



# On starlike functions related with the convex conic domain

Rahim Kargar<sup>a,\*</sup>, Janusz Sokół<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

<sup>b</sup>University of Rzeszów, College of Natural Sciences, ul. Prof. Pigonia 1, 35-310 Rzeszów, Poland

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi)

---

## Abstract

In the present paper, we study a new subclass  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $p$ -valent functions and obtain some inequalities concerning the coefficients for the desired class. Also, by using the Hadamard product, we define a new general operator and find a condition such that it belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

*Keywords:* Analytic functions;  $p$ -valent functions; Generalized Bessel function; Gaussian hypergeometric function; Hadamard product.

*2010 MSC:* 30C45

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the unit disk  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Further, we write  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ . A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| + p\alpha \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [rakarg@utu.fi](mailto:rakarg@utu.fi), [rkargar1983@gmail.com](mailto:rkargar1983@gmail.com) (Rahim Kargar), [jsokol@ur.edu.pl](mailto:jsokol@ur.edu.pl) (Janusz Sokół)

for some  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ . Notice that (1.1) follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < p\alpha \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{1.2}$$

because  $\beta$  is negative or 0. Moreover, if we write (1.1) in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{|F(z) - p|}{\operatorname{Re} \{p\alpha - F(z)\}} < \frac{1}{-\beta} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$F(z) := \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

then we see that the relation between the distance  $F(z)$  from the focus  $p$  and the distance  $F(z)$  from the directrix  $\operatorname{Re} \{w\} = p\alpha$  depends on the eccentricity  $-1/\beta$ . Therefore, if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $F(z)$ ,  $z \in \Delta$  lies in a convex conic domain: elliptic when  $\beta < -1$ , parabolic when  $\beta = -1$  and hyperbolic when  $-1 < \beta < 0$ , or  $F(\Delta)$  is the half-plane (1.2), for  $\beta = 0$ .

The class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  cover many subclasses considered earlier by various authors, see [2, 3, 5, 7]. We remark that the class  $\mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{MD}(\alpha, \beta)$  was investigated earlier by J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa [4].

In this work we shall be mainly concerned with functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  of the form

$$\left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^\mu = 1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k z^k \quad (\mu > 0, z \in \Delta \cup \{1\}), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $(z^p/f(z))^\mu$  represents principal powers (i.e. the principal branch of  $(z^p/f(z))^\mu$  is chosen).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some inequalities for the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . In Section 3, by using the Hadamard product and applying the generalized Bessel function and the Gaussian hypergeometric function we introduce a new operator which we denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z)$ . As an application, we prove that the operator  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

## 2. Main Results

Our first result is contained in the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the function  $f$  be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and let  $f$  be of the form (1.3) for some  $b_k$  such that*

$$b_k \geq 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \{p, p + 1, p + 2, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k < 1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=p}^\infty [p\mu(\alpha - 1) + k(1 - \beta)]b_k \leq p\mu(\alpha - 1), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\beta \leq 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Proof .** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . A simple calculation gives us

$$z \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^\mu = \mu \left[ p \left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^\mu - \left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu+1} \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, by use of the above relation (2.2), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| + p\alpha$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( p + \frac{-\frac{z}{\mu} \frac{d}{dz} (z^p/f(z))^\mu}{(z^p/f(z))^\mu} \right) < \beta \left| \frac{-\frac{z}{\mu} \frac{d}{dz} (z^p/f(z))^\mu}{(z^p/f(z))^\mu} \right| + p\alpha.$$

Since  $f$  is of the form (1.3), the last inequality may be equivalently written as

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( p + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k z^k} \right) < \frac{\beta}{\mu} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k z^k} \right| + p\alpha. \tag{2.3}$$

Now suppose that  $z \in \Delta$  is real and tends to  $1^-$  through reals, then from the last inequality (2.3), we get

$$\mu p + \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k} \leq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k} \right| + p\mu\alpha$$

or equivalently

$$\mu p + \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k} \leq \beta \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k} + p\mu\alpha,$$

which gives (2.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Taking  $p = \mu = 1$  in the above Theorem 2.1, we get the result that was recently obtained by Aghalary et al. [1, Theorem 2.1 with  $n = 1$ ].

Next we derive the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  be of the form (1.3) with  $\mu > 0$ . If

$$\sum_{k=p}^\infty [p\mu(\alpha - 1) + k(1 - \beta)]|b_k| < p\mu(\alpha - 1), \tag{2.4}$$

then  $f$  is in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Proof .** In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we saw the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - p\alpha < \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k z^k} \right) - p\mu(\alpha - 1) < \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^\infty kb_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^\infty b_k z^k} \right|.$$

Thus, to show that  $f$  is in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , it suffices to prove that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k b_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} b_k z^k} \right) - \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k b_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} b_k z^k} \right| < p\mu(\alpha - 1). \tag{2.5}$$

Note that from (2.4), we obtain that

$$1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |b_k| > 0.$$

Therefore one can rewrite (2.4) in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |b_k|} - \beta \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |b_k|} < p\mu(\alpha - 1). \tag{2.6}$$

Because  $\beta \leq 0$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k b_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} b_k z^k} \right) - \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k b_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} b_k z^k} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |b_k|} - \beta \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |b_k|}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then (2.6) and (2.7) immediately follow (2.5) and therefore,  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $(z/f(z))^\mu = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  with  $\mu > 0$ . If the function  $f$  satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mu(\alpha - 1) + k(1 - \beta)] |b_k| < \mu(\alpha - 1),$$

for some  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $f$  is in the class  $\mathcal{MD}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Remark 2.5.** *The case  $p = \mu = 1$  in Theorem 2.3 was obtained by Aghalary et al. [1, Theorem 2.2].*

**Theorem 2.6.** *A function  $f$  of the form  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , if*

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} [p\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] |a_k| < p(\alpha - 1), \tag{2.8}$$

for some  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Proof .** If the function  $f$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}_p$ , then by definition of the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - p\alpha < \beta \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right|$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right) - p\alpha < \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k - 1) a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right|.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right) - \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k - 1) a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right| < p\alpha. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that from (2.8), we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| > 0,$$

thus from (2.8) we obtain that

$$\frac{p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k|a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} - \beta \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k-1)|a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} < p\alpha. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{p + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right) - \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} (k-1) a_k z^{k-p}}{1 + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}} \right| \\ \leq \frac{p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k|a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} - \beta \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (k-1)|a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, (2.10) and (2.11) follow (2.9). This completes the proof.  $\square$

Putting  $p = 1$  in Theorem 2.6 we have:

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] |a_k| < \alpha - 1,$$

for some  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\beta \leq 0$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{MD}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

At the end of this section, by Theorem 2.6 we consider an example for the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Example 2.8.** *Define the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  as follows*

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{p^2(\alpha - 1)e^{i\theta}}{[p\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)]k(k - 1)} z^k,$$

where  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\beta \leq 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the coefficients inequality (2.8) yields

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} [p\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] |a_k| = p^2(\alpha - 1) \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k - 1)} = p(\alpha - 1),$$

which shows  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

### 3. Applications

In [6] Porwal and Dixit considered the function  $\mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}(z) = 2^d \Gamma \left( d + \frac{e + 1}{2} \right) z^{-d/2} w_{d,e,\delta}(z^{1/2}),$$

where  $w_{d,e,\delta}$  is called the generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order  $d$  and has the familiar representation

$$w_{d,e,\delta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \delta^k}{k! \Gamma(d + k + \frac{e+1}{2})} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{2k+d} \quad (z, d, e, \delta \in \mathbb{C}).$$

It is easy to see the function  $\mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}$  has the following representation

$$\mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\delta/4)^k z^k}{\left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_k k!}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $d + \frac{e+1}{2} \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$  and  $(x)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_n := \begin{cases} 1, & (n = 0); \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n-1), & (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

We remark that the function  $\mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}(z)$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The Gaussian hypergeometric function  $F(a, b; c; z)$  is given by

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k (1)_k} z^k \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . We note that  $F(a, b; c; 1)$  converges for  $\text{Re}(a - b - c) > 0$  and is related to the Gamma function by

$$F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c - a - b)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \quad (\text{Re}(c - a - b) > 0).$$

Now by using (3.1) and (3.2) we introduce a new function  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z) : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$  defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z) = z^p (F(a, b; c; z) * \mathcal{U}_{d,e,\delta}(z)),$$

where ” \* ” is the well-known Hadamard product. The function  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z)$  has the following representation

$$\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-p} \frac{(a)_{k-p} (b)_{k-p} (\delta/4)^{k-p}}{(c)_{k-p} \left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_{k-p} [(1)_{k-p}]^2} z^k.$$

We set  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(1, e, \delta)(z) \equiv \mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(e, \delta)(z)$ . Applying Theorem 2.6 we have the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $e, d \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also, assume that  $\delta, d + \frac{e+1}{2} > 0$  and  $c$  is a real number such that  $c > |a| + |b| + 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(p, e, \delta)(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if*

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} [p\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] \frac{|(a)_{k-p} (b)_{k-p}| (\delta/4)^{k-p}}{(c)_{k-p} \left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_{k-p} [(k-p)!]^2} < p(\alpha - 1). \tag{3.3}$$

**Proof .** Let  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{A}_p$ . By virtue of Theorem 2.6, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} [p\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] \left| \frac{(-1)^{k-p} (a)_{k-p} (b)_{k-p} (\delta/4)^{k-p}}{(c)_{k-p} \left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_{k-p} [(1)_{k-p}]^2} \right| < p(\alpha - 1).$$

Some reductions give (3.3)  $\square$

Setting  $p = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we have:

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $e, d \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta, d + \frac{e+1}{2} > 0$  and  $c$  is a real number such that  $c > |a| + |b| + 1$ , then a sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(e, \delta)(z) \in \mathcal{MD}(\alpha, \beta)$  is that*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\alpha + \beta + k(1 - \beta)] \frac{|(a)_{k-1}(b)_{k-1}|(\delta/4)^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} \left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_{k-1} [(k-1)!]^2} < \alpha - 1.$$

If we take  $\beta = 0$  in Corollary 3.2, we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $e, d \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta, d + \frac{e+1}{2} > 0$  and  $c$  is a real number such that  $c > |a| + |b| + 1$ , then a sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{I}_{c,d}^{a,b}(e, \delta)(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$  is that*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\alpha + k] \frac{|(a)_{k-1}(b)_{k-1}|(\delta/4)^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} \left(d + \frac{e+1}{2}\right)_{k-1} [(k-1)!]^2} < \alpha - 1.$$

The class  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$  was considered by Uralegaddi et al. [7], Nishiwaki and Owa [3], and Owa and Nishiwaki [5].

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of our manuscript.

### References

- [1] R. Aghalary, A. Ebadian and Z. Orouji, *Certain properties of rational functions involving Bessel functions*, Tamkang J. Math. **43** (2012), 391–398.
- [2] R. M. Ali, M. Hussain, V. Ranichandran and K. G. Subramanian, *A class of multivalent functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution*, J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math. **6** (2005), 1–9.
- [3] J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, *Coefficient inequalities for analytic functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **29** (2002), 285–290.
- [4] J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, *Certain classes of analytic functions concerned with uniformly starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Comp. **187** (2007), 350–357.
- [5] S. Owa and J. Nishiwaki, *Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions*, J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math. **3** (2002), 1–5.
- [6] S. Porwal and K. K. Dixit, *An application of generalized Bessel functions on certain analytic functions*, Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math., Issue 2013, 51–57.
- [7] B. A. Uralegaddi, M. D. Ganigi and S. A. Sarangi, *Univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Tamkang J. Math. **25** (1994), 225–290.