



**UNIVERSITY  
OF TURKU**

This is a self-archived – parallel published version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details. When using please cite the original.

**AUTHOR** Marja A Heiskanen, Anna Aatsinki, Petra Hakonen, Noora Kartiosuo, Eveliina Munukka, Leo Lahti, Anniina Keskitalo, Pentti Huovinen, Harri Niinikoski, Jorma Viikari, Tapani Rönnemaa, Hanna Lagström, Antti Jula, Olli Raitakari, Suvi P Rovio, Katja Pahkala

**TITLE** Association of Long-Term Habitual Dietary Fiber Intake since Infancy with Gut Microbiota Composition in Young Adulthood

**YEAR** 2024

**DOI** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2024.01.008>

**VERSION** Final Draft

**COPYRIGHT** License: [CC BY NC ND](#)

**CITATION** Heiskanen, M.A., Aatsinki, A., Hakonen, P., Kartiosuo, N., Munukka, E., Lahti, L., Keskitalo, A., Huovinen, P., Niinikoski, H., Viikari, J., Rönnemaa, T., Lagström, H., Jula, A., Raitakari, O., Rovio, S.P., Pahkala, K., 2024. Association of Long-Term Habitual Dietary Fiber Intake since Infancy with Gut Microbiota Composition in Young Adulthood. *The Journal of Nutrition* 154, 744–754.

**Association of long-term habitual dietary fiber intake since infancy with gut microbiota composition in young adulthood**

***Authors:* Marja A. Heiskanen<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Anna Aatsinki<sup>2</sup>, Petra Hakonen<sup>1,2</sup>, Noora Kartiosuo<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Eveliina Munukka<sup>4,5</sup>, Leo Lahti<sup>6</sup>, Anniina Keskitalo<sup>7</sup>, Pentti Huovinen<sup>5,7</sup>, Harri Niinikoski<sup>1,2,8</sup>, Jorma Viikari<sup>9,10</sup>, Tapani Rönnemaa<sup>9,10</sup>, Hanna Lagström<sup>2,11</sup>, Antti Jula<sup>12</sup>, Olli Raitakari<sup>1,2,13</sup> Suvi P. Rovio<sup>1,2,11,†</sup> Katja Pahkala<sup>1,2,14,†</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>2</sup>Centre for Population Health Research, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>4</sup>Turku Clinical Microbiome Biobank, Department of Clinical Microbiology, Turku University Hospital, Turku Finland; <sup>5</sup>Institute of Biomedicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>6</sup>Department of Computing, Faculty of Technology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Microbiology, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; <sup>8</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>9</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>10</sup>Division of Medicine, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; <sup>11</sup>Department of Public Health, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; <sup>12</sup>Department of Public Health Solutions, Institute for Health and Welfare, Turku, Finland; <sup>13</sup>Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>14</sup>Paavo Nurmi Centre & Unit for Health and Physical Activity, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

**\*Corresponding author:** Marja A. Heiskanen, Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Kiinamyyllynkatu 10, FI-20520 Turku.

marja.heiskanen@utu.fi; Tel.: +358-40-738-4663

**Abbreviations:** ASV, amplicon sequence variant; PCoA, principal coordinate analysis; PERMANOVA, Permutational Analysis of Variation; SCFA, short-chained fatty acid; STRIP, Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project

**Sources of support:** The Academy of Finland (grant numbers: 206374, 251360, 275595, 307996, and 322112); the Juho Vainio Foundation; the Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Research; the Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture; the Finnish Cultural Foundation; the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation; Special Governmental grants for Health Sciences Research, Turku University Hospital; the Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation; the Finnish Medical Foundation; the Turku University Foundation.

## 1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Dietary fiber is an important health-promoting component of the diet, which is  
3 fermented by the gut microbes that produce metabolites beneficial for the host's health.

4 **Objective:** We studied the associations of habitual long-term fiber intake from infancy with  
5 gut microbiota composition in young adulthood by leveraging data from the Special Turku  
6 Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project (STRIP), an infancy-onset 20-year dietary  
7 counselling study.

8 **Methods:** Fiber intake was assessed annually using food diaries from infancy up to age 20  
9 years. At age 26 years, the first post-intervention follow-up study was conducted including  
10 food diaries and fecal sample collection (N=357). Cumulative dietary fiber intake was  
11 assessed as the area under curve for energy-adjusted fiber intake throughout the study (age 0 –  
12 26 years). Gut microbiota was profiled using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. The primary  
13 outcomes were 1) alpha diversity expressed as observed richness and Shannon index, 2) beta  
14 diversity using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity scores, and 3) differential abundance of each  
15 microbial taxa with respect to the cumulative energy-adjusted dietary fiber intake.

16 **Results:** Higher cumulative dietary fiber intake was associated with decreased Shannon index  
17 ( $\beta = -0.019$  per unit change in cumulative fiber intake,  $p = 0.008$ ). Overall microbial  
18 community composition was related to the amount of fiber consumed (permutational analysis  
19 of variation  $R^2 = 0.005$ ,  $p = 0.024$ ). The only genus that was increased with higher cumulative  
20 fiber intake was butyrate-producing *Butyrivibrio* ( $\log_2$  fold-change per unit change in  
21 cumulative fiber intake 0.40, adjusted  $p = 0.023$ ), while some other known butyrate producers  
22 such as *Faecalibacterium* and *Subdoligranulum* were decreased with higher cumulative fiber  
23 intake.

24 **Conclusions:** As early-life nutritional exposures may affect the lifetime microbiota  
25 composition and disease risk, this study adds novel information on the associations of long-  
26 term dietary fiber intake with the gut microbiota.

27 **Clinical Trial Registration:** NCT00223600, <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT00223600>

28 **Keywords:** dietary fiber; long-term habitual diet; gut microbiota; microbiota diversity;  
29 microbiota composition

## 30 **Introduction**

31 Dietary fiber is a carbohydrate in plant-based foods, such as whole grains, vegetables, fruit,  
32 and legumes, which has been a major component in the human diet throughout our history.

33 Humans have co-evolved with gut microbes which have helped us to face the challenges of  
34 changing environmental exposures and to digest food sources that would otherwise be

35 unusable to humans (1). For instance, dietary fibers contain plant-based carbohydrates that  
36 human enzymes are incapable of digesting, whereas they are fermented by gut microbes (2).

37 As a result, gut microbes produce metabolites such as short-chained fatty acids (SCFA), the  
38 most abundant being butyrate, acetate, and propionate (2,3). The SCFAs not only play a role

39 locally at the colon but they are released into the circulation, where they exert various

40 beneficial effects throughout the body, contributing to glucose and fat metabolism and

41 immune function, as well as affecting the host brain (4,5). Recent advances in microbiome

42 research have highlighted the role of dietary fiber in human health and disease, and

43 introducing more fiber into the diet could improve health outcomes.

44 The benefits of dietary fiber on gut microbiome profile have been studied mainly in adult

45 populations exposed to short-term dietary intervention with a supplementation of a specific

46 fiber type (2,3,6). The results of dietary intervention studies have been somewhat inconsistent

47 both regarding the diversity of gut microbiota and the bacterial taxa changed after the

48 intervention, but the majority of the studies administering specific prebiotic fiber supplement

49 have reported increased abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* (2,3,6). While these

50 short-term dietary studies have demonstrated that gut microbiota composition can change

51 quickly, these alterations are transient and only persist a few days (7). On the other hand,

52 cross-sectional studies comparing different types of diet (e.g. western-diet consumers and

53 agricultural populations) have shown that dietary patterns are associated with microbial

54 composition (7,8). A habitual diet, but not short-term dietary intake, is associated with

55 enterotypes, particularly a diet rich with protein and animal fat to *Bacteroides* and a diet rich  
56 with carbohydrates to *Prevotella* enterotype (9), highlighting the role of habitual dietary  
57 intake on long-term effects on the gut microbiota.

58 The gut microbiota changes dramatically after birth and for the second time when introducing  
59 solid foods (10). By the age of three years, a more stable microbial composition is established,  
60 and the microbial biodiversity seems to be at its greatest in six to twelve years old children  
61 experimenting large variety of foods, eventually ending up to adulthood habitual dietary  
62 patterns and a stable gut microbiota enterotype (8). While there are studies regarding the  
63 beneficial effects of human milk oligosaccharides in infant gut microbiota (10), little is known  
64 about the effects of fiber intake in childhood or adolescence on the gut microbiota  
65 composition, although a few smaller dietary studies on prepuberty-aged children exist (11,12).  
66 However, lifelong eating behaviors are established in childhood. For instance, dietary  
67 exposure during the first thousand days of life is shown to affect lifetime obesity and disease  
68 risk (13), highlighting the importance of early-life dietary patterns on the entire lifespan. How  
69 the cumulative fiber intake from childhood through adolescence to young adulthood affects  
70 gut microbiota profile in young adulthood is still unclear.

71 The longitudinal Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project (STRIP),  
72 established in 1989, was launched to reduce children's exposure to environmental  
73 cardiovascular risk factors from infancy to early adulthood (14). The focus of the 20-year  
74 intervention was to replace saturated fat with unsaturated fat in a child's diet and  
75 concomitantly reduce the intake of cholesterol by dietary counselling. The intervention further  
76 encouraged to favor whole grain products over more highly refined options, as well as to  
77 increase the amount of fruit, vegetables, and berries in the diet. Our previous results have  
78 shown that the STRIP intervention has successfully reached its targets by improving many  
79 cardiometabolic health markers at the end of the intervention (15–17) and leading to higher

80 consumption of fiber-rich grain products, fruits and berries, as well as vegetables (18,19).  
81 Health benefits after 20 years of counselling were largely maintained into adulthood (20) but  
82 there were only subtle differences in the gut microbiota profiles between the intervention and  
83 control groups six years after the intervention had ended, possibly due to divergent adaption  
84 of the dietary counselling intervention by the participants (21). The only genus that was more  
85 abundant in the intervention group compared to the controls was *Veillonella* (21), which  
86 ferments lactates to short-chained fatty acids (22) but has no evident link with dietary  
87 components.

88 The present study leverages the extensive longitudinal data on the habitual dietary fiber intake  
89 from early childhood to young adulthood in a subset of the STRIP cohort, who gave a fecal  
90 sample at the first post-intervention follow-up study at the age of 26 years. As dietary fiber is  
91 one of the most potent food components affecting gut microbiota composition, we ranked the  
92 STRIP participants according to their cumulative dietary fiber intake, irrespective of  
93 belonging to the intervention or control group. This setting allows us to address the question,  
94 whether habitual dietary fiber intake throughout childhood and adolescence influences gut  
95 microbiota composition in young Finnish adults.

## 96 **Materials and Methods**

### 97 *Study Design*

98 The STRIP study is a prospective randomized trial that aims to prevent atherosclerosis  
99 beginning in infancy (14). In brief, families of 5-month-old infants born between July 1989  
100 and December 1991 were recruited at well-baby clinics in Turku, Finland, by nurses. At the  
101 age of 7 months, 1062 infants (56.5% of the eligible age cohort) were randomly allocated to a  
102 dietary intervention (n = 540) or control (n = 522) group (**Figure 1**). The cohort additionally  
103 included two children with Down syndrome (both control), two with familial  
104 hypercholesterolemia (intervention and control), and five children who had been randomized  
105 to the intervention group, and who missed the first study visits prior to age 13 months and  
106 were later treated as controls. Furthermore, a group of 45 children born between March and  
107 July 1989 was similarly recruited and randomized (intervention n = 22, control n = 23) to first  
108 test the study protocols, and thus served as a 'pilot' group.

109 The intervention group received individualized dietary counselling at 1- to 3-month intervals  
110 until age 2 years, and biannually thereafter until the age of 20 years as described in detail  
111 previously (18). The control children were seen biannually until the age of seven years and  
112 annually thereafter. The children in the control group did not receive the counselling  
113 intervention, although similar measurements, including keeping food diaries, were performed  
114 for both study groups and they met with the same study personnel.

115 The first post-intervention follow-up with the participants was conducted between April 2015  
116 and January 2018 at the age of 26 years (here defined as young adults), six years after the  
117 intervention had ended (20) (Figure 1). Of the cohort (n = 1116), 1072 were invited to  
118 participate (excluded n = 44; deceased n = 7; no information on place of residence n = 6;  
119 congenital physical impairment n = 5; lived abroad n = 26). Of these, 551 provided follow-up

120 data (51%; intervention n = 263 vs. control n = 288). Of the follow-up study participants, five  
121 provided only questionnaire data. Reasons for non-participation (n = 521) were: no response  
122 to invitation (n = 356); declined invitation (n = 153); and discontinuation of the study (n =  
123 12).

124 Individuals included in the present study comprise those who successfully provided data on  
125 gut microbiota composition at the 26-year follow-up (n = 357). The participants with  
126 successful gut microbiota analyses consumed more vegetables, fruit, and berries and tended to  
127 have higher total absolute daily fiber intake compared to individuals who had attended the 26-  
128 year follow-up study clinic visit and either did not provide a fecal sample or provided a  
129 sample that could not be successfully sequenced (n = 189) (21). At the age of 26 years,  
130 energy-adjusted fiber intake as well as other dietary and anthropometric characteristics were  
131 similar between the subgroup included in this study and those excluded (21). Long-term  
132 cumulative fiber intake, both absolute and energy-adjusted, was similar between the subjects  
133 included in this sub-study and those excluded (**Supplementary Table 1**). Moreover,  
134 cumulative cardiometabolic risk markers and dietary fat intakes were similar between the  
135 groups (**Supplementary Table 1**).

136 This study has been approved by the Joint Commission on Ethics of Turku University and  
137 Turku University Central Hospital (Approval code: ETMK: 51/1801/2014. Approval date: 20  
138 May 2014.). Written informed consent was obtained from parents at study entry and from the  
139 participants at the ages of 15, 18, and 26 years.

#### 140 *Dietary data collection*

141 Before each study visit, a food diary on four consecutive days (until 2 years of age three  
142 consecutive days), including 1–2 weekend days, was filled in to obtain meticulous data on the  
143 participants' dietary intake, i.e. the foods/drinks and nutrients. Participants were instructed to

144 record regular days and avoid non-regular, e.g., holidays/sick days where food intake was  
145 atypical. In the beginning, the parents were carefully instructed to record their children's food  
146 intake. Parents and/or caregivers (e.g., nanny, grandparent) were responsible for filling out the  
147 food record during infancy. After the beginning of daycare or school, the establishment's  
148 personnel were asked to assist the child in completing the food records. As the children aged,  
149 they were given more responsibility in completing their food records, however, parents were  
150 still advised to check the records and assist the child. The food records were sent to the  
151 participants 3–4 weeks preceding each study visit with written instructions and a food portion  
152 estimation visual aid booklet to ensure accurate reporting. Portion sizes were estimated using  
153 household measures (e.g., spoons, cups), and details regarding the foods (e.g., brand and  
154 preparation method) were requested. During the study visit, the diary was reviewed for  
155 completeness and accuracy by a dietary technician, and missing details were added after  
156 discussion where necessary. The food diary data were entered into the Micro-Nutrica® food  
157 analysis software (developed at the Research and Development Centre of the Social Insurance  
158 Institution, Finland) to calculate food and nutrient intake. This software has been regularly  
159 updated throughout the study and can calculate 66 separate nutrient values from over 4000  
160 foods and dishes.

### 161 *Cumulative dietary fiber intake calculation*

162 The energy-adjusted fiber intake was selected to represent the effects of long-term cumulative  
163 fiber intake in this study because the subjects were at growing age and their total energy  
164 intake changed during the study. Therefore, the energy-adjusted fiber intake is more  
165 comparable between the time points compared to the absolute fiber intake. To evaluate the  
166 long-term cumulative fiber intake throughout the study, subject-specific curves for the energy-  
167 adjusted fiber intake were estimated by mixed model regression splines (23). The covariance  
168 structure for the longitudinal setting was modeled by allowing for subject-specific regression

169 spline coefficients, which were incorporated as random effects into the model. We used three  
170 knots on the subject-specific and five knots on the fixed effects part. The mean profile was  
171 allowed to vary across sex in terms of possibly different fixed effects parts. Similar to the  
172 approach of Lai *et al* (24), we then evaluated the area under the curve (AUC) as a measure of  
173 the long-term effect of dietary fiber intake. The AUC variables were defined separately for  
174 early childhood (0 to 6 years), childhood (7 to 12 years), adolescence (13 to 18 years), young  
175 adulthood (19 to 26 years), and the entire follow-up period (0 to 26 years) (**Figure 2A**).

### 176 *Anthropometrics and blood samples*

177 Height, weight, and waist circumference were measured and BMI was calculated as weight  
178 (kg)/(height (m<sup>2</sup>)) (20). Blood samples were drawn at the study visit annually throughout the  
179 intervention period and in the post-intervention follow-up study at age 26 years following  
180 overnight fasting, and serum samples were separated, aliquoted, and stored at -70 °C (20).  
181 The samples were thawed for the first time for the following analyses. Serum triglycerides,  
182 total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and serum glucose were analyzed using an AU400  
183 instrument (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) and applicable system reagents (Beckman  
184 Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). LDL cholesterol concentration was estimated using the Friedewald  
185 formula. If triglyceride level was  $\geq 4.5$  mmol/L, LDL cholesterol was set to be missing. Serum  
186 insulin was determined using an ARCHITECT insulin assay (Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA) on  
187 an Architect ci8200 analyzer (Abbott, USA), and insulin resistance was estimated using the  
188 homeostatic model for assessing insulin resistance (HOMA-IR; (fasting insulin  $\times$  fasting  
189 glucose)/22.5). Sitting blood pressure was measured using an oscillometric device with an  
190 average of three measurements used in the analyses. A similar AUC approach as for the  
191 dietary fiber intake was applied to define cumulative cardiovascular risk factor exposure and  
192 dietary components related to fat quality (Supplementary Tables 1-2).

193

## 194 *Fecal Microbiota Composition*

195 The gut microbiota of the STRIP participants was assessed for the first time in the 26-year  
196 follow-up study (21). Fecal samples were collected by the participants at their homes and sent  
197 to the study center by mail (n = 370). The protocol for the self-collection of approximately  
198 500 mg of fecal material and the extraction of bacterial DNA from the fecal samples are  
199 described in detail previously (21). Three samples were omitted due to poor sample quality.  
200 Fecal microbiota profiles were analyzed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing; variable region V4 of  
201 the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified with custom-designed dual-indexed primers and  
202 sequenced with an Illumina MiSeq system as previously described (25). Each sequencing run  
203 included a positive plasmid-mix control and a negative aqua control (25). The raw 16S rRNA  
204 gene sequencing data was demultiplexed and the sequence adapters, primers, and barcodes  
205 were clipped using the Illumina BaseSpace platform. Ten samples were excluded from further  
206 analyses due to unsuccessful 16S rRNA gene sequencing, resulting in the final sample cohort  
207 of 357 individuals. The raw sequence data was processed into an amplicon sequence variant  
208 (ASV) table as described in detail previously (21). The generated ASV table altogether  
209 comprised  $6.3 \times 10^7$  trimmed and chimera-removed high-quality sequence reads. The  
210 acquired read counts from the 16S rRNA gene sequencing varied significantly within the  
211 study population (min: 11.8 k, max: 839 k, median: 160 k). Taxonomic classification of the  
212 sequences was performed using the NCBI RefSeq 16S rRNA database supplemented by the  
213 Ribosomal Database Project database (RefSeqRDP16S\_v2\_May2018). The generated  
214 unfiltered data was constructed into a TreeSummarizedExperiment object, which included  
215 6591 unique ASVs that corresponded to 20 different bacterial phyla and 291 bacterial genera.

## 216 *Statistical analyses*

217 The primary outcomes of this study were 1) alpha diversity expressed as observed richness  
218 and Shannon index, 2) beta diversity using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity scores, and 3) differential

219 abundance of each microbial taxa with respect to the cumulative energy-adjusted dietary fiber  
220 intake, which was used as a continuous variable in all analyses. All analyses were performed  
221 for the whole study group adjusted for sex. The statistical analyses were performed using R  
222 (v. 4.2.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; [https://www.R-](https://www.R-project.org/)  
223 [project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/)).

224 Gut microbiota alpha diversity, represented by the observed richness and Shannon index, was  
225 determined using *mia* (26). Observed richness describes the number of different ASVs present  
226 in a sample, while the Shannon index describes the bacterial diversity in a sample by counting  
227 the abundance and evenness of the ASVs present. The association between alpha diversity and  
228 cumulative fiber intake was assessed using linear regression. Microbiome beta diversity,  
229 which describes the dissimilarities in ecosystem-level community composition between  
230 samples, was performed for the ASV-level with a relative abundance transformation. Beta  
231 diversity was visualized with a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordination with Bray-  
232 Curtis dissimilarity. Permutational Analysis of Variation (PERMANOVA) was performed  
233 using the *adonis2* function in *vegan* (27) with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and 999 permutations.  
234 Differential abundance analysis of the microbiota was performed using *LinDA*, which fits  
235 linear regression models on the centered log-ratio (clr) transformed data and corrects the bias  
236 due to compositional effects (28). To reduce multiple comparisons, rare taxa were excluded  
237 before *LinDA* analysis by filtering out ASVs that were detected with <2% prevalence at  
238 <0.1% relative abundance threshold, resulting in 423 unique ASVs. We analyzed differential  
239 abundances at the phylum, family, and genus levels. We used the default parameters in *LinDA*,  
240 and *p*-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg  
241 procedure. Adjusted *p*-values at the level of 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

242 **Results**

243 *Participant characteristics*

244 The study cohort consisted of 357 26-year-old participants, of whom 203 were females and  
245 154 males. The participants were divided into quartiles according to their cumulative energy-  
246 adjusted fiber intake (AUC 0-26 years). The participants in the first quartile were considered  
247 as the low fiber intake group (mean energy-adjusted fiber intake 1.68 [SD 0.48] g/MJ at age  
248 26 years), the participants in the second and third quartile as the medium fiber intake group  
249 (2.35 [SD 0.66] g/MJ), and the participants in the fourth quartile as the high fiber intake group  
250 (3.13 [SD 0.87] g/MJ) to highlight the difference between the high and low dietary fiber  
251 consumers. Characteristics of the participants at the age of 26 years are presented in **Table 1**.  
252 The percentage of females was highest in the high fiber intake group and decreased in the  
253 medium and the low fiber intake groups. In general, the cardiometabolic risk factor profile  
254 was slightly more favorable in the high fiber intake group especially compared to the low  
255 fiber intake group. For the cumulative cardiometabolic risk factors, only cumulative HDL  
256 cholesterol was increased in the high fiber intake group compared to the low fiber intake  
257 group (**Supplementary Table 2**).

258 Total energy intake, as well as protein intake, was higher in the low fiber intake group  
259 compared to the high fiber intake group, whereas the high fiber intake group consumed more  
260 carbohydrates. Total dietary fat intake was similar between the groups, but there were  
261 differences between the groups in types of fatty acids consumed both at the age of 26 years  
262 (Table 1) and cumulatively (Supplementary Table 2). Absolute fiber intake was decreased  
263 both in the medium and the low fiber intake group compared to the high fiber intake group,  
264 and the intake of fruits and berries followed the same trend. However, the consumption of  
265 whole grain bread was not different between the groups, and consumption of vegetables was

266 decreased in the high fiber intake group compared to the low fiber intake group (Table 1).  
267 Energy-adjusted fiber intake was higher in females compared to males both at age 26 years  
268 and cumulatively throughout the study (**Figure 2B**). The Nordic recommendation for energy-  
269 adjusted dietary fiber intake for adults is at least 3 g/MJ (29). At age 26 years, 31% of females  
270 and 9% of males reached the recommendation.

### 271 *Patterns of habitual fiber intake*

272 We first explored the patterns of habitual dietary fiber intake to evaluate whether the  
273 cumulative fiber intake expressed as AUC 0-26 years reflects the fiber intake throughout the  
274 study. When evaluated at each age period (early childhood, childhood, adolescence, and  
275 young adulthood), the dietary fiber intake status remained rather stable judging from a visual  
276 inspection of a Sankey flow chart (**Figure 2C**). Further, pairwise correlations between two  
277 consecutive age periods were high, and the correlation between cumulative fiber intake AUC  
278 0-26 years correlated well with each age period (**Figure 2D**). Therefore, AUC 0-26 years  
279 appears to track the fiber intake during the whole study period. The correlation between  
280 cumulative fiber intake AUC 0-26 years and fiber intake at the age of 26 years was  $r = 0.63$ .

### 281 *Association of cumulative dietary fiber intake with microbiota alpha and beta diversity*

282 The mean observed richness in the study population was 249 (SD 79). There was no  
283 association between the continuous cumulative fiber intake and observed richness ( $p = 0.21$ ,  
284 **Supplementary Figure 1A**). For the Shannon index, the mean was 3.23 (SD 0.95) and it was  
285 lower in males than in females ( $\beta = -0.25$ ,  $p = 0.020$ ). Shannon index decreased with  
286 increasing cumulative fiber intake ( $\beta = -0.019$  per unit change in cumulative fiber intake,  $p =$   
287  $0.008$ , **Supplementary Figure 1B**).

288 Beta diversity was visualized in the PCoA ordination (**Figure 3**). Although no apparent  
289 patterns could be visually observed in the PCoA plot, PERMANOVA indicated that both

290 cumulative fiber intake and sex explained a significant proportion of the variation in the  
291 ecosystem-level microbiota composition (PERMANOVA  $R^2 = 0.005$ ,  $p = 0.024$  for  
292 cumulative fiber intake,  $R^2 = 0.006$ ,  $p = 0.007$  for sex).

### 293 *Association of cumulative dietary fiber intake with the abundances of microbial taxa*

294 After the removal of extremely rare taxa, nine different bacterial phyla, 30 bacterial families,  
295 and 77 bacterial genera were detected. *Bacteroidetes* was the most abundant phylum (mean  
296 relative abundance 52.0%), and its abundance tended to be associated with higher fiber intake  
297 ( $\log_2$  fold-change 0.23 per unit change in cumulative fiber intake, adjusted  $p = 0.076$ ).

298 *Firmicutes* was the second most abundant phylum (mean relative abundance 40.6%), but its  
299 abundance was not related to fiber intake in *LinDA* analysis. *Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes* ratio  
300 was not associated with cumulative fiber intake. In *LinDA*, the only phylum that was  
301 differentially abundant was *Tenericutes* ( $\log_2$  fold-change 0.44, adjusted  $p = 0.008$ ), but its  
302 mean relative abundance was only 0.1% (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

303 At the family level, only the abundance of *Pasteurellaceae* was elevated with higher fiber  
304 intake ( $\log_2$  fold-change 0.57, adjusted  $p = 0.042$ , **Supplementary Figure 3**). The  
305 differentially abundant bacterial genera with  $|\log_2$  fold-change  $\geq 0.25$  are illustrated in **Figure**  
306 **4**. A higher cumulative fiber intake was associated with an increased abundance of  
307 *Butyrivibrio* ( $\log_2$  fold-change 0.40, adjusted  $p = 0.023$ ). *Haemophilus*, a genus related to the  
308 *Pasteurellaceae* family, tended to be more abundant with higher fiber intake ( $\log_2$  fold-change  
309 0.46, adjusted  $p = 0.081$ ). Genera that were statistically significantly decreased with higher  
310 fiber intake were *Intestinimonas*, *Subdoligranulum*, *Flavonifractor*, *Faecalibacterium*, and  
311 *Blautia*. The abundances of most of the differentially abundant genera found by *LinDA* were  
312 low (mean relative abundance  $< 0.3\%$ ), except for *Blautia* (1.2%) and *Faecalibacterium*  
313 (7.3%).

314 *Additional analyses*

315 We have previously shown that the intervention improved children's diet quality compared to  
316 the control group (18). Therefore, we repeated the analyses by including a categorical variable  
317 describing the intervention/control group status in the models to study whether the overall diet  
318 quality as induced by the intervention affects the results. However, after incorporating the  
319 intervention/control group information into the models, the results remained qualitatively  
320 similar (data not shown).

## 321 **Discussion**

322 The STRIP is a unique longitudinal study with two decades of dietary data from infancy to  
323 young adulthood. The present sub-study shows that long-term habitual fiber intake from early  
324 childhood to early adulthood associated with gut microbiota composition in young adulthood.  
325 Microbial diversity was decreased with higher cumulative fiber intake, and long-term fiber  
326 intake was related to the difference in the overall microbial community composition. The only  
327 genus that was increased with higher cumulative fiber intake was butyrate-producing  
328 *Butyrivibrio*, while some other known butyrate producers such as *Faecalibacterium* and  
329 *Subdoligranulum* were decreased with higher cumulative fiber intake in our study. As there  
330 are no other similar longitudinal studies beginning from infancy, the results of this study add  
331 novel information on the associations of long-term dietary fiber intake on gut microbiota in  
332 adulthood.

333 Generally, higher microbial richness and diversity are considered an indication of “a healthy  
334 gut microbiome”, as a higher diversity of microbes can compensate for each other and  
335 provide a more robust ecosystem against environmental influences (30). In this study, richness  
336 was not affected by the cumulative fiber intake. Alpha diversity expressed as Shannon index  
337 decreased with higher cumulative fiber intake, though the decrease per unit change of  
338 cumulative fiber intake was small. Previous results from cross-sectional studies and  
339 randomized trials have been inconsistent regarding the effects of fiber intake on alpha  
340 diversity, inducing an increase (31), a decrease (3), or no effect on alpha diversity (6,32,33).  
341 While the increase in microbial diversity is generally considered to be a favorable change, it  
342 may be that higher fiber intake may increase the abundance of fiber-digesting bacterial taxa  
343 that are SCFA producers (34).

344 Previous studies regarding beta diversity have shown consistently that people with a fiber-rich  
345 diet (rural/unindustrialized diet, Mediterranean diet, or vegetarian diet) have distinctly  
346 different microbial community composition compared to people living in developed areas  
347 consuming a Western diet (2,3). In a large-scale Finnish adult population study, dietary fiber  
348 intake was also associated with a change in beta diversity (31). Furthermore, most  
349 intervention trials administrating high-fiber diets have reported a change in beta diversity (3).  
350 Our result is in line with previous studies, as habitual cumulative fiber intake was related to  
351 the difference in overall community composition.

352 Dietary fiber is known to improve the richness of bacterial taxa that are capable of digesting  
353 fiber and producing SCFA (35,36). Dietary fiber comes in various forms, and different  
354 bacterial species have the capacity to degrade specific fiber types (36). Moreover, a specific  
355 fiber type may require multiple steps in the catalytic pathway with multiple microbes  
356 contributing to the degradation of fiber and SCFA production. Therefore, intervention studies  
357 administrating different types of dietary fiber have been shown to lead to divergent changes in  
358 the microbial taxa (3,6,8). Moreover, habitual dietary patterns can lead to different core  
359 microbial populations, which can affect response or non-response to dietary  
360 intervention and thus, contribute to the heterogenous outcomes in previous studies (8). For  
361 instance, many of the short-term intervention studies have reported an increase in the  
362 abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* (3,6). The growth of these bacterial taxa is  
363 related to the use of prebiotics which include dietary fiber types rich with inulin, fructo- and  
364 galactooligosaccharides, whereas fibers not classified as prebiotics have not affected the  
365 abundance of these species (8). In the present study, these genera were not related to  
366 cumulative fiber intake, which could be because cumulative fiber intake comprises the entire  
367 intake of dietary fibers from all sources.

368 The most abundant bacterial genera in this study were *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*. The  
369 abundance of *Bacteroides* tended to increase with lower cumulative fiber intake. While  
370 *Prevotella* was elevated with higher fiber intake, its variance was so large that the change  
371 remained non-significant. These two genera seem to be the main drivers differentiating the gut  
372 microbiota profiles between long-term diets based on carbohydrates (*Prevotella*) and protein  
373 and animal fat (*Bacteroides*) (9). *Prevotella* can ferment complex carbohydrates and many  
374 different fiber types (9), and it is often reported to be increased in unindustrialized or rural  
375 populations or Western populations in individuals on vegan or vegetarian diets (2), but not in  
376 short-term single-fiber interventions (3). *Bacteroides* is a bile-tolerant genus associated with  
377 increased colorectal cancer risk (37). It is one of the bacterial taxa whose increase is  
378 associated with a microbial signature of disease and poor diet quality along with  
379 *Flavonifractor* (38,39), which was also increased with lower fiber intake in our study.

380 The mean abundance of those bacterial genera that were differently abundant with respect to  
381 the cumulative fiber intake in our study was mostly present in relatively low abundances. The  
382 only genus that was increased with higher cumulative fiber intake was *Butyrivibrio*, a fiber-  
383 degrading bacterial taxa producing butyrate (40,41) that has been associated with fiber intake  
384 and healthy dietary patterns (31,39,42). In addition, *Haemophilus* tended to increase with  
385 higher fiber intake, and in accordance, the family *Pasteurellaceae* was also elevated. Large-  
386 scale population studies with deep shotgun sequencing have revealed *Haemophilus*  
387 *parainfluenza* and *Butyrivibrio crossotus* as novel microbiome-health associations  
388 (38,39,42,43). The results of our study support the growing evidence on the potential health-  
389 promoting capacity of these bacterial taxa and encourage to further explore their function and  
390 mechanisms to study their possible therapeutic modulation.

391 Surprisingly, *Faecalibacterium* and *Subdoligranulum* were associated with lower cumulative  
392 fiber intake in our study. Both of these taxa are related to butyrate production (41) and a

393 decrease in their abundances has been connected to the microbial signature of disease in a  
394 large-scale Dutch population study (38). *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is one of the most  
395 abundant core taxa in healthy adult population and a major butyrate producer, and change in  
396 its abundance has been linked to dysbiosis in several disorders, including inflammatory bowel  
397 disease, irritable bowel syndrome, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (44,45). So and colleagues  
398 included 13 studies in their meta-analysis regarding the abundance of *F. prausnitzii* in dietary  
399 fiber and placebo/low-fiber groups, resulting in no difference between the groups, though the  
400 variation between individual studies was considerable (6). In a Finnish adult population-based  
401 study, *F. prausnitzii* was associated with higher healthy food choices scores (31). Interestingly,  
402 the abundance of *F. prausnitzii* was increased in a large-scale population study in those  
403 individuals who consumed more than 30 plant types a week compared to those consuming  
404 less than 10 plant types (46), highlighting that it may not be only the amount of fiber that is  
405 important but also the variation in types of dietary fiber. In our study population, the  
406 abundance of *Faecalibacterium* was relatively high (mean relative abundance 7.3%) and the  
407 fold-change between higher and lower cumulative fiber intake was relatively small. It may be  
408 that *Faecalibacterium* is related to a healthy diet with variation, which is not captured by  
409 assessing only the cumulative dietary fiber intake.

410 Abundances of *Intestinimonas* and *Blautia* were decreased with higher cumulative fiber  
411 intake in our study. The relationship between these bacterial genera and dietary fiber intake is  
412 studied less. For instance, while *Intestinimonas* is capable of producing butyrate and acetate,  
413 it may use lysine rather than plant-based carbohydrates as its energy substrate (47). Reduced  
414 abundance of *Intestinimonas* has been linked to obesity in previous studies (48,49). Similarly,  
415 *Blautia* was inversely correlated with visceral fat accumulation (50). We tested whether the  
416 differential abundances of these genera were related to obesity by adding cumulative body  
417 mass index (0 – 26 years) into the model used in *LinDA*. While the other results remained the

418 same, *Blautia* was no longer associated with cumulative fiber intake (data not shown),  
419 suggesting that obesity may have a role in its abundance. *Blautia* is a bacterial genus with  
420 numerous different species and its potential as a probiotic has been investigated, but the  
421 evidence is inconsistent and whether or not it is beneficial for the health may differ by species  
422 (51).

423 We have previously shown in the STRIP cohort that at the age of 26 years, gut microbiota  
424 profiles had only subtle differences between the intervention and control group six years after  
425 the intervention had ended, with genus *Veillonella* being more abundant in the intervention  
426 group (21). While the abundance of *Veillonella* was somewhat increased with higher  
427 cumulative fiber intake in the present study, the change was not statistically significant. On  
428 the other hand, the present study with specific cumulative exposure revealed more differences  
429 in the microbial taxa compared to the overall effect of the intervention.

430 The main limitation of this study is that fecal samples were collected only at the age of 26  
431 years. While the dietary information starting from infancy is unique, it cannot be fully linked  
432 to changes in gut microbiota composition during the early lifespan to young adulthood  
433 without fecal samples at multiple time points. In addition, the fecal samples were analyzed  
434 using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, allowing genus-level microbial profiles, whereas species-  
435 level identification is not reliable with this technique. This method allows the study of  
436 abundances of bacterial taxa, but it cannot be utilized to reliably estimate strain-level profiles  
437 and gut bacterial metabolic activity in complex communities (52). Deep shotgun sequencing  
438 could provide more information on the changes in metabolic activities of the bacterial taxa as  
439 a response to long-term fiber intake. Actions induced by dietary fiber on the gut microbiota  
440 depend on fiber's physiochemical properties such as its solubility, viscosity, and  
441 fermentability, and for instance, soluble and insoluble fiber may affect microbiota differently  
442 (2). In this context, it would be interesting to study in more detail the effects of different types

443 of dietary fiber on gut microbes and their metabolic activities. Also, as we have no data on  
444 fecal SCFAs, we were not able to confirm whether SCFAs were related to increased  
445 cumulative fiber intake or the change in those bacterial taxa found in this study. Information  
446 about the diet was collected using food diaries on four consecutive days before each study  
447 visit. Participants may report dietary intake inaccurately, for example, due to inaccurate  
448 estimation of food/drink amount, biased reporting, or change in typical diet so that the four  
449 recorded days do not represent the actual habitual diet. Also, there are limitations in food  
450 composition database applied to convert the reported food consumption to energy and nutrient  
451 intakes. Another limitation is related to the study population. While the sample size was  
452 relatively large ( $n = 357$ ), it may be that even a larger population would have been needed to  
453 detect the changes in more abundant bacterial taxa with larger variation. The models used in  
454 the analyses were adjusted for sex, and the overall diet quality as induced by the intervention  
455 did not change the qualitative results. Nevertheless, other dietary components may modulate  
456 the interaction between dietary fiber and gut microbiota. However, adding multiple covariates  
457 to the models could reduce the statistical power of the analyses. Finally, the study population  
458 may not reflect the general population of the same-aged individuals. The individuals who  
459 provided a fecal sample were different compared to those individuals who did not provide a  
460 fecal sample. Furthermore, the participants of the STRIP cohort, both those in the intervention  
461 and the control group, have been followed at least annually since infancy and received  
462 information about their health regularly, which could have affected their dietary patterns  
463 differently compared to the general population of the same age. Nevertheless, the major  
464 strength of the STRIP cohort is the extensive cumulative data on dietary intake and  
465 cardiometabolic risk factors from infancy to early adulthood, which are not available in any  
466 other study. The current evidence on the associations of dietary fiber intake on gut  
467 microbiome composition is mostly based on short-term dietary interventions without follow-

468 up or cross-sectional studies across populations, and long-term interventions are mostly  
469 lacking (8).

## 470 **Conclusions**

471 We investigated whether long-term cumulative dietary fiber intake from infancy is associated  
472 with gut microbiota composition in young adulthood. Alpha diversity was decreased with  
473 higher cumulative fiber intake, and the overall microbial community composition was  
474 affected by the amount of fiber consumed. While *Butyrivibrio*, a butyrate-producing bacterial  
475 genus, was increased with higher cumulative fiber intake in our study, other butyrate  
476 producers such as *Faecalibacterium* and *Subdoligranulum* were decreased. Further studies  
477 using metagenomic shotgun sequencing will be needed to study the function and metabolic  
478 activities of different microbial taxa at the species level. Nevertheless, as the majority of the  
479 current evidence is based on either short-term dietary intervention or cross-sectional studies,  
480 our unique longitudinal study adds novel information about the long-term habitual fiber intake  
481 on gut microbiota, which has mostly been lacking.

## 482 **Author contributions**

483 MAH, AA, PHa, HN, JV, TR, HL, AJ, OR, SPR, and KP designed research; HN, JV, TR, OR,  
484 SPR, and KP conducted research; MAH, AA, PHa, and LL analyzed the data and performed  
485 statistical analysis; MAH, LL, SPR, and KP wrote the original draft; AA, PHa, NK, EM, AK,  
486 PHu, HN, JV, TR, HL, AJ, and OR reviewed and edited the draft; MAH prepared figures; HN,  
487 OR, and KP had primary responsibility for the final content. All authors have read and  
488 approved the final manuscript.

## 489 **Data Availability Statement**

490 The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article was obtained from the STRIP study. The  
491 STRIP dataset comprises health-related participant data, and its use is therefore restricted

492 under the regulations on professional secrecy (Act on the Openness of Government Activities,  
493 612/1999) and on sensitive personal data (Personal Data Act, 523/1999, implementing the EU  
494 data protection directive 95/46/EC). Due to these legal restrictions, the data from this study  
495 cannot be stored in public repositories or otherwise made publicly available. However, data  
496 access may be permitted on a case-by-case basis upon request only. Data sharing outside the  
497 group is carried out in collaboration with the STRIP group and requires a data-sharing  
498 agreement. Investigators can submit an expression of interest to the chairman of the STRIP  
499 steering group (Prof Olli Raitakari, University of Turku, Turku, Finland).

#### 500 **Acknowledgments**

501 The STRIP study children and their parents and grandparents have made this unique study  
502 possible; we thank them for their time, continued efforts, and commitment to the STRIP study  
503 throughout the years.

#### 504 **Conflict of interests**

505 Eveliina Munukka is a Medical Advisor at Biocodex Nordics. The other authors declare no  
506 conflict of interest.

## References

1. Amato KR, Jeyakumar T, Poinar H, Gros P. Shifting Climates, Foods, and Diseases: The Human Microbiome through Evolution. *BioEssays* 2019;41:1900034.
2. Cronin P, Joyce SA, O'Toole PW, O'Connor EM. Dietary Fibre Modulates the Gut Microbiota. *Nutrients* 2021;13:1655.
3. Fu J, Zheng Y, Gao Y, Xu W. Dietary Fiber Intake and Gut Microbiota in Human Health. *Microorganisms* 2022;10:2507.
4. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. From Dietary Fiber to Host Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. *Cell* 2016;165:1332–45.
5. Fusco W, Lorenzo MB, Cintoni M, Porcari S, Rinninella E, Kaitsas F, et al. Short-Chain Fatty-Acid-Producing Bacteria: Key Components of the Human Gut Microbiota. *Nutrients* 2023;15:2211.
6. So D, Whelan K, Rossi M, Morrison M, Holtmann G, Kelly JT, et al. Dietary fiber intervention on gut microbiota composition in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2018;107:965–83.
7. David LA, Materna AC, Friedman J, Campos-Baptista MI, Blackburn MC, Perrotta A, et al. Host lifestyle affects human microbiota on daily timescales. *Genome Biology* 2014;15:R89.
8. Leeming ER, Johnson AJ, Spector TD, Le Roy CI. Effect of Diet on the Gut Microbiota: Rethinking Intervention Duration. *Nutrients* 2019;11:2862.
9. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen Y-Y, Keilbaugh SA, et al. Linking Long-Term Dietary Patterns with Gut Microbial Enterotypes. *Science* 2011;334:105–8.
10. Tanaka M, Nakayama J. Development of the gut microbiota in infancy and its impact on health in later life. *Allergology International* 2017;66:515–22.
11. Berding K, Holscher HD, Arthur AE, Donovan SM. Fecal microbiome composition and stability in 4- to 8-year old children is associated with dietary patterns and nutrient intake. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 2018;56:165–74.
12. Herman DR, Rhoades N, Mercado J, Argueta P, Lopez U, Flores GE. Dietary Habits of 2- to 9-Year-Old American Children Are Associated with Gut Microbiome Composition. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 2020;120:517–34.
13. Wu AJ, Oken E. Developmental Contributions to Obesity: Nutritional Exposures in the First Thousand Days. *Gastroenterology Clinics of North America* 2023;52:333–45.
14. Simell O, Niinikoski H, Rönnemaa T, Raitakari OT, Lagström H, Laurinen M, et al. Cohort Profile: The STRIP Study (Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project), an Infancy-onset Dietary and Life-style Intervention Trial. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2009;38:650–5.
15. Nupponen M, Pakkala K, Juonala M, Magnussen CG, Niinikoski H, Rönnemaa T, et al. Metabolic syndrome from adolescence to early adulthood: effect of infancy-onset dietary counseling of low saturated fat: the Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project (STRIP). *Circulation* 2015;131:605–13.

16. Oranta O, Pahkala K, Ruottinen S, Niinikoski H, Lagström H, Viikari JSA, et al. Infancy-Onset Dietary Counseling of Low-Saturated-Fat Diet Improves Insulin Sensitivity in Healthy Adolescents 15–20 Years of Age. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:2952–9.
17. Lehtovirta M, Pahkala K, Niinikoski H, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Lagström H, et al. Effect of Dietary Counseling on a Comprehensive Metabolic Profile from Childhood to Adulthood. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2018;195:190-198.e3.
18. Matthews LA, Rovio SP, Jaakkola JM, Niinikoski H, Lagström H, Jula A, et al. Longitudinal effect of 20-year infancy-onset dietary intervention on food consumption and nutrient intake: the randomized controlled STRIP study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2019;73:937–49.
19. Ruottinen S, Lagström HK, Niinikoski H, Rönnemaa T, Saarinen M, Pahkala KA, et al. Dietary fiber does not displace energy but is associated with decreased serum cholesterol concentrations in healthy children. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2010;91:651–61.
20. Pahkala K, Laitinen TT, Niinikoski H, Kartiosuo N, Rovio SP, Lagström H, et al. Effects of 20-year infancy-onset dietary counselling on cardiometabolic risk factors in the Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project (STRIP): 6-year post-intervention follow-up. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 2020;4:359–69.
21. Keskitalo A, Munukka E, Aatsinki A, Saleem W, Kartiosuo N, Lahti L, et al. An Infancy-Onset 20-Year Dietary Counselling Intervention and Gut Microbiota Composition in Adulthood. *Nutrients* 2022;14.
22. Scheiman J, Luber JM, Chavkin TA, MacDonald T, Tung A, Pham L-DD, et al. Meta-omics analysis of elite athletes identifies a performance-enhancing microbe that functions via lactate metabolism. *Nature Medicine* 2019;25.
23. Welham SJ. Smoothing spline models for longitudinal data. *Longitudinal Data Analysis*. 1st Edition. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2008. p. 38.
24. Lai C-C, Sun D, Cen R, Wang J, Li S, Fernandez-Alonso C, et al. Impact of long-term burden of excessive adiposity and elevated blood pressure from childhood on adulthood left ventricular remodeling patterns: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2014;64:1580–7.
25. Rintala A, Riikonen I, Toivonen A, Pietilä S, Munukka E, Pursiheimo J-P, et al. Early fecal microbiota composition in children who later develop celiac disease and associated autoimmunity. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 2018;53:403–9.
26. Ernst FGM, Shetty SA, Borman T, Lahti L, Cao Y, Olson ND, et al. mia: Microbiome analysis. <https://github.com/microbiome/mia> 2023;R package version 1.9.3.
27. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O’Hara RB, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. <http://CRANR-project.org/package=vegan> 2012;R package version 2.6-2.
28. Zhou H, He K, Chen J, Zhang X. LinDA: linear models for differential abundance analysis of microbiome compositional data. *Genome Biology* 2022;23:95.
29. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2023 [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jul 4]. Available from: <https://www.norden.org/en/publication/nordic-nutrition-recommendations-2023>

30. Valdes AM, Walter J, Segal E, Spector TD. Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *BMJ* 2018;k2179.
31. Koponen KK, Salosensaari A, Ruuskanen MO, Havulinna AS, Männistö S, Jousilahti P, et al. Associations of healthy food choices with gut microbiota profiles. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2021;114:605–16.
32. Zhang Y, Chen H, Lu M, Cai J, Lu B, Luo C, et al. Habitual Diet Pattern Associations with Gut Microbiome Diversity and Composition: Results from a Chinese Adult Cohort. *Nutrients* 2022;14:2639.
33. Zhang C, Björkman A, Cai K, Liu G, Wang C, Li Y, et al. Impact of a 3-Months Vegetarian Diet on the Gut Microbiota and Immune Repertoire. *Frontiers in Immunology* 2018;9:908.
34. Zhao L, Zhang F, Ding X, Wu G, Lam YY, Wang X, et al. Gut bacteria selectively promoted by dietary fibers alleviate type 2 diabetes. *Science* 2018;359:1151–6.
35. Wong JMW, de Souza R, Kendall CWC, Emam A, Jenkins DJA. Colonic health: fermentation and short chain fatty acids. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology* 2006;40:235–43.
36. Portincasa P, Bonfrate L, Vacca M, De Angelis M, Farella I, Lanza E, et al. Gut Microbiota and Short Chain Fatty Acids: Implications in Glucose Homeostasis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2022;23:1105.
37. Alhinai EA, Walton GE, Commane DM. The Role of the Gut Microbiota in Colorectal Cancer Causation. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20:5295.
38. Gacesa R, Kurilshikov A, Vila AV, Sinha T, Klaassen M a. Y, Bolte LA, et al. The Dutch Microbiome Project defines factors that shape the healthy gut microbiome. *bioRxiv*; 2020; DOI:10.1101/2020.11.27.401125
39. Peters BA, Xing J, Chen G-C, Usyk M, Wang Z, McClain AC, et al. Healthy dietary patterns are associated with the gut microbiome in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2023;117:540–52.
40. Paillard D, McKain N, Chaudhary LC, Walker ND, Pizette F, Koppova I, et al. Relation between phylogenetic position, lipid metabolism and butyrate production by different *Butyrivibrio*-like bacteria from the rumen. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 2007;91:417–22.
41. Louis P, Flint HJ. Diversity, metabolism and microbial ecology of butyrate-producing bacteria from the human large intestine. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2009;294:1–8.
42. Li Y, Wang DD, Satija A, Ivey KL, Li J, Wilkinson JE, et al. Plant-Based Diet Index and Metabolic Risk in Men: Exploring the Role of the Gut Microbiome. *The Journal of Nutrition* 2021;151:2780–9.
43. Asnicar F, Berry SE, Valdes AM, Nguyen LH, Piccinno G, Drew DA, et al. Microbiome connections with host metabolism and habitual diet from 1,098 deeply phenotyped individuals. *Nature medicine* 2021;27:321–32.
44. Miquel S, Martín R, Rossi O, Bermúdez-Humarán L, Chatel J, Sokol H, et al. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and human intestinal health. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 2013;16:255–61.

45. Hu Y-H, Meyer K, Lulla A, Lewis CE, Carnethon MR, Schreiner PJ, et al. Gut microbiome and stages of diabetes in middle-aged adults: CARDIA microbiome study. *Nutrition & Metabolism* 2023;20:3.
46. McDonald D, Hyde E, Debelius JW, Morton JT, Gonzalez A, Ackermann G, et al. American Gut: an Open Platform for Citizen Science Microbiome Research. *mSystems* 2018;3:e00031-18.
47. Bui TPN, Ritari J, Boeren S, de Waard P, Plugge CM, de Vos WM. Production of butyrate from lysine and the Amadori product fructoselysine by a human gut commensal. *Nature Communications* 2015;6:10062.
48. Thingholm LB, Rühlemann MC, Koch M, Fuqua B, Laucke G, Boehm R, et al. Obese Individuals with and without Type 2 Diabetes Show Different Gut Microbial Functional Capacity and Composition. *Cell host & microbe* 2019;26:252-264.e10.
49. Companys J, Gosalbes MJ, Pla-Pagà L, Calderón-Pérez L, Llauradó E, Pedret A, et al. Gut Microbiota Profile and Its Association with Clinical Variables and Dietary Intake in Overweight/Obese and Lean Subjects: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Nutrients* 2021;13:2032.
50. Ozato N, Saito S, Yamaguchi T, Katashima M, Tokuda I, Sawada K, et al. *Blautia* genus associated with visceral fat accumulation in adults 20–76 years of age. *npj Biofilms and Microbiomes* 2019;5:1–9.
51. Liu X, Mao B, Gu J, Wu J, Cui S, Wang G, et al. *Blautia*—a new functional genus with potential probiotic properties? *Gut Microbes* 2021;13:1875796.
52. Wang Y, Thompson KN, Yan Y, Short MI, Zhang Y, Franzosa EA, et al. RNA-based amplicon sequencing is ineffective in measuring metabolic activity in environmental microbial communities. *Microbiome* 2023;11:131.

**Table 1.** Cardiometabolic risk markers and dietary measures of the participants at the first follow-up at the age of 26 years (n = 357).

|                                    | <i>High fiber intake</i><br>(n = 89) | <i>Medium fiber intake</i><br>(n = 178) | <i>Low fiber intake</i><br>(n = 90) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Female, %                          | 79.8 *†                              | 60.1 ‡                                  | 27.8                                |
| Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 23.5 (3.3) †                         | 24.3 (4.1)                              | 25.1 (4.8)                          |
| Waist circumference, cm            | 76.8 (10.3) †                        | 80.1 (10.8) ‡                           | 84.7 (12.6)                         |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg      | 117.6 (9.0) *†                       | 121.2 (11.7)                            | 123.3 (12.1)                        |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg     | 70.0 (6.8) *                         | 72.5 (7.8)                              | 72.6 (8.0)                          |
| <b>Serum biomarkers</b>            |                                      |   |                                     |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L          | 4.64 (0.94)                          | 4.59 (0.9)                              | 4.41 (0.86)                         |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L            | 2.79 (0.76)                          | 2.78 (0.76)                             | 2.70 (0.71)                         |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L            | 1.44 (0.37) †                        | 1.36 (0.32) ‡                           | 1.23 (0.33)                         |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L              | 0.80 [0.50]                          | 0.90 [0.50]                             | 0.90 [0.60]                         |
| Glucose, mmol/L                    | 5.0 (0.4)                            | 5.0 (0.5) ‡                             | 5.2 (0.9)                           |
| Insulin, mU/L                      | 6.2 [3.0]                            | 6.8 [3.8]                               | 6.8 [4.9]                           |
| HOMA-IR                            | 1.39 [0.74]                          | 1.54 [0.95]                             | 1.58 [1.11]                         |
| <b>Dietary intakes</b>             |                                      |   |                                     |
| Energy, kcal/day                   | 1872 (437) †                         | 2002 (581) ‡                            | 2255 (640)                          |
| Protein, E%                        | 18.3 (4.6) †                         | 19.7 (5.2)                              | 20.6 (5.3)                          |
| Carbohydrates, E%                  | 43.3 (7.2) †                         | 40.9 (8.1)                              | 38.6 (7.8)                          |
| Fat, E%                            | 37.1 (6.0)                           | 37.7 (7.8)                              | 37.7 (6.9)                          |
| SAFA, E%                           | 12.5 (3.7) *†                        | 13.9 (3.5)                              | 13.9 (3.3)                          |

|                           |                |              |             |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| MUFA, E%                  | 13.4 (4.2)     | 13.2 (4.0)   | 12.8 (3.1)  |
| PUFA, E%                  | 6.8 (2.0)      | 6.5 (2.4)    | 6.7 (1.8)   |
| (P + M)/S                 | 1.77 (0.73) *† | 1.48 (0.50)  | 1.44 (0.30) |
| P/S                       | 0.61 (0.34) *† | 0.50 (0.22)  | 0.50 (0.16) |
| Cholesterol, mg/day       | 256 (154) †    | 313 (172) ‡  | 377 (240)   |
| Fiber, g/day              | 24.6 (9.1) *†  | 19.4 (7.2) ‡ | 15.7 (5.9)  |
| Whole grain bread, g/day  | 53.0 (40.8)    | 55.2 (42.9)  | 43.9 (34.8) |
| Vegetables, g/day         | 118 (65) †     | 132 (93)     | 158 (112)   |
| Fruits and berries, g/day | 242 [259] *†   | 177 [188] ‡  | 94 [201]    |
| Sodium, mg/day            | 2644 (887) †   | 2925 (891) ‡ | 3417 (1162) |

Participants are divided into quartiles according to their cumulative energy-adjusted fiber intake AUC 0-26 years. The medium fiber intake group includes 50% and high and low fiber intake groups include 25% of the participants. The presented values are mean (SD), except for triglycerides, insulin, HOMA-IR, and fruit and berries, for which median [IQR] are shown. The statistical significances between the groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed variables and pairwise chi-squared tests for categorical variables). The reported *p* values are not corrected for multiple testing. E%, percentage of energy intake; SAFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; (P + M)/S, polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fat to saturated fat ratio; P/S, polyunsaturated fat to saturated fat ratio.

\*  $p \leq 0.05$  between high and medium fiber intake groups.

†  $p \leq 0.05$  between high and low fiber intake groups.

‡  $p \leq 0.05$  between medium and low fiber intake groups.

## Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of the STRIP study (FH; familial hypercholesterolemia).

**Figure 2. A.** Timepoints when information about energy-adjusted fiber intake and fecal samples are collected, and calculation of area under curve (AUC) variables. **B.** Cumulative fiber intake AUC 0-26 years for females and males, \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . **C.** Sankey diagram illustrating the patterns of habitual fiber intake. For each age group, quartiles are calculated to categorize the participants in the highest (25%), middle (50%), and lowest (25%) dietary fiber intake groups **D.** Pairwise Pearson correlations of cumulative fiber intake between age groups. The shade of the color indicates the strength of the correlation. All correlations are statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Figure 3.** ASV-level PCoA ordination with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for gut microbiota beta diversity. The color indicates cumulative fiber intake AUC 0-26 years and the shape indicates sex according to the legend.

**Figure 4.** Differential abundance analysis on genus level performed with *LinDA* presenting  $\log_2$  fold-change per unit change in cumulative fiber intake. Only the genera with  $|\log_2 \text{fold-change}| \geq 0.25$  are shown. The colors of the circles show the phyla that each genus is related to, and the size of the circles indicates the mean relative abundance of the genera according to the legend. The error bars present the upper and lower 95% confidence limit for each genus separately, and the color indicates the level of statistical significance after adjusting for multiple comparisons according to the legend.