were ranked on the basis of their Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc) values (Akaike, 1973; Buckland et al., 1997), the difference in terms of AICc between the top model and the other models ( $\Delta$ AlCc), and the Akaike weights, which sums to one and shows the relative support for each model in the set. The models with  $\Delta AICc \leq 2.0$  were then used for model averaging (Burnham & Anderson, 2002), unless there were no models that performed better than the null. All statistical analyses were performed with R 3.3.1 (R Core Team, 2017), using the packages Ime4 (Bates et al., 2015) and ImerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2016) for GLMMs, and the package MuMin (Bartoń, 2011). Elevation, latitude and longitude were measured in m (a.s.l. or UTM). 

41 269

#### 270 Results

- 45 271
- <sup>46</sup> 272 **Surve**

#### Survey and habitat suitability results

The survey generated 149 presence points, of which 140 were previously unpublished (93.96 % of the total)
(Figure 1). The species range estimated from minimum convex polygon and based on currently available
presence data resulted extended 826.3 km<sup>2</sup>.

The simple probability test conducted from the Jackknife test confirmed that the prediction was significantly better than at random (P = 0.038). AUC values of model sets calculated for the test (AUC = 0.959) and training data (0.965), were all greater than 0.90 and indicate a high power of Maxent for predicting potential

habitat for the studied grasshopper species, suggesting satisfactory discrimination of suitable versus unsuitable areas. All these results justified the construction of the final habitat suitability model with all the available points. The AUC value for the final model with total records was 0.966. The predictors which have high percent contribution are BIO15, BIO13 and BIO3, and are supported by the permutation importance (Table 2). Moreover, the Jackknife test demonstrated that BIO15, BIO13 and BIO9 had the highest gain when used in isolation. The SDM map (Figure 2) shows large suitable area inside the minimum convex polygon. It also identifies areas suitable in terms of climate in which the species was not found, especially in the peaks at the border between Italy and Switzerland.

 

#### 288 Morphological analysis

All size measurements were highly correlated for both sexes (all Pearson's R > 0.65, P < 0.05). Total body length varied between 13.66 and 22.56 mm (mean ± SD: 17.54 ± 1.92) for females and between 11.83 and 17.31 mm (mean ± SD: 13.57 ± 1.08) for males. Regarding hind femur length, females varied between 8.12 and 11.51 mm (mean  $\pm$  SD: 9.49  $\pm$  0.77) and males varied between 7.18 and 9.64 mm (8.13  $\pm$  0.57). The species was sexually size dimorphic with females being larger than males (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test for total body length: W = 22700, P < 0.001; for hind femur length: W = 21089, P < 0.001). When looking at body measurements in relation to elevation, the models with only linear and both linear and quadratic terms were tested. The model that included also the quadratic terms had a lower AICc in both cases (for total body length,  $\triangle AICc = 33.08$ ; for hind femur length,  $\triangle AICc = 11.17$ ). Both considering hind femur length or taking into account total body length, S. ursulae showed an elevation cline that significantly varied with sex: at higher elevations female measurements became proportionally shorter than in males (Table 3). As shown by the direction (sign) of the sex effect and by its interaction with elevation (Table 3), the species dimorphism decreased with elevation (see also Figure 3). Regarding climate, the models for both total body length and hind femur length with lower AICc values were the ones that included at least the interaction between sex and one of the two climatic variables (Table 4). The two sexes showed a different relationship with the two variables used as proxies for temperature and precipitations (Table 5). Body measurements appear to increase with increasing temperature and precipitation seasonality. Even if body size increases with seasonality for both sexes, it increases more strongly for females than for males. Because both temperature and precipitation seasonality are correlated with elevation, these results are in line with the expectation that the morphological variation observed along the elevation gradient is climate related. 

- 57 309

Discussion

This research increased the data on this previously poorly investigated species. The elevation range limits were expanded from 1300-2556 (Massa et al., 2012; Sindaco et al., 2012) to 1300-3000 m a.s.l. Several populations were found in alpine meadows above 2600 m, in mountain areas previously not surveyed by entomologists. Thanks to the additional surveys, we found S. ursulae on M. Bellagarda (Lanzo Valleys), expanding 12 km south-west its known range margins. All the other surveys outside the previously known distribution had negative outcomes. Moreover, some historical presence data have not been confirmed: Nivolet in Valsavarenche and Lauson in Cogne Valley. Future surveys are recommended to collect the necessary data for determining the species occurrence at the western margins of the species distribution. Here, in the last decades a species range contraction may have occurred. Therefore, S. ursulae was found to have a remarkably small range size and to be among the few endemic Orthoptera of the western Alps (Anonconotus baracunensis Nadig, 1987; A. ghilianii Camerano, 1878; A. ligustinus Galvagni, 2002; A. mercantouri Galvagni & Fontana, 2003; A. occidentalis Carron & Wermeille, 2002; A. pusillus Carron & Sardet, 2002; Dolichopoda chopardi Baccetti, 1966; Epipodisma pedemontana Brunner von Wattenwyl, 1882; Podisma amedegnatoae Fontana & Pozzebon, 2007; P. eitschbergeri Harz, 1973; Arcyptera alzonai Capra, 1938; Chorthippus cialancensis Nadig, 1986; C. sampeyrensis Nadig, 1986). Our study was the first attempt to investigate if climate may explain the distribution of an Alpine endemic grasshopper. The potential distribution obtained as output of the Maxent model well predicted the known distribution range, which is continuous and surrounded by unsuitable areas. The importance of climate is supported by the unsuitable area north-west of the species distribution, predicted by the model using climatic predictors only. This area was thoroughly searched, but the species was never found. Here, rocky grasslands apparently suitable to this species are largely available and there is habitat continuity with the area where the species is present. Thus, the only variation in precipitation and temperature variables may constitute a climatic barrier. However, the species is also expected elsewhere using climatic niche modelling. Therefore, a few notes regarding the model have to be made. Both habitat and climatic barriers may prevent this flightless species to colonize the potentially ecologically suitable area predicted by the model north-east of its distribution range. Here, a 10-15 km wide ecological barrier (low elevation, woodland and high anthropogenic pressure) divides the two ranges. In mountains, speciation processes, related to the many micro-climatic habitats, are promoted by a low dispersal ability often linked to wing reduction and flightlessness (McCulloch et al., 2009; lkeda et al., 2012; Mitterboeck & Adamowicz, 2013). The brachypterous nature of the species represents a serious 

limitation to range expansion or shift. Low vagility may prevent steno-endemic species to readily colonize suitable areas when its availability changes, e.g. after the species disappearance from a peak because of climate change. Moreover, the suitable areas where the species is absent may related to the relatively recent origin of Gomphocerinae species, which are still expanding their range after the last glacial period, and to the isolation of alpine brachypterous species, which is related to the retreat of the ice at the end of the last glaciation (Bella et al., 1991; Bailey et al., 2004). The species range may not be in equilibrium with the environment because it had not enough time to colonize all the climatic suitable areas potentially available. Another steno-endemic species presents very similar distribution limits, the Anonconotus pusillus (Carron et al., 2002), despite the fact that are two phylogenetically distant Orthoptera species. On the other hand, along the Alps (in Piedmont) the model predicted more potential areas with good suitability for the species. Therefore, S. ursulae may be found further south than currently known and future specific surveys in this undersampled area are recommended to test if the Maxent model's southern distribution limit is related to dispersal limitations or to inadequate sampling.

While considering an endemic species, considerable consequences can arise from the modification of its fundamental traits due to altered climatic conditions (Bellard et al., 2012). The model highlighted the importance of climate influences on distribution of this endemic grasshopper. As a matter of fact, the model fitted the distribution data well despite a layer with vegetation data was not used. Moreover, this species was found in rocky grasslands above 1300 m a.s.l., widely available in the Alps. This could be explained by the fact that grasshoppers are generalist herbivores, and therefore, lack a specific relationship with the vegetation composition (Bernays & Minkenberg, 1997). The model results highlighted the importance of seasonality, e.g. precipitation seasonality had a negative effect on its distribution. The species accordingly preferred more stability in terms of precipitations. Moreover, S. ursulae preferred narrow range of values for climatic variables based on temperature. In this sense, it may be considered a climate specialist. At high elevations in the Alps, as occurs at high latitude, it has been demonstrated that several grasshopper species are high-temperature specialists, although strong variations exist in their temperature sensitivity (Willott & Hassall, 1998). Moreover, the efficiency of thermoregulatory behaviour, combined with a plastic life history, can compensate for a physiology poorly adapted to cool temperatures (Willott & Hassall, 1998). 

Climatic variables were shown to correlate with the pronounced body length variability that characterise the species. The role of the elevation has been tested too with the aim of assessing the validity of the two ecogeographical rules for this species. Conversely to Bergmann's original rule, *S. ursulae* showed a decline in body length with increasing elevation. Reverse of Bergmann's rule has been frequently found among

Page 13 of 33

ectotherms and probably represents the norm for the Orthoptera (Dean, 1982; Scott & Dingle, 1990; Orr, 1996; Mousseau, 1997; Telfer & Hassall, 1999; Blanckenhorn & Demont, 2004; Berner & Blanckenhorn, 2006; Bidau & Martí, 2007; Whitman, 2008; Winterhalter & Mousseau, 2008; Ciplak et al., 2008; Laiolo et al., 2013; Eweleit & Reinhold, 2014; Levy & Nufio, 2015). The explanation of this climate-driven size cline may rely in local adaptations and/or phenotypic plasticity (Laiolo & Obeso, 2017). The consequences of harsh climates at higher elevations (i.e. significant resource limitations and time constraints) may result in earlier egg hatching and/or rapid development (Dingle et al., 1990; Hodkinson, 2005b; Berner & Blanckenhorn, 2006). The length of growing season and the resource availability might have limited the body length S. ursulae can achieve, larger body size requires longer development time (Berner et al., 2004; Chown & Gaston, 2010). Moreover, a shorter development time (i.e. instar duration and frequency of moulting) is favoured by natural selection because early maturation increases reproductive success and could therefore be an adaptation strategy to adverse conditions at higher elevations (Roff, 1983, 1992; Stearns & Koella, 1986; Dingle et al., 1990; Stearns, 1992; Hodkinson, 2005a). Current data do not allow us to confirm or dismiss local adaptation, but there is evidence of genetic influences or gene-environment covariations in grasshoppers (Berner et al., 2004; Roff et al., 2005; Berner & Blanckenhorn, 2006; Laiolo & Obeso, 2015).

Our results showed that in S. ursulae SSD increased as conditions improved and body length increased, which was consistent with a converse Rensch's rule. At the intra-population level, the size of the larger sex disproportionally increased and it was more variable than the smaller sex size. In the studied species, SSD was strongly female biased, as in the vast majority of orthopteroid insects (Bidau et al., 2015), and the relationship between the degree of SSD and elevation suggest that at high elevations, where climate was harsher, females body size adjusted more plastically. The females respond more strongly to harsher conditions, and at higher elevations we can assume that males perform better attaining their optimal body size (Teder & Tammaru, 2005; Blanckenhorn et al., 2007). Orthoptera SSD variations were found to follow either the Rensch's rule, its converse, as in S. ursulae, or even to have no pattern at all (Bidau & Martí, 2008; Hochkirch & Gröning, 2008; Lehmann & Lehmann, 2008; Laiolo et al., 2013; Eweleit & Reinhold, 2014). The validity of Rensch's rule had given contrasting results in the majority of insect groups (Abouheif & Fairbairn, 1997; Blanckenhorn et al., 2007; Bidau et al., 2015). Moreover, few studies examined intraspecific SSD variation, while the vast majority have focused on the interspecific level trends. The observed patterns of variation in SSD may be due to the female higher plasticity, because it occurred over small spatial scales and body size is a condition dependent trait. However, this between sex variation may be also due to an adaptation, or result on selection on developmental time. At lower elevations, females may also add an 403 additional instar to larval development increasing adult body size (Esperk et al., 2007). Therefore, female 404 plasticity and/or natural selection during development may lead to better adjustments of body size to the 405 prevailing local conditions. These mechanisms have been detected also in other grasshoppers occupying 406 mid-high elevations (Laiolo *et al.*, 2013).

Our main findings suggest that climatic variables are a good predictor for this species distribution, and also the strong variation in body size consistently correlates with these variables. Indeed, climate is a strong selection agent at high elevations, and climate change entails complex and contrasting responses in life cycle modifications, such as phenological shifts (Buckley et al., 2015). These shifts may lead to mismatches between resource requirements and availability (Both et al., 2009). On the other hand, the morphological variation shown by this species may result in an increased body length as a response to climate warming. Larger body size is associated with a fitness advantage, i.e. increased insect's fecundity (Honěk, 1993; Telfer & Hassall, 1999), longevity (Hodin, 2009) and starvation resistance (Slansky & Scriber, 1985). However, extreme changes may disrupt the plastic response, and since reaction norm shapes are likely to be genetically constrained, body size cannot increase indefinitely under sustained environmental change (Chevin et al., 2010). Climate warming may also imply a heavy reduction of habitat availability, because reforestation at lower elevations and advancing treeline will not correspond to a proportional increase in habitat availability at higher elevations (Cannone et al., 2007; Chamberlain et al., 2013). Thus, more studies are needed to assess the impact of climate change on Alpine steno-endemic species of conservation value.

#### 422 Conclusion

The very limited distribution range of S. ursulae is probably due to low dispersal ability and to habitat and climatic barriers. The species also presents a good degree of morphological variation at least partly related to climate. Our results suggest that climate affects both the geographic distribution and the body size variations, but with opposite effects: suitable climatic conditions for this grasshopper are found at high elevations, and the fitness-related trait (i.e. body size) declines with elevations. In the future, this species may modify its distribution and/or its morphology to successfully respond to climate change. Overall, the dispersal ability of steno-endemic mountaintop insects is very limited, because they are very often flightless (Roff, 1994; Ikeda et al., 2012; Mitterboeck & Adamowicz, 2013; McCulloch et al., 2017). The limited dispersal ability of this and others flightless endemic insects certainly constitutes an issue in responding to climate change. More research is needed about where in a species range individuals are most likely to survive, migrate or adapt to rapid environmental change (Willis & Birks, 2006). Our results should encourage 

434 conservationists to focus long-term monitoring of Alpine grasshoppers, detecting in time distributional435 contractions due to climate change.

# 438 Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to Ermina Corradi, who funded the "Mario De Bernardi" grant of the first author. We'd like to express our gratitude to the staff of the Regional Museum of Natural Sciences of Aosta Valley, especially the former museum director, Isabella Vanacore Falco, for their help in the field surveys and in the laboratory measurements. We are also thankful to Stanislav Gomboc and to Paolo Savoldelli for the helpful discussion on the geographic range of *S. ursulae*. We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers whose comments led to a substantially improved paper.

З
5
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
31
25
35
36
37
20
50
39
40
41
42
42 42
43
44
45
46
47
4/
48
49
50
50 F 1
51
52
53
54
54
55
56
57
58
20

60

1 2

# 445 **References**

- 446 Abouheif, E. & Fairbairn, D.J. (1997) A comparative analysis of allometry for sexual size dimorphism:
- 447 assessing Rensch's rule. *The American Naturalist*, **149**, 540–562.
- 448 Akaike, H. (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maxi- mum likelihood principle. In Petrov, B. N.
- 449 & Caski, F. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Information Theory. pp. 267-281.
- 450 Budapest: Akademiai Kiado.
- 451 Bailey, R. I., Thomas, C. D., & Butlin, R. K. (2004) Premating barriers to gene exchange and their
   452 implications for the structure of a mosaic hybrid zone between *Chorthippus brunneus* and *C. jacobsi* 453 (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **17**, 108-119.
- 454 Bartoń, K. (2011) MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.0.0. URL<u>CRAN.R-</u>
   455 project.org/package=MuMIn.
- 456 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, W. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Ime4.
  457 *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67, 1-48.
- Bella, J. L., Westerman, M., Lopez-Fernandez, C., De la Torre, J., Rubio, J.M., & Gosalvez, J. (1991) Sex
- 459 chromosome and autosome divergence in Podisma (Orthoptera) in western Europe. Genetics Selection
- 0 460 *Evolution*, **23**, 5-13.
- 461 Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W. & Courchamp, F. (2012) Impacts of climate change on
   462 the future of biodiversity. *Ecology letters*, **15**, 365–77.
- 463 Beniston, M., Diaz, H. & Bradley, R. (1997) Climatic change at high elevation sites: an overview. *Climatic* 464 *Change*, **36**, 233–251.
- 465 Bergmann, C. (1847) Über die Verhältnisse der wärmeokönomie der Thiere zu ihrer Grösse. Göttinger
   466 Studien, 3, 595–708.
- 467 Bernays, E.A. & Minkenberg, O.P.J.M. (1997) Insect herbivores: different reasons for beeing a generalist.
   468 *Ecology*, **78**, 1157–1169.
- 469 Berner, D. & Blanckenhorn, W.U. (2006) Grasshopper ontogeny in relation to time constraints: adaptive
   470 divergence and stasis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **75**, 130–139.
- 471 Berner, D., Körner, C. & Blanckenhorn, W.U. (2004) Grasshopper populations across 2000 m of altitude: Is
- 472 there life history adaptation? *Ecography*, **27**, 733–740.
- 473 Bidau, C.J. & Martí, D.A. (2007) Clinal variation of body size in *Dichroplus pratensis* (Orthoptera: Acrididae):
- 474 Inversion of Bergmann's and Rensch's rules. *Ecology and Population Biology*, **100**, 850–860.
- 57 475 Bidau, C.J. & Martí, D.A. (2008) Contrasting patterns of sexual size dimorphism in the grasshoppers

1 ว		
2	476	Dichroplus vittatus and D. pratensis (Acrididae, Melanoplinae). Journal of Orthoptera Research, 17, 201-
4 5	477	211.
6 7	478	Bidau, C.J., Taffarel, A. & Castillo, E.R. (2015) Breaking the rule: multiple patterns of scaling of sexual size
8	479	dimorphism with body size in orthopteroid insects. Revista de la Sociedad Entomológica Argentina, 75, 11-
9 10 11 12 13 14	480	36.
	481	Biella, P., Bogliani, G., Cornalba, M., Manino, A., Neumayer, J., Porporato, M., Rasmont, P. & Milanesi, P.
	482	(2017) Distribution patterns of the cold adapted bumblebee Bombus alpinus in the Alps and hints of an uphill
15 16	483	shift (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Apidae). Journal of Insect Conservation, 21, 357-366.
17	484	Blanckenhorn, W.U. & Demont, M. (2004) Bergmann and converse Bergmann latitudinal clines in
19	485	arthropods: two ends of a continuum? Integrative and comparative biology, 44, 413–424.
20 21	486	Blanckenhorn, W.U., Dixon, A.F.G., Fairbairn, D.J., Foellmer, M.W., Gibert, P., Linde, K. van der, Meier, R.,
22 23	487	Nylin, S., Pitnick, S., Schoff, C., Signorelli, M., Teder, T. & Wiklund, C. (2007) Proximate causes of Rensch's
24 25	488	rule: does sexual size dimorphism in arthropods result from sex differences in development time? The
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	489	American naturalist, <b>169</b> , 245–257.
	490	Blanckenhorn, W.U., Stillwell, R.C., Young, K.A., Fox, C.W. & Ashton, K.G. (2006) When Rensch meets
	491	Bergmann: does sexual size dimorphism change systematically with latitude? Evolution, 60, 2004-2011.
	492	Both, C., Asch, M. van, Bijlsma, R.G., Burg, A.B. van den & Visser, M.E. (2009) Climate change and unequal
33 34	493	phenological changes across four trophic levels: constraints or adaptations? Journal of Animal Ecology, 78,
35	494	73–83.
37	495	Buckland, S.T., Burnham, K.P. & Augustin, N.H. (1997) Model Selection: An Integral Part of Inference.
38 39	496	<i>Biometrics</i> , <b>53</b> , 603–618.
40 41	497	Buckley, L.B., Nufio, C.R., Kirk, E.M. & Kingsolver, J.G. (2015) Elevational differences in developmental
42 43	498	plasticity determine phenological responses of grasshoppers to recent climate warming. Proceedings of the
44 45	499	Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 282, 20150441.
46	500	Burnham, K. & Anderson, D.J. (2002) Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical
47 48	501	Information-theoretic Approach. Springer, New Yolk.
49 50	502	Butlin, R.K., Woodhatch, C.W. & Hewitt, G.M. (1987) Male spermatophore investment increases female
51 52	503	fecundity in a grasshopper. Evolution, 41, 221–225.
52 53 54 55	504	Cannone, N., Sgorbati, S. & Guglielmin, M. (2007) Unexpected alpine vegetation impacts of climate change
	505	on alpine vegetation. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 5, 360–364.
57	506	Carron, G., Sardet, E. & Wermeille, E. (2002) Revision of the genus Anonconotus Camerano, 1878
58 59		17
60		

- 507 (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) with description of A. pusillussp. n. and A. baracunensis occidentalis ssp. n.
- 508 *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, **109**, 879–918.
- 509 Casazza, G., Grassi, R., Zecca, G., Mariotti, M.G., Guerrina, M. & Minuto, L. (2013) Phylogeography
- 510 of Primula allionii (Primulaceae), a narrow endemic of the Maritime Alps. Botanical Journal of the Linnean
- 10 511 Society, **173**, 637-657.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

16

27

- 11 12 512 Chamberlain, D.E., Negro, M., Caprio, E. & Rolando, A. (2013) Assessing the sensitivity of alpine birds to
- <sup>13</sup> 513 potential future changes in habitat and climate to inform management strategies. *Biological Conservation*,
   <sup>15</sup> 514 **167**, 127–135.
- Section 17 515 Chevin, L.-M., Lande, R. & Mace, G.M. (2010) Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing
   section 18 516 environment: towards a predictive theory. *PLoS Biology*, **8**, e1000357.
- 517 Chown, S.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2010) Body size variation in insects: A macroecological perspective. *Biological*518 *Reviews*, **85**, 139–169.
- Ciplak, B., Sirin, D., Taylan, M.S. & Kaya, S. (2008) Altitudinal size clines, species richness and population
   density: case studies in Orthoptera. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, **17**, 157–163.
- 521 Dean, J.M. (1982) Latitudinal variation in voltinism and life history traits in the lesser migratory grasshopper.
- 29 30 522 Ph.D. Thesis. University of Iowa.
- 523 Dingle, H., Mousseau, T.A. & Scott, S.M. (1990) Altitudinal variation in life cycle syndromes of California
   524 populations of the grasshopper, *Melanoplus sanguinipes* (F.). *Oecologia*, **84**, 199–206.
- 525 Dirnböck, T., Essl, F. & Rabitsch, W. (2011) Disproportional risk for habitat loss of high-altitude endemic
  526 species under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 990–996.
- <sup>38</sup> <sub>39</sub> 527 Duke, K.M. & Crossley, D.A. (1975) Population energetics and ecology of the Rock Grasshopper 40
- 528 Trimerotropis saxatilis. *Ecology*, **56**, 1106–1117.
- 42 529 Elith, J., Graham, C.H., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., *et al.* (2006) Novel methods
  44 530 improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography*, **29**, 129–151.
- 46 531 Elith, J., Phillips, S.J., Hastie, T., Dudík, M., Chee, Y.E. & Yates, C.J. (2011) A statistical explanation of
- 47
  48 532 MaxEnt for ecologists. *Diversity and Distributions*, **17**, 43–57.
- 533 Esperk, T., Tammaru, T., Nylin, S. & Teder, T. (2007) Achieving high sexual size dimorphism in insects:
  51 534 females add instars. *Ecological Entomology*, **32**, 243-256.
- 53 535 Esri (2014) ArcGIS desktop: Release 10.2.2. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA.
- 55 536 Essl, F., Staudinger, M., Stöhr, O., Schratt-Ehrendorfer, L., Rabitsch, W. & Niklfeld, H. (2009) Distribution
- 56 57 537 patterns, range size and niche breadth of Austrian endemic plants. *Biological Conservation*, **142**, 2547–
- 59 60

58

1 ว		
2	538	2558.
4 5	539	Eweleit, L. & Reinhold, K. (2014) Body size and elevation: Do Bergmann's and Rensch's rule apply in the
6 7	540	polytypic bushcricket Poecilimon veluchianus? Ecological Entomology, <b>39</b> , 133–136.
8	541	Fielding, A.H. & Bell, J.F. (1997) A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation
9 10	542	presence / absence models. Environmental Conservation, 24, 38–49.
11 12	543	Fontana, P., La Greca, M. & Kleukers, R. (2005) Insecta Orthoptera (with data on cd Rom). In Checklist and
13 14	544	distribution of Italian Fauna (ed. by Ruffo, S. & Stoch, F.). Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di
15	545	Verona, 2a ser., Scienze della Vita, 16, pp. 137–139.
17	546	Gindl, W. (1999) Climatic significance of light rings in timberline spruce, Picea abies, Austrian Alps. Arctic
18 19	547	Antarctic and Alpine Research, <b>31</b> , 242–246.
20 21	548	Gonzalez, P. & Neilson, R. (2010) Global patterns in the vulnerability of ecosystems to vegetation shifts due
22 23	549	to climate change. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 19, 755–768.
24	550	Grabherr, G., Gottfried, M. & Pauli, H. (1994) Climate effects on mountain plants. Nature, 369, 448.
25 26	551	Helmuth, B., Kingsolver, J.G. & Carrington, E. (2005) Biophysics, physiological ecology, and climate change:
27 28	552	does mechanism matter? Annual Review of Physiology, 67, 177–201.
29 30	553	Hernández, P.A., Graham, C.H., Master, L.L. & Albert, D.L. (2006) The effect of sample size and species
31 32	554	characteristics on performance of different species distribution modeling methods. Ecography, 29, 773–785.
33	555	Hewitt, G. (2000) The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature, 405, 907–913.
35	556	Hickling, R., Roy, D.B., Hill, J.K. & Thomas, C.D. (2005) A northward shift of range margins in British
36 37	557	Odonata. Global Change Biology, 11, 502–506.
38 39	558	Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G. & Jarvis, A. (2005) Very high resolution interpolated
40 41	559	climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology, 25, 1965–1978.
42 43	560	Hochkirch, A. & Gröning, J. (2008) Sexual size dimorphism in Orthoptera (sens. str.) — a review. Journal of
44	561	Orthoptera Research, <b>17</b> , 189–196.
45 46	562	Hodin, J. (2009) She shapes events as they come: plasticity in female insect reproduction. In Phenotypic
47 48	563	plasticity of insects: Mechanisms and Consequences (ed. by Whitman, D.W. & Ananthakrishnan, T.N.).
49 50	564	Science Publishers, Enfield, pp. 423–521.
51 52	565	Hodkinson, I.D (2005a) Terrestrial insects along elevation gradients: species and community responses to
53	566	altitude. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 80, 489–513.
54 55	567	Hodkinson, I.D. (2005b) Terrestrial insects along elevation gradients: species and community responses to
56 57	568	altitude. <i>Biological Reviews</i> , <b>80</b> , 489–513.
58 59		10

- Honěk, A. (1993) Intraspecific variation in body size and fecundity in insects: a general relationship. Oikos, , 483–492. Hutchinson, G.E. (1957) The multivariate niche. Cold Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative Biology, 22, 415–421. Ikeda, H., Nishikawa, M. & Sota, T. (2012) Loss of flight promotes beetle diversification. Nature Communications, 3, 648. Isotta, F.A., Frei, C., Weilguni, W., Perčec Tadić, M., Lassègues, P., Rudolf, B., Pavan, V., Cacciamani, C., Antolini, G., Ratto, S.M., Munari, M., Micheletti, S., Bonati, V., Lussana, C., Ronchi, C., Panettieri, E., Marigo, G. & Vertačnik, G. (2014) The climate of daily precipitation in the Alps: development and analysis of a high-resolution grid dataset from pan-Alpine rain-gauge data. International Journal of Climatology, 34, 1657-1675. Keller, F., Kienast, F. & Beniston, M. (2000) Evidence of response of vegetation to environmental change on high-elevation sites in the Swiss Alps. Regional Environmental Change, 1, 70-77. Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. & Christensen, R.H.B (2016). ImerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 2.0-33. Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ImerTest La Greca, M. (1986) Contributo alla conoscenza degli Ortotteri delle Alpi Piemontesi con descrizione di una nuova specie di Stenobothrus. Animalia, 12, 215–244. Laiolo, P., Illera, J.C. & Obeso, J.R. (2013) Local climate determines intra- and interspecific variation in sexual size dimorphism in mountain grasshopper communities. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 26, 2171-2183. Laiolo, P. & Obeso, J.R. (2015) Plastic responses to temperature vs. local adaptation at the cold extreme of the climate gradient. Evolutionary Biology, 42, 473-482. Laiolo, P. & Obeso, J.R. (2017) Life-history responses to the altitudinal gradient. In: Catalan J, Ninot JM, Aniz MM (eds) Challenges for high mountain conservation in a changing world. Springer. Lehmann, G.U.C. & Lehmann, A.W. (2008) Variation in body size among populations of the bushcricket Poecilimon thessalicus (Orthoptera: Phaneropteridae): an ecological adaptation. Journal of Orthoptera Research, 17, 165–169. Levy, R.A. & Nufio, C.R. (2015) Dispersal potential impacts size clines of grasshoppers across an elevation gradient. Oikos, 124, 610-619. MacKenzie, D.I. (2005) Was it there? Dealing with imperfect detection for species presence/absence data. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics, 47, 65–74.

2
2
2
4
5
6
7
, o
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
18
19
20
21
21 22
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
26
20
37
38
39
40
41
42
42
43
45
46
40 17
4/ 10
4ð 40
49
50
51
52
53
54
~~

- 600 Manel, S., Ceri Williams, H. & Ormerod, S.J. (2001) Evaluating presence-absence models in ecology: The
- 601 need to account for prevalence. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **38**, 921–931.
- Massa, B. (2010) New or interesting records of Palearctic Orthoptera (Insecta). *Journal of Entomological Research Society*, **12**, 1–11.
- 0 604 Massa, B., Fontana, P., Buzzetti, F.M., Kleukers, R. & Odé, B. (2012) Orthoptera. Fauna d'Italia. Vol. XLVIII.
- 2 605 Calderini, Bologna.
- 606 McCulloch, G.A., Wallis, G.P. & Waters, J.M. (2009) Do insects lose flight before they lose their wings?
   607 Population genetic structure in subalpine stoneflies. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 4073–4087.
- 7 608 McCulloch, G.A., Wallis, G.P. & Waters, J.M. (2017) Does wing size shape insect biogeography? Evidence
- 609 from a diverse regional stonefly assemblage. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **26**, 93–101.

610 Mitterboeck, T.F. & Adamowicz, S.J. (2013) Flight loss linked to faster molecular evolution in insects. 611 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20131128.

- 4 612 Motta, R. & Nola, P. (2001) Growth trends and dynamics in sub-alpine forest stands in the Varaita Valley
- 6 613 (Piedmont, Italy) and their relationships with human activities and global change. *Journal of Vegetation* 7 614 *Science*, **12**, 219–230.
- 615 Mousseau, T.A. (1997) Ectotherms follow the converse to Bergmann's rule. *Evolution*, **51**, 630–632.
- 616 Nadig, A. (1986) Drei neue Gomphocerinae-Arten aus den Westalpen Piemonts. Articulata, 2, 213–233.
- <sup>5</sup> 617 Nadig, A. (1991) Stenobothrus nadigi und St. ursulae sind synonym. Articulata, **6**, 1–8.
- <sup>5</sup> 618 Nagy, L. & Grabherr, G. (2009) *The Biology of Alpine Habitats*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 7 619 Nogue-Bravo, D., Araújo, M., Errea, M. & Martínez-Rica, J. (2007) Exposure of global mountain systems to
- 620 climate warming during the 21st Century. *Global Environmental Change*, **17**, 420–428.
- 621 Orr, M.R. (1996) Life-history adaptation and reproductive isolation in a grasshopper hybrid zone. *Evolution*, 622 **50**, 704–716.
- 623 Parmesan, C., Ryrholm, N., Stefanescu, C., Hill, J.K., Thomas, C.D., Descimon, H., *et al.* (1999) Poleward
- 624 shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature*, **399**, 579–583.
- 625 Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural
  626 systems. *Nature*, **421**, 37–42.
- 627 Pauli, H., Gottfried, M. & Grabherr, G. (2001) High summits of the Alps in a changing climate. In 628 *"Fingerprints" of Climate Change*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, pp. 139–149.
- 55 629 Paulsen, J., Weber, U.M. & Körner, C. (2000) Tree growth near treeline: Abrupt or gradual reduction with 56
- 57 630 altitude? Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, **32**, 14–20.
- 58 59
- 60

- 631 Pawar, S., Koo, M.S., Kelley, C., Ahmed, M.F., Chaudhuri, S. & Sarkar, S. (2007) Conservation assessment
- 632 and prioritization of areas in Northeast India: Priorities for amphibians and reptiles. *Biological Conservation*,
- 633 **136**, 346–361.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10

20

27

- 634 Pearson, R.G. & Dawson, T.P. (2003) Predicting the impacts of climate change on the distribution of 635 species: Are bioclimate envelope models useful? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **12**, 361–371.
- 636 Pearson, R.G., Raxworthy, C.J., Nakamura, M. & Townsend Peterson, A. (2007) Predicting species
   637 distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: A test case using cryptic geckos in Madagascar.
   638 *Journal of Biogeography* **34**, 102–117
- 638 Journal of Biogeography, 34, 102–117.
- Peñuelas, J. & Boada, M. (2003) A global change-induced biome shift in the Montseny mountains (NE
  640 Spain). *Global Change Biology*, 9, 131–140.
- 641 S.J. Available at: Phillips, (2017) А Brief Tutorial on Maxent. 21 22 642 http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open source/maxent/. Accessed on 07-06-2017. 23
- 643 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P. & Schapire, R.E. (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic
  644 distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, **190**, 231–259.
- 645 Phillips, S.J. & Dudík, M. (2008) Modeling of species distribution with Maxent: new extensions and a
  646 comprehensive evalutation. *Ecograpy*, **31**, 161–175.
- A R Core Team. (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical 648
   Computing.
- Reinhold, K. (1994) Inheritance of body and testis size in the bushcricket *Poecilimon veluchianus* Ramme
   (Orthoptera; Tettigoniidae) examined by means of subspecies hybrids. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **52**, 305–316.
- 40
  41
  42
  43
  453 *Zoologische Beiträge*, **1**, 58–69.
- Roff, D.A. (1983) Phenological adaptation in a seasonal environment: a theoretical perspective. In *Diapause*and life cycle strategies in insects (ed. by Brown, K. & Hodek, I.). Dr. W. Junk, The Hague, The Netherlands,
  pp. 251–270.
- 657 Roff, D.A. (1992) *The evolution of life histories: theory and analysis.* Routledge, Chapman and Hall, New
   658 York.
- 659 Roff, D.A. (1994) The evolution of flightlessness: Is history important? *Evolutionary Ecology*, **8**, 639–657.
- 55 660 Roff, D. A. & Mousseau, T. (2005) The evolution of the phenotypic covariance matrix: evidence for selection
- 57 661 and drift in Melanoplus. *Journal of evolutionary biology*, **18**, 1104-1114.
- 58 59

56

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1/	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
<u>1</u> 2	
-10 10	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	

662 Root, T., Price, J., Hall, K. & Schneider, S. (2003) Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants.

663 *Nature*, **421**, 57–60.

- 664 Schlumprecht, H., Bittner, T., Jaeschke, A., Jentsch, A., Reineking, B. & Beierkuhnlein, C. (2010)
- 665 Gefährdungsdisposition von FFH-Tierarten Deutschlands angesichts des Klimawandels: Eine vergleichende
- 0 666 Sensitivitätsanalyse. *Naturschutz und Landschaftsplanung*, **42**, 293–303.
- 667 Schmitt, T. (2009) Biogeographical and evolutionary importance of the European high mountain systems.
   668 *Frontiers in zoology*, 6, 9.
- 669 Schraut, G. (1999) Nachweis von Stenobothrus ursulae Nadig, 1986 (Gomphocerinae, Orthoptera) in den
   67 670 Südalpen. Carinthia II, 189/109: 631-636.
- 9 671 Scott, S.M. & Dingle, H. (1990) Developmental programmes and adaptive syndromes in insect life-cycles. In

672 Insect life cycles. (ed. by Gilbert, F.). Springer, London, pp. 69–85.

- 673 Shelomi, M. (2012) Where are we now? Bergmann's rule sensu lato in insects. *The American Naturalist*,
   674 **180**, 511–519.
- 6 675 Sheridan, J. a. & Bickford, D. (2011) Shrinking body size as an ecological response to climate change.
   7 676 Nature Climate Change, 1, 401–406.
- 677 Sindaco, R., Savoldelli, P. & Evangelista, M. (2012) Ortotteri, Mantidi e Fasmidi dell'Italia nord-occidentale
- 678 (Piemonte, Valle d'Aosta, Liguria) (Insecta: Orthoptera, Mantodea, Phasmatodea). Rivista piemontese di
- <sup>3</sup> 679 Storia naturale, **33**, 111–160.
- 5 680 Slansky, F. & Scriber, J.M. (1985) Comprehensive insect physiology, biochemistry and pharmacology. In
- 7 681 Comprehensive insect phyiology, biochemsitry and pharmacology, Vol. 4. Regulation digestion, nutrition,
- 682 excretion. (ed. by Kerkut, G.A. & Gilbert, L.I.). Pergamon Press, Oxford, pp. 87–163.
- 683 Stearns, S.C. (1992) *The evolution of life histories*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 684 Stearns, S.C. & Koella, J.C. (1986) The evolution of phenotypic plasticity in life-history traits: predictions of
   685 reaction norms for age and size at maturity. *Evolution*, **40**, 893–913.
- 686 Sunday, J.M., Bates, A.E. & Dulvy, N.K. (2012) Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution of animals.
- . 687 *Nature Climate Change*, **2**, 686–690.
- 688 Teder, T. & Tammaru, T. (2005) Sexual size dimorphism within species increases with body size in insects.
   689 Oikos, **108**, 321–334.
- 53 690 Telfer, M.G. & Hassall, M. (1999) Ecotypic differentiation in the grasshopper *Chorthippus brunneus*: life
   54 691 history varies in relation to climate. *Oecologia*, **121**, 245–254.
- 56
   57 692 Theurillat, J.-P. & Guisan, A. (2001) Potential impact of climate change on vegetation in the European Alps:
- 59 60

- 693 A review. *Climatic Change*, **50**, 77–109.
- 694 Thomas, C.D. & Lennon, J.J. (1999) Birds extend their ranges northwards. *Nature*, **399**, 213.
- 695 Urbani, F., D'Alessandro, P., Frasca, R. & Biondi, M. (2015) Maximum entropy modeling of geographic
- 696 distributions of the flea beetle species endemic in Italy (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Galerucinae: Alticini).
- 697 Zoologischer Anzeiger, **258**, 99-109.
- 698 Vinarski, M. V. (2014) On the applicability of Bergmann's rule to ectotherms: The state of the art. *Biology*
- 699 Bulletin Reviews, **4**, 232–242.
- 700 Whitman, D.W. (2008) The significance of body size in the Orthoptera: a review. *Journal of Orthoptera* 701 *Research*, **17**, 117–134.
- Williams, S.E., Shoo, L.P., Isaac, J.L., Hoffmann, A.A. & Langham, G. (2008) Towards an integrated
   framework for assessing he vulnerability of species to climate change. *PlosBiology*, 6, e325.
- 704 Willis, K.J. & Birks, H.J.B. (2006) What is natural? The need for a long-term perspective in biodiversity
   705 conservation. *Science*, **314**, 1261–1265.
- 706 Willott, S.J. & Hassall, M. (1998) Life-history responses of British grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae) to
   707 temperature change. *Functional Ecology*, **12**, 232–241.
- 708 Winterhalter, W.E. & Mousseau, T.A. (2008) The strength of temperature-mediated selection on body size in 709 a wild insect population. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, **17**, 347–351.
- <sup>3</sup>710 Zuna-Kratky, T., Fontana, P., Roesti, C., Braud, Y., Hochkirch, A., Monnerat, C., Rutschmann, F. & Presa,
- 711 J.J. (2016) Stenobothrus ursulae. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:
   712 e.T16084515A46177150. Downloaded on 27 September 2017.
- 713 Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A. & Smith, G.M. (2009) Mixed effects models and extensions in
- 714 ecology with R. Springer Science & Business Media.

716	Tables		
717	Table 1		
718	Bioclimatic a	nd topographic variables used in the modelling.	
	Variable	Description	Source
	ASPECT	compass direction that a topographic slope faces	ArcGIS from A
	BIO3	isothermality (i.e. the mean diurnal range divided by the annual	WorldClim
		temperature range)	
	BIO9	mean temperature of the driest quarter	WorldClim
	BIO13	precipitation of the wettest month	WorldClim
	BIO15	precipitation seasonality (i.e. the standard deviation of the monthly	WorldClim
		precipitation estimates expressed as a percentage of the annual	
		mean)	
719			

# 720 Table 2

721 Estimates of the percent contribution and the permutation importance of the selected environmental

722 variables in Maxent model.

64.7 13.5	51.8	-
13.5	13 1	
	10.1	-
11.7	29.0	Quadratic
8.9	6.0	Quadratic
1.3	0.1	Quadratic
	8.9	8.9 6.0 1.3 0.1

# **Table 3**

Results of generalized linear mixed models testing for the effect of elevation on body size in *Stenobothrus ursulae* while considering for sexual dimorphism. Body size was expressed in terms of length of the total body and the hind femur. The site was entered as a random factor and latitude and longitude as covariates to control for the spatial autocorrelation of data.

					•
Total body length					
(Intercept)	17.580	0.097	1	80.99	< 0.00 <sup>-</sup>
Elevation	-15.549	2.224	-6	6.99	< 0.00
Elevation <sup>2</sup>	7.207	1.666	4	.33	< 0.00
Sex	-4.066	0.127	-3	31.90	< 0.00
Elevation X Sex	10.973	2.215	4	.96	< 0.00
Elevation <sup>2</sup> X Sex	x -4.187	2.218		.89	0.060
Longitude	-0.814	0.161	-4	5.06	0.008
Latitude <i>Hind femur length</i>	-0.357	0.144	-2	2.48	0.058
(Intercept)	9.567	0.087	1	09.65	< 0.00
Elevation	-3.958	0.806	-4	4.91	< 0.00
Elevation <sup>2</sup>	0.834	0.561	1	.49	0.138
Sex	-1.404	0.040	-3	34.95	< 0.00
Elevation X Sex	3.214	0.707	4	.55	< 0.00
Elevation <sup>2</sup> X Sex	x -0.456	0.715	-(	0.64	0.524
Longitude	-0.653	0.125	-{	5.23	< 0.00
Latitude	-0.312	0.101	-3	.08	0.010

# **Table 4**

List of the GLMMs with  $\Delta AICc \le 2$  used for testing for the effect of climate on body size in *Stenobothrus ursulae* while considering for sexual dimorphism. Models were ranked according to AICc values. Body size was expressed in terms of length of the total body and the hind femur. Predictive variables were sex of the grasshopper, climatic variables (BIO3, BIO9, BIO13 and BIO15) and all the interactions climatic variable × sex. Site was entered as a random factor.

Model	df	logLik	AICc	∆AlCc	weight
Total body length					
Sex + BIO3 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	7	-119.75	253.88	0	0.175
Sex + BIO3 + BIO13 + Sex X BIO13	7	-119.84	254.05	0.171	0.161
Sex + BIO13 + Sex X BIO13	6	-121.15	254.58	0.703	0.123
Sex + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	6	-121.5	255.28	1.404	0.087
Sex + BIO3 + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	8	-119.42	255.33	1.45	0.085
Sex + BIO3 + BIO9 + BIO13 + Sex X BIO13	8	-119.45	255.39	1.508	0.082
Sex + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	7	-120.56	255.49	1.609	0.078
Sex + BIO3 + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO13 + Sex X	9	-118.5	255.62	1.737	0.074
BIO15					
Sex + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO13 + Sex X BIO15	8	-119.63	255.75	1.874	0.069
Sex + BIO9 + BIO13 + Sex X BIO13	7	-120.72	255.83	1.946	0.066
Hind femur length					
Sex + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	6	-462.38	937.03	0	0.24
Sex + BIO9 + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	8	-460.43	937.34	0.308	0.206
Sex + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	7	-461.49	937.35	0.316	0.205
Sex + BIO9 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO15	7	-461.69	937.77	0.733	0.167
Sex + BIO9 + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO9 + Sex X BIO15	9	-460.15	938.92	1.889	0.093
Sex + BIO13 + BIO15 + Sex X BIO13 + Sex X BIO15	8	-461.27	939.03	1.996	0.089

56 741

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21 22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
27	
20	
29	
21	
21	
2∠ 22	
27	
54 25	
ככ ⊃∠	
סכ דכ	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	

744 Table 5

Parameter estimates, standard error (SE) and relative variable importance for variables included in the ∆AICc ≤ 2.GLMMs set testing for the effect of climate on body size in Stenobothrus ursulae while considering for sexual dimorphism. Body size was expressed in terms of length of the total body and the hind femur. Predictive variables were sex of the grasshopper, climatic variables (BIO3, BIO9, BIO13 and BIO15) and all the interactions climatic variable × sex. Sector identity was entered as a random factor.

	Variable	Total body length			Hind femur length			
		Estimate	SE	Importance	Estimate	SE	Importance	
	Intercept	15.542	0.182		8.838	0.194		
	Sex (male vs. female)	-4.051	0.128	1.000	-1.394	0.041	1.000	
	BIO3				0.051	0.059	0.577	
	BIO9	-0.192	0.279	0.466	-0.039	0.134	0.149	
	BIO13	-0.224	0.269	0.593	-0.086	0.104	0.738	
	BIO15	1.293	0.24	1.000	0.022	0.074	0.567	
	Sex X BIO9	-0.024	0.13	0.093				
	Sex X BIO13	0.024	0.148	0.089	0.178	0.179	0.575	
	Sex X BIO15	-1.407	0.296	1.000	-0.185	0.184	0.567	
749								
750								
100								

1 ว		
2 3	751	
4 5	752	Figure captions
6 7	753	
8	754	Figure 1
9 10	755	Presence points of Stenobothrus ursulae (red dots) with minimum convex polygon (range: 826.3 km <sup>2</sup> ). All the
11 12	756	surveyed points where the species was not found are also shown on this map (grey dots).
13 14	757	
15	758	Figure 2
17	759	The potential distribution map of Stenobothrus ursulae with minimum convex polygon classified using the 0.5
18 19	760	threshold (grey). The margins of this figure fit precisely with the modelling area rectangle.
20 21	761	
22 23	762	Figure 3
24	763	Elevation clines for total body length and hind femur length (mm) of females (light grey) and males (dark
25 26	764	grey) of Stenobothrus ursulae.
27 28		
29 30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
41		
43 44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		30



**Figure 1**Presence points of *Stenobothrus ursulae* (red dots) with minimum convex polygon (range: 826.3 km<sup>2</sup>). All the surveyed points where the species was not found are also shown on this map (grey dots).

170x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)



**Figure 2**<sup>!!</sup> + The potential distribution map of *Stenobothrus ursulae* with minimum convex polygon classified using the 0.5 threshold (grey). The margins of this figure fit precisely with the modelling area rectangle.

170x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)

