- 1 A microplate adaptation of the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances assay to determine lipid
- 2 peroxidation fluorometrically in small sample volumes
- 3 Short version of title: Miniaturization of the TBARS technique
- 4 Espín S.^{1,2 a*}, Sánchez-Virosta P.^{1,2 a}, García-Fernández A.J.², Eeva T.¹
- ⁵ ¹Department of Biology, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland.
- ²Area of Toxicology, Department of Health Sciences, University of Murcia, Campus de Espinardo,
 30100 Murcia, Spain.
- 8 *aNote: S. Espín and P. Sánchez-Virosta have equal contribution to the article*
- 9 **Corresponding author: silvia.espin@um.es / +34868884317*

10 Abstract

A simple, fast, reproducible and low-cost assay for thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) 11 12 has been adapted for use with a microplate spectrofluorometer. The technique allows rapid analysis of multiple samples and requires a very small sample volume (50 µl of red cell homogenates from 13 14 passerine birds at protein concentrations of 3.4-8.9 mg/ml in this study), what is of special interest 15 for biomonitoring studies working with small-sized animals from which a limited amount of sample can be obtained. The TBARS test involves the reaction of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) with 16 malondialdehyde (MDA) under heating (90°C), leading to the formation of products that can be 17 measured fluorometrically using black 384-well plates at excitation/emission wavelength of 532/553 18 nm. The concentrations of peroxidized lipids in samples were determined by extrapolation from a 19 20 MDA standard curve. Two different excitation/emission combinations (532/553 and 530/550 nm) were used and both pairs were suitable for this technique. Intra- and inter-plate variability was < 20%21

and a good linearity of the standard curve was observed ($R^2 > 0.99$). The research use of this 22 microplate adaptation of the TBARS assay will provide further data and understanding of lipid 23 peroxidation reducing the limitation of small sample volume. 24

25 Resumen

El presente trabajo adapta un ensayo sencillo, rápido, reproducible y económico de sustancias 26 27 reactivas al ácido tiobarbitúrico (TBARS) para su uso en espectrofluorómetro para microplacas. La técnica permite un análisis rápido de múltiples muestras y requiere un mínimo volumen de muestra 28 (50 µl de un homogeneizado de eritrocitos de aves paseriformes a una concentración proteica de 3.4-29 30 8.9 mg/ml en este estudio), lo cual resulta de especial interés en estudios de biomonitorización que trabajan con animales de pequeño tamaño de los que se puede obtener una cantidad de muestra 31 limitada. El ensayo TBARS consiste en la reacción del ácido tiobarbitúrico (TBA) con 32 malondialdehído (MDA) en condiciones de calor (90°C), formando productos que pueden medirse 33 fluorométricamente usando microplacas negras de 384 pocillos a 532/553 nm de excitación/emisión. 34 35 La concentración de peróxidos lipídicos en la muestra se determinó por extrapolación de una curva de MDA. Se utilizaron dos combinaciones diferentes de excitación/emisión (532/553 and 530/550 36 nm) y ambas fueron apropiadas para la técnica. La variabilidad intra- e inter-placa fue < 20% y se 37 observó una buena linealidad de la curva estándar ($R^2 > 0.99$). El uso científico de la adaptación a 38 microplaca del ensayo TBARS proporcionará más datos y comprensión sobre la peroxidación lipídica 39 40 reduciendo la limitación que supone los pequeños volúmenes de muestra.

41

Keywords: lipid peroxidation; TBARS; malondialdehyde; oxidative stress; erythrocytes

Palabras clave: peroxidación lipídica; TBARS; malondialdehído; estrés oxidativo; eritrocitos 42

2

43 **1. Introduction**

44 Oxidative processes and the subsequent generation of free radicals are normal in the cellular metabolism (Finkel and Holbrook, 2000). In response to this processes, organisms are equipped with 45 an antioxidant defense system able to inhibit the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and 46 reduce the oxidation and the consequent cellular damage (McGraw, 2011). However, different 47 48 exogenous factors such as the exposure to environmental pollutants, radiation or infections can deplete the major antioxidants of cells and induce ROS generation leading to oxidative stress 49 50 (imbalance between the antioxidant and pro-oxidant levels in favor of the latter; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007), which may cause oxidative damage to membrane lipids (Ahmad, 1995; Schwarz, 51 1996; Bayoumi et al., 2001; Ercal et al., 2001; García-Fernández et al., 2002; Azzam et al., 2012). 52

Lipids are essential to maintain the structure of cell membranes and control the function of cells, and 53 they are the primary targets of the attack by ROS (Yin et al., 2011). The process in which oxidants 54 attack lipids containing carbon-carbon double bond(s), especially polyunsaturated fatty acids 55 (PUFAs), is called lipid peroxidation (Ayala et al., 2014). This process results in a wide variety of 56 oxidation products, the main primary products being the lipid hydroperoxides (LOOH), and two 57 secondary products extensively studied the aldehydes malondialdehyde (MDA) and 4-58 hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) (see references in Ayala et al., 2014). Malondialdehyde and thiobarbituric 59 acid reactive substances (TBARS) have been widely used as standard biomarkers of lipid 60 peroxidation for many years because of its reaction with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) and its simplicity 61 62 and low cost (Ayala et al., 2014; Niki, 2014). The TBARS test involves the reaction of MDA with TBA under acidic condition and heating, leading to the formation of pink-colored and fluorescent 63 64 products that can be measured by colorimetric and fluorometric methods. Although originally it was 65 accepted that the TBARS assay measured MDA, it is not exclusively measuring MDA, but also other aldehydes and decomposition products from hydroperoxides. However, even though there remains a 66

controversy regarding the specificity of TBARS and artefactual production during analytical
processes, it still remains among the most popular and commonly applied assays to determine lipid
peroxidation (Niki, 2014).

70 In the field of toxicology, numerous studies have observed an increase in TBARS values as a response to pollutant-related oxidative stress in different organisms (e.g. Howlett and Avery, 1997; 71 Oakes and Van Der Kraak, 2003; Stepić et al., 2012; Espín et al., 2014a; Osičková et al., 2014). 72 Particularly in avian ecotoxicology, the number of studies evaluating the effects of pollutants such as 73 74 metals on oxidative stress biomarkers (including TBARS) has significantly increased in the last years (Mateo and Hoffman, 2001; Mateo et al., 2003; Koivula and Eeva, 2010; Martínez-Haro et al., 2011; 75 López-Antia et al., 2013; Espín et al., 2014a; 2014b; Ortiz-Santaliestra et al., 2015; Espín et al., 76 77 2016a), and results suggest that TBARS is a convenient, simple and low-cost method that may function as a useful biomarker of pollutant-induced lipid peroxidation in birds. When working with 78 79 wild species and particularly with small animals (e.g. passerine birds), only minimal volumes of 80 sample are available for the analysis of a battery of biomarkers. Therefore, adaptations of techniques 81 to minimize the sample volume are needed in order to be able to evaluate those biomarkers in a wide 82 range of species (Koivula et al., 2011; Espín et al., 2016b). The main aim of this study is to describe a microplate TBARS assay to determine lipid peroxidation in small sample volumes using red blood 83 cells (RBC) from nestlings of a passerine bird species (great tit, *Parus major*). For this purpose, we 84 85 developed an adaptation of the TBARS technique described by Alonso-Álvarez et al. (2008) following the technique by Aust (1985) with different modifications to minimize the sample volume 86 and by using fluorometry. The principle of the assay is based on the fact that different tissues contain 87 88 a mixture of TBARS, including lipid hydroperoxides and aldehydes, and their concentrations increase due to oxidative stress (Alonso-Álvarez et al., 2008). 89

90 2. Reagent preparation

91 The sodium chloride (NaCl, 0.9%) was prepared by dissolving 0.9 g NaCl (27810.295, PROLABO, 92 VWR Chemicals[™]) in 100 ml milliQ-water. For TBARS reagent preparation (15% trichloroacetic acid, TCA; 0.25 N hydrochloric acid, HCl; 0.375% 2-thiobarbituric acid, TBA), 7.5 g TCA 93 (1.00807.0100, EMSURE, Merck[™]), 0.1875 g TBA (T-5500, Sigma[™]) and 1.035 ml HCl (37%; 94 30721, Riedel-de Haën[™]) were dissolved in 50 ml milliQ-water. The butylated hydroxytoluene 95 (BHT, 2%) was prepared by dissolving 0.2 g BHT (B-1378, Sigma[™]) in 10 ml ethanol (99.5%, 96 ALTIA OyjTM). Finally, the stock malonaldehyde solution (MDA, 417 µM) for the standard curve 97 was prepared by dissolving 17.25µl MDA (malonaldehyde bis (dimethyl acetal) or 1,1,3,3-98 99 Tetramethoxypropan 99%; 10,838-3, AldrichTM) in 250 ml ethanol. All the reagents were stored at 100 4°C.

101 **3.** Method procedure

102 **3.1.** Sample collection

This method is described for bird erythrocytes, but it can be applicable to other biological sample types. Blood samples from great tit nestlings (14 days old) were collected during the breeding season 2015 in southwestern Finland. Blood samples (approximately 75 μ l) were collected by venipuncture of the brachial vein with a needle and using sodium-heparinized microhematocrit capillary tubes (80 iu/ml, MarienfeldTM). Tubes were centrifuged in the field (4400 g, 5 min) and RBCs were split in 200- μ l microcentrifuge tubes and kept in liquid nitrogen and then conserved at -80°C in the laboratory. A total of 100 RBC samples were used in this study.

110 3.2. Sample and MDA standard curve preparation

111 RBC were homogenized in 0.9% NaCl to maximize the volume and to get protein concentrations between 3.4 and 8.9 mg/ml, working on ice to avoid oxidation. The protein concentration (mg/ml) 112 113 was measured using the Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit from ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA. In brief, the BCA reaction mix is made according to the kit instructions (50:1, 114 115 BCA Reagent A:B). A serial dilution of bovine serum albumin (BSA, 10 mg/ml) is used as protein standard. One µl of each BSA standard dilution, control (salmon liver) or sample is pipetted in a 116 117 transparent 384-well plate in triplicate. Then, 50 µl of BCA reaction mix are added to each well with a multichannel pipette and briefly mixed using a plate shaker. Then the plate is incubated at 37 °C for 118 119 30 min. Finally, the protein concentration is measured spectrophotometrically at an absorbance of 120 562 nm.

Fifty μ l of each homogenate was split in a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube for TBARS assay, and the remaining was divided into different microcentrifuge tubes for other oxidative stress measurements. For 5 of the samples, 250 μ l of homogenate was divided in 5 tubes (50 μ l per tube) in order to use them as controls in the different plates and evaluate the inter-assay precision. All measurements (standards, controls and samples) were done in triplicate in each plate to evaluate the intra-assay variability.

Seven standard dilutions of MDA (from 0 to 0.5 nmol/ml) were prepared using the stock MDA 127 128 solution (417 µM) and milliQ-water according to the instructions provided in Table 1. Firstly, 100 µl from the stock MDA solution were dissolved in 900 µl of milliQ-water to prepare solution C, 100 µl 129 from solution C were dissolved in 900 µl of milliQ-water to prepare solution B, and 149.9 µl from 130 solution B were dissolved in 850.1 µl of milliQ-water to prepare solution A. The standard point 131 number 7 was prepared by dissolving 800 µl from solution A in 200 µl of milliQ-water, the standard 132 point number 6 was prepared by dissolving 500 µl from standard 7 in 500 µl of milliQ-water, etc., 133 following the process shown in Table 1. 134

135 [Table 1 near here]

136 3.3. TBARS assay description

This method is described to work in sets of 19 different unknown samples, 5 control samples and a standard curve of 7 points. Therefore, 95 unknown samples were analyzed in 5 different assays, and the other 5 unknown samples were used as control samples in all the assays. Several sets can be done the same day, and a standard curve should always be included in each assay in order to calculate the final MDA concentration.

142 Before starting the assay, a set of 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 500 µl of water is prepared (31 tubes in total, one per standard point, sample and control). Tubes are labelled with the 143 144 standard number or sample identification code and a glass insert (with conical base and plastic bottom 145 spring, 6 x 29 mm) is introduced inside each tube (the water will facilitate the heat transfer to the sample that will be inside the glass insert). The standard curve and a 1:100 mix of BHT 2% and 146 147 TBARS reagent (a mix of 40 µl BHT and 4 ml TBARS reagent will be needed for each set of 19 148 samples, 5 controls and the standard curve) are prepared daily. All reagents except samples, controls and standards must be equilibrated to room temperature before beginning the assay. 149

150 A diagram summarizing the assay protocol is shown in Figure 1.

151 [Figure 1 near here]

The tubes with 50 μ l of the RBC homogenates and 7 tubes with 50 μ l of each standard point are kept on ice and mixed with 100 μ l of the mix TBARS reagent plus BHT. The whole mix is transferred to glass inserts kept inside appropriately labelled 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes with 500 μ l of water, and then warmed for 30 min at 90°C in a thermoblock. During the incubation in the thermoblock, keep the microcentrifuge tubes open and place stainless steel balls (6 mm) covering all the glass inserts. The steel balls prevent the sample evaporation but allow the escape of excess gas. After the incubation, the steel balls are removed, the tubes are closed carefully with the inserts inside,

and the samples are cooled in ice-water for 10 min to stop the reaction. The tubes are centrifuged for 159 15 min at 6°C and 2100 g. Subsequently, the 7 standard points, samples and controls are pipetted in 160 the microplate in triplicate (a total of 93 wells are used). A volume of 30 µl of supernatant in triplicate 161 162 (30 µl per well) is pipetted in black 384-well plates (OptiPlate, PerkinElmer), keeping the plate on ice while pipetting. There is no specific pattern for using the wells on the microplate and it is not 163 necessary to use all the wells on the microplate at one time. Supernatant has to be taken with caution 164 165 while pipetting it in the microplate to avoid the pellet-supernatant mixture after centrifugation. Glass inserts with conical base and plastic bottom spring (6 x 29 mm) are recommended since the conical 166 base will help to keep the pellet at the bottom of the insert after centrifugation. Some trials were done 167 168 using glass inserts with flat base and there were pellet-supernatant mixture problems. It is also possible to filter the sample before pipetting. However, part of the sample can be lost during this 169 process and it should be done carefully in order to have enough volume for the triplicates (90 µl in 170 171 total).

Finally, the fluorescence intensity (FI) is measured at an excitation/emission wavelength of
532/553 and 530/550 nm with the microplate spectrofluorometer (EnSpire 2300 Multilabel Reader,
PerkinElmerTM).

175 3.4. Calculations

After calculating the mean fluorescence for triplicate measurements of each standard, control and
sample, the coefficient of variability (CV) for triplicates is determined as follows (equation 1):

178
$$CV(\%) = (SD/M) \times 100$$
 (1)

where SD is the standard deviation and M is the arithmetic mean value for the repeated measurements. If a high dispersion of triplicates is observed (CV values > 20%), this may be due to pipetting errors or presence of bubbles in the well. A meticulous pipetting is recommended to prevent sample splash 182 from the wells and the plate can be carefully tapped with the fingers to remove bubbles before the FI 183 measurement.

The fluorescence values of each standard are plotted as a function of the MDA concentration by linear regression analysis [y = (slope) x + y-intercept]. The concentrations of peroxidized lipids in samples and controls are determined by extrapolation from the MDA standard curve from each assay. This way, we will obtain the nmol of MDA per ml of homogenate (equation 2).

188

MDA (nmol/ml homogenate) =
$$[(FI - y-intercept) / slope]$$
 (2)

189 If MDA is not detected in the samples, this may be due to a low MDA concentration or the 190 sample being too diluted, thus a lower RBC dilution in order to have a more concentrated homogenate 191 may help to detect MDA.

As explained before, the total protein concentrations were analyzed in the same homogenates and were expressed as mg per ml of homogenate. The final MDA concentration can be expressed in relation to the mg of protein in RBC homogenates (nmol of MDA per mg of protein). Alternatively, the final MDA concentration can be expressed in relation to the amount or volume of the original tissue (e.g. nmol of MDA per mg of RBC, nmol of MDA per ml of blood). In this case, the sample values must be corrected for any dilutions performed during sample preparation, and the original sample amount/volume must be recorded.

199 4. Fluorescence measurement (excitation and emission wavelengths)

In fluorometric determination of TBARS, discrepant data for excitation and emission wavelengths have been reported in literature (Yin, 1995). Although TBARS assay can be measured by colorimetric methods (absorbance at 535 nm), higher volumes of sample are needed to obtain reliable data. However, fluorometric assays may be more sensitive and, therefore, more suitable for small amount of sample and samples containing low lipid peroxidation products (Yagi, 1976; Jo and Ahn, 1998). According to Yagi (1976), the excitation/emission maxima of the MDA-TBA reaction product were observed at 532/553 nm, while Yin (1995) found an excitation/emission maxima of the MDA-TBA product at 536/549 nm. The latter recommends excitation/emission wavelengths as close as possible to these values in order to obtain the greatest sensitivity.

In the present study, the fluorescence excitation/emission spectrum was studied on a 209 microplate spectrofluorometer (EnSpire 2300 Multilabel Reader, PerkinElmerTM) by scanning 210 wavelengths of an excitation light while a wavelength in the emission detector was fixed and vice 211 212 versa, and the highest fluorescence intensity was observed at an excitation wavelength of 530-535 nm and an emission wavelength of 550-555 nm. Therefore, the fluorometric measurement at 213 214 excitation/emission wavelengths of 532/553 nm reported by Yagi (1976) was used. This was possible because of the ability of our instrument to select any specific wavelength; however, some instruments 215 do not have this flexibility. Therefore, all the plates were also measured at 530/550 nm according to 216 217 other methods described in the literature. The excitation/emission wavelength of 536/549 nm could not be tested since a minimum distance of 20 nm between excitation and emission wavelengths is 218 219 needed in our instrument. Figure 2 shows the mean standard curves plotting fluorescence and MDA 220 concentration (nmol/ml) obtained at 532/553 nm and 530/550 nm. Measurements at excitation/emission wavelengths of 532/553 nm and 530/550 nm provided very similar intra- and 221 222 inter-assay CV and fluorescence intensity for control samples and standards, and the linearity of the standard curve showed R^2 values > 0.99 (Table 2), thus both excitation/emission pairs seem to be 223 suitable for this technique. 224

[Table 2 near here]

[Figure 2 near here]

227 **5.** Method validation

228 The precision of an assay can be described using repeatability and reproducibility tests. Repeatability

is used to prove the ability to provide similar results when the measurement is repeated in the same 229 sample under the same operating conditions and by the same operator. It is also called intra-assay 230 precision. Reproducibility expresses the ability to provide similar results when the technique is 231 232 repeated in the same sample but by different operators or different laboratories. The effect of random events on the precision of the assay can be also tested, and a typical variation to be studied is the 233 inter-assay precision in different days. The precision of the analytical procedure is usually expressed 234 as the CV of a series of measurements. Repeatability and reproducibility acceptance criterion was set 235 at $CV \le 20\%$. To validate the repeatability and reproducibility of the method, standards, samples and 236 aliquots of a subset of 5 different samples (control samples) were analyzed in the 5 assays developed 237 by 2 different researchers (S.E. and P.S-V.) in 2 different days. All measurements (standards, samples 238 and controls) in each plate were done in triplicates to evaluate the intra-assay precision, reflecting the 239 variability among triplicate determinations within the same assay run. The intra-assay CV was < 10% 240 for the 100 samples/controls and the standards analyzed. The inter-assay variation when comparing 241 assays done at different days was < 20% for both the standard curve and the control samples, and the 242 243 variation when the standards and control samples were analyzed by different researchers was < 10%244 (Table 2). These results indicate that the method can be considered acceptable for the analysis of TBARS. 245

The linearity of the standard curve is evaluated to determine the proportionality between the concentration of MDA in the standard points and the FI. In the present study, the linearity was calculated using 7 different standard points (from 0 to 0.5 nmol MDA/ml, Table 1). Each standard point was analyzed in triplicate and a different standard curve was analyzed in each assay. Linear regression of data to a calibration curve was performed, and the linearity was accepted when $R^2 >$ 0.95. A good linearity was found in all the assays, since R^2 was above 0.99 (Table 2).

252 **6.** Conclusions

The microplate assay herein described is a simple, fast, reproducible and economical fluorometric 253 method for TBARS determination in small sample volumes. Only 50 µl of diluted RBC homogenates 254 are needed, what is of special interest for biomonitoring studies working with animals of small size 255 from which a limited amount of sample can be obtained non-destructively. In addition, multiple 256 samples may be analyzed simultaneously. This TBARS microplate assay format may be easily 257 adapted to measure TBARS in different sample types and species using the appropriate concentrations 258 in the standard curve. The research use of this microplate adaptation of the TBARS assay will provide 259 260 further data and understanding of lipid peroxidation in different organisms reducing the limitation of 261 small sample volumes.

262

263 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland under project 265859 to T. Eeva and by Séneca 264 Foundation (CARM) under MASCA'2014 Project (19481/PI/14) to A.J. García-Fernández. S. Espín 265 is financially supported by the Academy of Finland and by the Consejería de Educación y 266 Universidades de la CARM through Fundación Séneca-Agencia de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Región 267 de Murcia (Project 20031/SF/16 to S. Espín). P. Sánchez-Virosta is funded by the University of Turku 268 Graduate School – UTUGS. Thanks to M.P. Aldeguer and A. Salas for their help during the first trials 269 270 of the technique, M. Kanerva for her help with the fluorometric measurements, and S. Ruiz, L. Winder, M. Rainio, and J. Nurmi for their help during sample collection. Special thanks to R. Mateo, 271 M.E. Ortiz-Santaliestra and M. Martínez-Haro for their collaboration in teaching the original 272 273 technique for higher sample volumes.

274 **Declaration of interest statement**

275 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

276 **References**

- Ahmad S. Oxidative Stress from Environmental Pollutants. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 1995; 29
 (2), 135–157.
- Alonso-Álvarez C, Pérez-Rodríguez L, Mateo R, Chastel O, Viñuela J. The Oxidation Handicap
 Hypothesis and the Carotenoid Allocation Trade-Off. J. Evol. Biol. 2008; 21 (6), 1789–1797.
- Aust SD. Lipid peroxidation. Handbook of Methods for Oxygen Radical Research. R.A. Greenwald.
 CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1985. p. 203–207.
- Ayala A, Muñoz MF, Argüelles S. Lipid Peroxidation: Production, Metabolism, and Signaling
 Mechanisms of Malondialdehyde and 4-Hydroxy-2-Nonenal. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2014.
 e360438.
- Azzam EI, Jay-Gerin J-P, Pain D. Ionizing radiation-induced metabolic oxidative stress and prolonged cell injury. Cancer. Lett. 2012; 327, 48–60.
- Bayoumi AE, García-Fernández AJ, Ordóñez C, Pérez-Pertejo Y, Cubría JC, Reguera RM, BalañaFouce R, Ordóñez D. Cyclodiene Organochlorine Insecticide-Induced Alterations in the
 Sulfur-Redox Cycle in CHO-K1 Cells. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C. 2001; 130, 315–323.
- Ercal N, Gurer-Orhan H, Aykin-Burns N. Toxic Metals and Oxidative Stress Part I: Mechanisms
 Involved in Metal-Induced Oxidative Damage. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2001; 1 (6), 529–539.
- Espín S, Martínez-López E, Jiménez P, María-Mojica P, García-Fernández AJ. Effects of Heavy
 Metals on Biomarkers for Oxidative Stress in Griffon Vulture (*Gyps Fulvus*). Environ. Res.
 2014a; 129, 59–68.
- Espín S, Martínez-López E, Jiménez P, María-Mojica P, García-Fernández AJ. Interspecific
 Differences in the Antioxidant Capacity of Two Laridae Species Exposed to Metals. Environ.
 Res. 2016a; 147, 115–124.

- Espín S, Martínez-López E, León-Ortega M, Martínez JE, García-Fernández AJ. Oxidative Stress
 Biomarkers in Eurasian Eagle Owls (*Bubo Bubo*) in Three Different Scenarios of Heavy Metal
 Exposure. Environ. Res. 2014b; 131, 134–144.
- Espín S, Ruiz S, Sánchez-Virosta P, Eeva T. Effects of Calcium Supplementation on Growth and
 Biochemistry in Two Passerine Species Breeding in a Ca-Poor and Metal-Polluted Area.
 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2016b; 23, 9809-9821.
- Finkel T, Holbrook N.J. Oxidants, Oxidative Stress and the Biology of Ageing. Nature 2000; 408
 (6809), 239–247.
- García-Fernández AJ, Bayoumi AE, Pérez-Pertejo Y, Romero D, Ordóñez C, Reguera RM, Balaña Fouce R, Ordóñez D. Changes in Glutathione-Redox Balance Induced by
 Hexachlorocyclohexane and Lindane in CHO-K1 Cells. Xenobiotica 2002; 32, 1007–1016.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge J. Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine. 4th ed. Oxford University Press,
 USA. 2007.
- Howlett NG, Avery SV. Induction of Lipid Peroxidation during Heavy Metal Stress in
 Saccharomyces Cerevisiae and Influence of Plasma Membrane Fatty Acid Unsaturation.
 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1997; 63 (8), 2971–2976.
- Jo C, Ahn DU. Fluorometric Analysis of 2-Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances in Turkey. Poult.
 Sci. 1998; 77 (3), 475–480.
- Koivula MJ, Eeva T. Metal-Related Oxidative Stress in Birds. Environ. Pollut. 2010; 158 (7), 2359–
 2370.
- Koivula MJ, Kanerva M, Salminen J-P, Nikinmaa M, Eeva T. Metal Pollution Indirectly Increases
 Oxidative Stress in Great Tit (*Parus Major*) Nestlings. Environ. Res. 2011; 111 (3), 362–370.
- 321 López-Antia A., Ortiz-Santaliestra ME, Mougeot F, Mateo R. Experimental Exposure of Red-Legged
- 322 Partridges (*Alectoris Rufa*) to Seeds Coated with Imidacloprid, Thiram and Difenoconazole.
- 323 Ecotoxicology 2013; 22 (1), 125–138.

324	Martínez-Haro M, Green AJ, Mateo R. Effects of Lead Exposure on Oxidative Stress Biomarkers and
325	Plasma Biochemistry in Waterbirds in the Field. Environ. Res. 2011; 111 (4), 530–538.

- Mateo R, Hoffman DJ. Differences in Oxidative Stress between Young Canada Geese and Mallards
 Exposed to Lead-Contaminated Sediment. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health. A. 2001; 64 (7), 531–
 545.
- Mateo R, Beyer WN, Spann JW, Hoffman DJ, Ramis A. Relationship between Oxidative Stress,
 Pathology, and Behavioral Signs of Lead Poisoning in Mallards. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health.
 A. 2003; 66 (14), 1371–1389.
- McGraw KJ. Avian Antioxidants and Oxidative Stress: Highlights from Studies of Food, Physiology,
 and Feathers. In Mandelker L, Vajdovich P (Eds.), Studies on Veterinary Medicine. 2011. p.
 161–174.
- Niki E. Biomarkers of Lipid Peroxidation in Clinical Material. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Gen.
 Subj. 2014; 1840 (2), 809–817.
- Oakes KD, Van Der Kraak GJ. Utility of the TBARS Assay in Detecting Oxidative Stress in White
 Sucker (*Catostomus Commersoni*) Populations Exposed to Pulp Mill Effluent. Aquat.
 Toxicol. 2003; 63 (4), 447–463.
- Ortiz-Santaliestra ME, Resano-Mayor J, Hernández-Matías A, Rodríguez-Estival J, Camarero PR,
 Moleón M, Real J, Mateo R. Pollutant Accumulation Patterns in Nestlings of an Avian Top
 Predator: Biochemical and Metabolic Effects. Sci. Total. Environ. 2015; 538, 692–702.
- Osičková J, Banďouchová H, Kováčová V, Král J, Novotný L, Ondráček K, Pohanka M, et al.
 Oxidative Stress and Liver Damage in Birds Exposed to Diclofenac and Lead. Acta. Vet.
 Brno. 2014; 83 (4), 299–304.
- Schwarz KB. Oxidative Stress during Viral Infection: A Review. Free. Radic. Biol. Med. 1996; 21
 (5), 641–649.

348	Stepić S, Hackenberger BK, Hackenberger DK, Velki M, Lončarić Ž. Impact of Oxidative Stress
349	Indicated by Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS) and Protein Carbonyl Levels
350	(PC) on Ethoxyresorufin-O-Deethylase (EROD) Induction in Common Carp (Cyprinus
351	Carpio). Water. Air. Soil. Pollut. 2012; 223 (8), 4785-4793.
352	Yagi K. A Simple Fluorometric Assay for Lipoperoxide in Blood Plasma. Biochem. Med. 1976; 15

353 (2), 212–216.

- 354 Yin D. Appropriate Excitation/emission Wavelengths for Fluorometric Determination of
 355 Thiobarbituric Acid-Reactive Substances. Clin. Chem. 1995; 41 (2), 329–330.
- 356 Yin H, Xu L, Porter NA. Free Radical Lipid Peroxidation: Mechanisms and Analysis. Chem. Rev.
- 357 2011; 111 (10), 5944–5972.

Table 1. Standard curve preparation (7 points) for a microplate adaptation of the TBARS assay

MDA concentration (nmol/ml)	0	0.03125	0.0625	0.09375	0.125	0.25	0.5	0.625	4.17	41.7	417
Standard number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	А	В	С	Stock
MilliQ-water (µl)	1000	500	500	977.52	500	500	200	850.1	900	900	
MDA (µl)	0	500 from 3	500 from 5	22,48 from B	500 from 6	500 from 7	800 from A	149.9 from B	100 from C	100 from Stock	

MDA: malondialdehyde

Table reading: 100 μ l from the stock MDA solution were dissolved in 900 μ l of milliQ-water to prepare solution C, 100 μ l from solution C were dissolved in 900 μ l of milliQ-water to prepare solution B, and 149.9 μ l from solution B were dissolved in 850.1 μ l of milliQ-water to prepare solution A. The standard points (1-7) were prepared by dissolving X μ l from solution X in X μ l of milliQ-

358 359 360 361 362

water as shown in the table.

Table 2. Validation parameters (i.e. intra/inter-assay coefficient of variability and linearity of the standard curve) for a microplate adaptation of the TBARS assay

Excitation/emission wavelength	Sample type	Intra-assay CV (%) ^a	Inter-assay CV (%, global) ^b	Inter-assay CV (%, different days) ^c	Inter-assay CV (%, different researchers) ^d	Linearity (R ²) ^e
532/553 nm	Control	2.73 (1.78-4.02)	15.95 (11.66-19.36)	14.38 (13.34-16.05)	5.89 (1.67-8.59)	-
	Standard curve	4.13 (2.70-5.35)	12.03 (3.34-27.03)	4.85 (0.53-16.19)	8.40 (0.76-26.00)	0.995 (0.991-0.999)
530/550 nm	Control	2.66 (1.86-3.15)	15.38 (10.94-20.24)	13.99 (10.80-17.04)	4.98 (0.55-7.99)	-
	Standard curve	4.13 (3.29-5.44)	11.03 (1.31-22.51)	3.87 (0.06-15.04)	7.30 (0.72-21.65)	0.994 (0.991-0.998)

^a Intra-assay precision reflects variability among triplicates within the same assay run (same microplate) (mean, min and max CV for 5 control samples or 7 standard points in the 5 plates) ^b Inter-assay precision (global) reflects variability among microplates for the same sample/standard (mean, min and max CV for 5 control samples or 7 standard

points)

^c Inter-assay precision (different days) reflects variability with time (mean, min and max CV for 5 control samples or 7 standard points)

^d Inter-assay precision (different researchers) reflects variability among researchers (mean, min and max CV for 5 control samples or 7 standard points)

^e Linearity calculated using 7 different standard points from 0 to 0.5 nmol MDA/ml (mean, min and max R² for 5 standard curves)

363

364 Leyends of figures

365 Figure 1. Diagram summarizing the microplate TBARS assay protocol.



Figure 2. Standard curves plotting fluorescence intensity (FI) and MDA concentration (nmol/ml) at 532/553 nm and 530/550 nm of excitation/emission wavelengths. Each standard point corresponds to the mean value \pm SD of 5 different curves (in each curve all the standard points were measured in triplicate).



371