Finding positivity during a major organizational change – In search of triggers of employees' positive perceptions and feelings

Johanna Raitis, Department of International Business, University of Turku

Riikka Harikkala-Laihinen, Department of International Business, University of Turku

Melanie Hassett, International Business, Sheffield University Management School

Niina Nummela, Department of International Business, University of Turku

Abstract

The study explores the sources and triggers of positivity during a major organizational change. The qualitative research methodology is developed around discovering and interpreting employees' perceptions in an M&A process. The analysis leads us to suggest that change may be perceived at least in three positive ways constituting to positive identity construction. Implications for work-related identity and identification research are discussed.

Key words: Positive identity, emotions, identification, qualitative research, mergers & acquisitions

INTRODUCTION

There is increasing interest in *positivity* in the field of organizational studies. Emphasizing goodness and positive human potential, the recent positive organizational scholarship (POS), which focuses on positive outcomes, processes and attributes within an organizational context, demonstrates this interest well (Cameron, Dutton, & Quinn, 2003). Similarly, in the organizational identity literature, the new domain of *positive identity* has emerged (Dutton, Roberts, & Bednar, 2009). Focusing on the positive is suggested to cultivate collective identities that mobilize collective action and enable valued organizational outcomes (Dutton, Roberts, & Bednar, 2010; Glynn & Walsh, 2009). In the fast-paced

global economy, gaining a deeper understanding of positive identity is vital. Thus, scholars have put forward that positive identities and identification enable and facilitate organizational changes (Glynn & Walsh, 2009), such as mergers and acquisitions (M&A) (Van Knippenberg, Van Knippenberg, Monden, & de Lima, 2002).

However, positivity is not a new concept in the organizational identity and identification literature. The basic assumption in the field has always been that people strive to see themselves and wish to be seen in a positive light. The positive perceptions, based on individuals' evaluations, meanings and motivations, are the basis for individual and collective identities (Ashforth & Kreiner, 1999; Cornelissen, Haslam, & Balmer, 2007; Tajfel, 1982). Although positivity may be seen as a pre-condition for identity construction and maintenance, surprisingly few studies have addressed it (Dutton et al., 2010). In the literature, there is substantial emphasis on the factors threatening identity construction (e.g. Elsbach & Kramer, 1996; Kreiner, Ashforth, & Sluss, 2006), rather than on the matters enforcing it. Although studies in the positive identity domain are emerging (Roberts & Dutton, 2009), there is still much to be done to address and understand positivity in the organizational context. In particular, there is a need for a specific focus on the content, processes and outcomes of positive identity (Dutton et al., 2009).

What creates positivity in an organization in the midst of changes? The present study was aimed at addressing this question. We chose positive emotions as our pathway to understanding positive identity construction and maintenance. In particular, we sought to explore which emotions are linked to identity content and processes and identify the sources or triggers of these emotions (Dutton et al., 2010). Following Humphrey, Ashforth and Diefendorff (2015), we defined emotions as signals of what is important to individuals in organizations (p. 754). Moreover, we regarded emotions as reactions to stimuli, leading to (positive) organizational behaviour and outcomes (cf. Frijda, 1988). The theoretical framework of the study was built around the literature on positive organizational identity, emotional identification and emotions. Empirically, we explored the positive feelings that employees attach to the organization and their membership within it.

Major opportunities exist to expand and develop the identity research in organizational contexts. By exploring positive emotions relating to organizational identification and identity, we

expect to contribute to the development of a theory of work-related identities and emotional identification. Our focus on positivity instead of negativity (e.g. threats) will also counterweigh the overriding negative trend in the research. Given the challenges that exist in the contemporary business environment, understanding the sources and triggers of positivity will help managers to form and manage stronger organizational identities and facilitate employees' identification with the organization. In a fast-paced and constantly changing global business world, finding positivity in organizational membership is expected to strengthen employees' bond with their employer (Dutton et al., 2009). Moreover, considering the dynamic and changing nature of the business environment, finding positivity in the midst of major changes is a topical theme.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Constructing positive identities in organizations

Identity is a central construct in organizational studies. In the literature, organizational identity is defined as those things or characteristics of an organization that are perceived as central, distinctive and enduring (Albert & Whetten, 1985). Accordingly, the prevailing social construction perspective posits that organizational identity is constituted by members' subjective understanding and interpretation of what is central, distinctive and enduring about their organization (Albert, 1998; Dutton & Dukerich, 1991; Gioia, Schultz, & Corley, 2000; Ravasi & Schultz, 2006). Thus, organizational identity is the members' collective understanding of these features rendering a common understanding of who they are (Raitis 2015).

Social identities require both cognitive and emotional aspects (Dutton, Dukerich, & Harquail, 1994; Glynn & Walsh, 2009; Tajfel, 1982). Similarly, identification – i.e. the process by which individuals come to define and connect themselves within the organization – evolves through cognitive and emotional phases (Ashforth & Mael, 1989). Cognitive identification occurs when a member of the organization defines him- or herself according to the same attributes that he or she believes define the organization (Ashforth & Mael, 1989). Further, the strength of the identification is determined by the level of 'oneness' portraying the extent of the overlap between these individual and organizational attributes (Mael & Ashforth, 1992; Dutton et al., 1994). However, this identification is not exclusively

a cognitive process; it also requires an emotional bond (Pratt, 2000), as 'full' belongingness cannot be attained by mere perception (Baumeister & Leary, 1995). Thus, emotional identification entails employees' emotional attachment and the feelings they experience in relation to their organization and membership in the organization. Consequently, feeling oneness is distinct from perceiving oneness (Albert, 1998; Johnson, Morgeson, & Hekman, 2012).

Emotion and attachment have long been recognized as having a positive influence on self-esteem (Pratt, 2000; Tajfel, 1982). Several decades ago, emotion was assigned a central role in the construction of one's perceptions of the world and the self (Albert & Whetten, 1985; Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993). According to Fredrickson (2003), positive emotions lead to optimal individual- and organizational-level functioning over the long term. Concerning identification, emotions can be 'felt to isolate or to unite' (cf. Frijda, 1988). Emotional identification is associated with positive feelings about one's membership, including pride, enthusiasm, and a sense of affiliation or belongingness with others (Albert & Whetten, 1985). On the negative side, studies have shown that emotional dissonance may occur when an emotional experience is evaluated as a threat to a person's identity (Jansz & Timmers, 2002). Negative emotions may also lead to individuals' dis-identification or decreasing levels of identification. The more central one's social identity is to one's identity, the greater the threat of emotional costs will be (Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993).

Identity is associated with a broad range of self-relevant emotions and attitudes (Ashmore, Deaux, & McLaughlin-Volpe, 2004). When discussing the positivity of emotions, it is important to remember that an emotion as such cannot be straightforwardly labelled as positive or negative. Rather, how the individual interprets the emotion – i.e. its personal relevance – is positive or negative. For example, one can be happy about being angry, or ashamed of feeling personal pride (Lazarus, 1991). In the workplace, emotions can be triggered by myriad stimuli. For example, positive emotions can be prompted by interpersonal contact with colleagues or customers (Rafaeli & Worline, 2001), by positive feedback, through meeting goals (Fisher & Ashkanasy, 2000), through perceived task significance, or through task autonomy (Saavedra & Kwun, 2000). In addition, artefacts can trigger emotions; for example, employees can react positively to colours, such as the environmentally friendly symbolism embedded in the colour green (Rafaeli & Vilnai-Yavetz, 2004).

In their recent study, Dutton et al. (2010) highlight four distinctive sources of positivity in an organizational context. The sources of positive work-related identities and identity construction processes through which individuals can cultivate positive self-definitions are positive virtues, self-evaluations, self-development and a structural approach. The virtue perspective highlights that work-related identity is positive when the identity content includes virtuous qualities that can be defined as inherently good and positive. While the virtue perspective focuses on identity content, the evaluative perspective is based on members' positive self-evaluations, sense of worth and meaning of their work-related identity. The third perspective, takes into consideration the development of members' self-definition over time. It may evolve either progressively as individuals proceed through different career and identity steps towards higher levels of the ideal self. Alternatively, it may occur adaptively when an individual develops and achieves a more appropriate fit with a set of internal and external standards. The fourth path, the structural perspective, states that an individual's identity structure is more positive when the multiple facets of the identity are in a balanced or complementary relationship with one another, thus reducing identity conflict (Dutton et al., 2010).

This study was grounded in the notion that positive identities elicit positive emotions. Thus, in order to uncover what creates positivity in an organizational context, we needed to identify the sources and triggers of positive emotions that create and uphold organizational identification. Emotions are rarely, if ever, elicited by isolated stimuli. Emotional reactions are meaningful and always tied to the particular spatial and temporal contexts in which they occur (Frijda, 1988). In our study, we explored positivity and positive emotions during an acquisition process. Major organizational changes, such as M&A, may create contexts in which it is difficult to distinguish positivity. According to Kreiner and Sheep (2009), however, threats caused by major changes can be turned into positive opportunities when individuals reframe identity-threatening situations into positive and forward-looking growth opportunities. This 'positive jujitsu' (Kreiner & Sheep, 2009) served as the starting point for this study.

RESEARCH DESIGN

This research applied a qualitative, single case study approach. A qualitative approach was chosen to obtain a deeper understanding of the grounds of positive identity formation during M&A. Further, case

studies create rich opportunities for exploring positive emotions and discovering the triggers behind those emotions (cf. Gioia, Corley, & Hamilton, 2013). The case study strategy was appropriate because it would enable a rich and contextualised description of the phenomenon under scrutiny (cf. Eisenhardt, 1989; Stake, 1995).

The case was a Finnish–British acquisition conducted between two companies in November 2013. To respect the anonymity requirements of the companies involved, the names are withheld and replaced with pseudonyms. The acquirer, BuildIT, is a Finnish firm operating in the building and construction industry. It offers two types of products – namely, building information modelling (BIM) and structural design software. It was established in 1966 and has since grown into a market leader in its sector. In 2011, BuildIT was acquired by Omega Group, which is based in California, and became part of Omega Group's Building Division. However, since BuildIT was Omega Group's biggest acquisition, it was decided to leave BuildIT as a relatively autonomous company.

In 2013, Omega Group's Building Division acquired a small, privately held, UK-based company named Alpha. Alpha's products include software solutions for the analysis and design of steel and concrete buildings, and it complements well Building Division's offering. Alpha has been a structural software and technical support provider to structural engineers for more than 35 years, and has a strong presence in the UK, Asia, South Africa, the Middle East and the US. It was soon decided that to achieve the best synergies, Alpha needed to be integrated into Omega Group's Building Division's largest unit, BuildIT. At the time of the acquisition, Alfa employed approximately 60 employees and BuildIT, nearly 600 employees. The acquisition can be described as a friendly deal, where Alpha's main motives were to ensure growth and internationalization, and Building Division was looking for complementary assets.

Data collection

The data collection was based on qualitative interviews, and the primary data comprised 32 semi-structured interviews. Interviewees were selected from a list of informants from UK-based Alpha, provided by the integration manager and the UK managing director. All interviewees were either part

of the acquisition or the integration process and had, therefore, been exposed to the change – that is, the stimuli eliciting feelings.

The interviews were conducted in English, the mother tongue of the interviewees. We did not encounter linguistic challenges during the interviews, even though the interviewees had a linguistic advantage (cf. Marschan-Piekkari & Reis, 2004). The interviews lasted, on average, an hour, and they were all tape recorded. The majority of the interviews were conducted face-to-face, but due to conflicting schedules and travel issues, two interviews were conducted via Skype; to ensure a good connection, the video was not switched on. However, the interviewee managed to create a relaxed atmosphere, and apart from the lack of personal, face-to-face interaction, these interviews did not differ much from the face-to-face interviews. The interviewees could freely select the location of the interview; most of the interviews took place in one of the conference rooms in the office, which allowed the interviewers to observe the interviewees' offices and work environment.

Data analysis

The 32 interviews were all transcribed. Most interviews were transcribed by one member of the research team, but due to the large number of interviews, one third of the interviews were transcribed by a professional agency. Transcribing from a second language into a second language was the most challenging part of this process; with English being the second language of the transcribers, it was sometimes difficult for them to understand the different dialects and nuances of the native speakers. The transcribers clearly marked the difficult sections in the transcribed interviews, enabling the researchers to double check the tape. One of the researchers was living in the UK while conducting the interviews, and she was used to hearing the North-West English accent used in the interviews (cf. Marschan-Piekkari & Reis, 2004).

The transcribed interviews were analysed using NVivo 10 software. Three researchers participated in the coding process to increase the trustworthiness of the analysis. Despite the qualitative nature of the study, we did not adopt a purely inductive approach (cf. Gioia et al., 2013); rather, we let our observations and analysis be partially guided by initial hunches and frames of reference, keeping 'an open mind' (see Siggelkow, 2007). Consequently, our coding scheme was initially heavily reliant

on our research questions and loosely on the frames of reference presented in our literature review. Our analysis and coding followed an iterative process between the data and the literature, in which each analysis round took us deeper and deeper into the analysis.

Our iterative approach was reflected in our coding strategy as well, and our codes included both *a priori* codes derived from the literature and *in vivo* codes derived directly from the data (e.g. Bazeley, 2007). In the first round of analysis, we relied on a priori codes and had only one node – namely, 'positive emotions related to M&A'. Hence, anything referring to positive emotions related to M&A was coded in this node. The coding was intentionally kept rough during the first round of analysis. In the second round, the coded nodes were analysed more in-depth with the help of the literature, and the codes were organized according to the emerging emotions of *happiness*, *pride and relief*. This method enabled us to go deeper and deeper into our data in each round. In the final data analysis phase, we identified the triggers of the prevailing emotions and compared them with the existing literature on positive identity in an organizational context.

FINDINGS

Based on our analysis, we were able to identify three positive emotions from the data: happiness, pride and relief (Lazarus, 1993). Furthermore, we found different triggers and drivers of these emotions in the context of a major organizational change. In the following, we present some illustrative quotations of the positive emotions (Table 1) and their triggers as experienced by the informants. Then we discuss and explain how they are linked to identity content and processes using Dutton et al.'s (2010) theoretical framework of positive work-related identity.

TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

The developmental approach to positive identity

Progressive identity development. Based on the empirical findings, happiness and pride were the most prevailing positive feelings among the informants. This finding is in line with previous identity and identification literature, where emotional identification was associated with enthusiasm, pride and

respect (Albert & Whetten, 1985). Likewise, Glynn and Walsh (2009) suggest that positive identities are seen as attractive and aspirational. Consequently, happiness, which connotes making reasonable progress towards realizing a goal (Lazarus, 1993), can be linked to the developmental approach to positive identity.

The triggers of happiness and pride were found to be related to personal self-development at work. These findings are in line with extant literature which states that career development and growth are recognized as means through which individuals develop their own identities (Hall, 2002). Furthermore, the data in the present study indicate that personal growth and development occurred, for example, through performing a new role and handling the added responsibilities residing with it. The sensation of self-advancement was explained by one informant as follows:

> "So, for me, the minute the deal was done, my new role started… two days into my new job, I was in India doing a seminar for 200 people, and that's just not something I've ever done before, so first impression for me, like, wow! This is different, this is exciting!"

This progressive identity development may evolve through natural progressions and passages, where individuals over time pass through career stages towards their ideal selves (Dutton et al., 2010). However, in this study, career growth occurred swiftly, as it was seen to evolve due to a major organizational change caused by the company's acquisition by a bigger entity. For the informants, the acquisition and the change implied potentially faster progression and a larger leap in the direction of a more developed work-related identity. In addition, the big size, bigger budget and potential continuum and increase of success reasserted by the new entity were obvious triggers for the employees to feel positive about the change (see also Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993).

According to Dutton et al. (2010), the development perspective of positive identity formation assumes that identity is dynamic and capable of changing. However, in this process, the old identity is not discarded; instead, new elements are added to the old, signifying work-related self-definition. Career development is, in fact, the enhancement of the work-related identity (Hall. 2002). An example of a concrete add-on is the increased travel that was highlighted by one informant: 'A lot of international travel certainly with the job, which is an exciting part of the role, certainly.' Likewise, some informants experienced a feeling of relief, as they had perceived their former situation as 'boring' or non-satisfying,

and therefore welcomed the change. Various informants commented that they were 'happy to move on' and that they believed the bigger size of the acquiring company would provide them with a meaningful continuation.

The positive outlook on changes and the perceived opportunities resulting from them were suggested to be linked to personal characteristics. Thus, being able to see the future as positive may be mediated by the employee's positive outlook on life in general. As one informant suggested, 'I'm very positive and excited about the future and the things we can do. Part of that is my own nature. I'm an optimistic person, not a pessimistic person. So, generally, I look on the bright side of things'. Kreiner and Sheep (2009) suggest that positive jujitsu always requires some level of risk-taking from the individual. Hence, instead of experiencing changes in work-related matters as threatening, an optimistic and adventurous person would be able to see the change through a positive lens as offering future opportunities.

The structural perspective of positive identity

Balanced and complementary identity structure. Another pathway for positive identity development is through a balanced identity structure, implying that the positivity of an identity lies in the balance between personal and social identities (Dutton et al., 2010). Implicit in this approach is that individuals are in a constant process of trying to achieve an optimal balance. Accordingly, our findings suggest that becoming part of a larger organization balanced some employees' perceptions of themselves and their inherent desires for work-related identity. For one informant, becoming part of a bigger organization created feelings of contentment and happiness, as he became a member of a collective with like-minded colleagues:

> *"I sometimes struggled with the old management team, who primarily were from sales backgrounds and not development backgrounds. There were different cultures, in the sense of disciplines, and we spent a lot of our time battling, arguing about certain things that we knew had to happen from a development point of view. Whereas going to [the acquiring company], a more established, much bigger development team, I just spent the whole week talking to the development people and everything just resonated. It was nice, you know [laughs]."*

Individuals who strongly identify with their organization or occupation regard their role as central and salient, and feel valued for their individual identity. In other words, when fulfilling their role, individuals are being truly themselves (Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993). Gecas (1982) refers to identity contents as anchors tying the self to the social system. Similarly, a positive identity can be achieved through a sense of authenticity, coherence and assimilation (Glynn & Walsh, 2009; Kreiner & Sheep, 2009). According to the literature, authenticity on a deep level appears when there is consistency between behaviour and identity (Humphrey et al., 2015). The above quotation as well as other informants' perceptions of the generated 'natural fit' or 'logical fit' between the organizations, teams and individuals support the members' perceptions of, and need for, authenticity at work. The gender-related remark by one informant on women's powerful presence at the acquiring company and the inclusion of both men and women in the organizational core can also contribute to the coherence between the collective and personal identities. According to the literature, a work-related identity becomes more positive when multiple identities are viewed as compatible (Rothbard, 2001).

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was to explore the triggers of positivity in an organizational context during a major organizational change. For this purpose, we investigated the individually experienced positive emotions that we believed would reveal the sources and triggers of positive work-related identity. By focusing on the interfaces between individual, organizational and contextual (Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993), we observed how the contextual characteristics and features may moderate and generate individual-level positive feelings. Thus, our main findings contribute to the understanding of how companies can construct positive identities by suggesting what can be conceptualized as positive in an organizational context during major changes. Building on the work of Dutton et al. (2010), we propose how their framework of positive work-related identity may be applicable in such contexts. Primarily, our findings lead us to suggest that positivity during a major organizational change may be found in progressive, balanced and complementary identity work.

In the literature, emotional identification is seen to provide a strong sense of belongingness with the group (Albert & Whetten, 1985; Johnson et al., 2012). By suggesting that positivity may be found

in positive emotions, we also highlight the relevance of emotions in the processes of emotional identification. Enriching this literature, we have identified three positive emotions; happiness, pride and relief that may influence individuals' self-concepts and strengthen their attachment to the organization. Evidently, identification carries an emotional risk (Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993), and focusing on positive feelings and triggers may mitigate self-alienation and instead enhance individuals' well-being and identification with an organizational collective. In addition, this discussion may contribute to the construct of deep acting (Ashforth & Humphrey, 1993) by presenting actual emotions that individuals feel and express at work. Moreover, our findings show how legitimate identities can also be dynamic and adaptive (Dutton et al., 2009). Our findings illustrate how individuals built on or complemented their work-related identities with new features and extensions. As such, our findings may be seen as contributing to the discussion on adaptive instability as facilitating the implementation of organizational changes and adaptation to internal and external demands (Gioia et al., 2000).

Our study suffered from the usual limitations relating to qualitative case studies, such as a lack of generalizability. Further, the inability to give an extensive case description due to the case company's wish for anonymity may limit the transparency and context familiarity of the study. As for future research avenues, we would suggest focusing more on how different types of organizational environments and contexts influence individual identity formation and strength. In addition, it may be of interest to study individuals' different approaches to change – that is, why some perceive a change as an opportunity, while others perceive the same situation as threatening.

REFERENCES

Albert, S. (1998). The definition and metadefiniton of identity. In D. A. Whetten & P. C. Godfrey (Eds.), *Identity in organizations: Developing theory through conversations* (pp. 1–13). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Albert, S., & Whetten, D. (1985). Organizational identity. In L. L. Cummings & B. M. Staw (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behaviour* (Vol. **7**, pp. 263–295). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Ashforth, B. E., & Humphrey, R. H. (1993). Emotional labor in service roles: The influence of identity. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(1), 88–115.

Ashforth, B. E., & Kreiner, G. (1999). How can you do it? Dirty work and the challenge of constructing a positive identity. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 413–434.

Ashforth B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39.

Ashmore, R. D., Deaux, K., & McLaughlin-Volpe, T. (2004). An organizing framework for collective identity: Articulation and significance of multidimensionality. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(1), 80–114.

Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529.

Bazeley, P. (2007). *Qualitative data analysis with Nvivo*. London, UK: Sage Publications.

Cameron, K. S., Dutton, J. E., & Quinn, R.-E. (2003). Foundations of positive organizational scholarship. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship: Foundations of a new discipline (*pp. 3–13). San Francisco, CA: Berret-Koehler.

Cornelissen, J. P., Haslam, S. A., & Balmer, J. M. T. (2007). Social identity, organizational identity and corporate identity: Towards an integrated understanding of processes, patternings and products. *British Journal of Management*, 18(s1), S1–S16.

Dutton, J., & Dukerich, J. (1991). Keeping an eye on the mirror: Image and identity in organizational adaptation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 517–554.

Dutton, J. E., Dukerich, J. M., & Harquail, C. V. (1994). Organizational images and member identification. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(2), 239–263.

Dutton, J., Roberts, L. M., & Bednar, J. (2010). Pathways for positive identity construction at work: Four types of positive identity and the building social resources. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(2), 265–293.

Dutton, J. E., Roberts, L. M., & Bednar, J. (2009). Positive identities and organizations: An introduction and invitation. In L. M. Roberts & J. E. Dutton (Eds.), *Exploring positive identities and organizations* (pp. *3*–20). New York, NY: Routledge.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550.

Elsbach, K. D., & Kramer, R. M. (1996). Members' responses to organizational identity threats: Encountering and countering the Business Week rankings. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(3), 442–476.

Fisher, C., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2000). The emerging role of emotions in work life: An introduction. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 21(2), 123–129.

Fredrickson, B. L. (2003). Positive emotions and upward spirals in organizations. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship: Foundations of a new discipline (*pp. 163–175). San Francisco, CA: Berret-Koehler.

Frijda, N. H. (1988). The laws of emotion. *American Psychologist*, 43(5), 349–358.

Gecas, V. (1982). The self-concept. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 8, 1–33.

Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2013). Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(1), 15–31.

Gioia, D. A., Schultz, M., & Corley, K. (2000). Organizational identity, image and adaptive instability. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1)**,** 63–82.

Glynn, M. A., & Walsh, I. J. (2009). Commentary: Finding the positive in positive organizational identities. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship: Foundations of a new discipline* (pp. 479–493). San Francisco: Berret-Koehler.

Hall, D. T. (2002). *Careers in and out of organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Humphrey, R. H., Ashforth, B. E., & Diefendorff, J. M. (2015). The bright side of emotional labor. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(6), 749–769.

Jansz, J., & Timmers, M. (2002). Emotional dissonance. When the experience of an emotion jeopardizes an individual's identity. *Theory & Psychology*, 12(1), 79–95.

Johnson, M. D., Morgeson, F. P., & Hekman, D. R. (2012). Cognitive and affective identification: Exploring the links between different forms of social identification and personality with work attitudes and behaviour. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 33(8), 1142–1167.

Kreiner, G. E., Ashforth, B. E., & Sluss, David M. (2006). Identity dynamics in occupational dirty work: Integrating social identity and system justification perspectives, *Organization Science*, 17(5), 619–636.

Kreiner, G. E., & Sheep, M. L. (2009). Growing pains and gains: Framing identity dynamics as opportunities for identity growth. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship: Foundations of a new discipline* (pp. 23–46). San Francisco, CA: Berret-Koehler.

Lazarus, R. (1991). *Emotion and adaptation*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Lazarus, R. (1993). From psychological stress to the emotions: A history of changing outlooks. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 44, 1–21.

Mael, F. & Ashforth, B. E. (1992). Alumni and their alma mater: A partial test of the reformulated model of organizational identification. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 13(2), 103–123.

Marschan-Piekkari, R., & Reis, C. (2004). Language and languages in cross-cultural interviewing. In R. Marschan-Piekkari & C. Welch (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods for International Business* (pp. 224–243). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Pratt, M. (2000). The good, the bad, and the ambivalent: Managing identification among Amway distributors. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(3), 456–493.

Rafaeli, A., & Worline, M. (2001). Individual emotion in work organizations. *Social Science Information*, 40(1), 95–123.

Rafaeli, A., & Vilnai-Yavetz, I. (2004). Instrumentality, aesthetics and symbolism of physical artefacts as triggers of emotion. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, 5(1), 91–112.

Raitis, J. (2015). *Identity and multinational corporations: Building unity within diversity*. Series A-7:2015, Publications of Turku School of Economics. Turku, Finland: Juvenes Print.

Ravasi, D., & Schultz, M. (2006). Responding to organizational identity threats: Exploring the role of organizational culture. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(3), 433–458.

Roberts, L. M., & Dutton, J. E. (2009). *Exploring positive identities and organizations.* London, UK: Routledge.

Rothbard, N. (2001). Enriching or depleting? The dynamics of engagement in work and family roles. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46(4), 655–684.

Saavedra, R., & Kwun, S. K. (2000). Affective states in job characteristics theory. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 21(2), 131–146.

Siggelkow, N. (2007). Persuasion with case studies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 20–24.

Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Tajfel, H. (1982). Social psychology in intergroup relations. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 33(1), 1163–1193.

Van Knippenberg, D., Van Knippenberg, B., Monden, L., & de Lima, F. (2002). Organizational identification after a merger: A social identity perspective. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 41(2), 233–252.

Table 1 Positive emotions in the context of a major organizational change

Positive emotions	Illustrative quotations from the data
HAPPINESS (enthusiastic, happy, optimistic, encouraged, pleased, relieved)	"I was really **glad** after that I'd gone, and it was very positive, and I felt very positive about the future, and about joining these people that I thought were very similar, that I thought I would like to work with. And I thought, we could make something great." "I'm very positive and **excited** about the future and the things we can do." "I'm particularly **happy** because for my particular circumstances, I've been listened to; I've had good dialogue, and we're moving slowly and we're making changes where it makes sense." "So, for me, the **excitement** of going into a completely new role, being, frankly, out of my depth, was very exciting – very exciting indeed. It took me a while to adjust to it and I'm still adjusting now, but these 18 months have been a rollercoaster. There's been exciting things; there's been some fantastic experiences; I've met some great people in this business."
PRIDE (respect, admiration)	"The gravity, to be honest, didn't hit you. The role I had and the responsibility I was being given, out of every [the acquired company] employee, coming into [the acquiring company], I probably got, if not the best opportunity, I was very lucky to get the opportunity I got and I **respect** the role enormously." "I was very **impressed** with their technical expertise. Really impressed, very impressed with Finnish people. I think they're very highly educated. Also, I think one thing that stood out to me was that in the [acquired company] or engineering in the UK in general, it's all men, sadly. But that wasn't the case at [the acquiring company]. A lot of the people, and the high-up people, are women. I'm very impressed with powerful, clever women. So, I was very impressed by that. I thought it was really cool."
RELIEF	"I've done the same kind of job for a long, long time, and I was quite vocal in [the acquired company] that we needed to exit the business and move on. **I didn't see any future**. I didn't see, where it was going, and I was **bored**. I was doing my job in my sleep; it wasn't challenging, paid well. It was a nice job, but I was bored."