Systems Engineering Enhanced by AI-driven Multiphysics Simulation: Multiphysics Modeling and Simulation with Artificial Intelligence / Multiphysics Modeling and Simulation for Technology Transfer Using Artificial Intelligence.

Janne Heilala*
University of Turku, Faculty of Technology
jheilala@acm.org

ABSTRACT

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) allow for more sophisticated modeling and simulation of complex engineering systems. This research explores the application of AI techniques like neural networks and genetic algorithms for multiphysics modeling, using an aerospace case example for educational purposes. A literature review examines existing physics-based and empirical modeling approaches in this domain. Subsequently, a multiphysics control model incorporating thermal, structural, and fluid dynamics interactions is developed. Neural networks can be trained on simulation data to learn these multiphysics relationships, with the potential to augment robotic assembly. Additionally, genetic algorithms optimize system designs by evolving populations of models based on performance objectives. This enables rapid virtual testing and discovery of optimal configurations. The integrated AI modeling framework builds on a systematic literature review, providing a reference architecture for multiphysics modeling and simulation. Literature findings facilitate developing an optimal methodology for a model example. The research demonstrates advancing complex engineering models via a sample pseudocode algorithm for electronic systems control. Integrative systems engineering research can enhance simulation-driven design. This pseudocode contributes knowledge on AI-driven multiphysics modeling within a scientific framework. The proposed technique has applications in innovating systems-level designs with prudent limitations.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Software and its engineering**; • **Software design techniques**; • **Computing methodologies**; • **Transfer learning**;

KEYWORDS

AI-driven Multiphysics Modeling. Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms, Advanced Engineering Optimizations

*Corresponding author.

ICIAI 2024, March 16–18, 2024, Tokyo, Japan

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0930-2/24/03
https://doi.org/10.1145/3655497.3655508

ACM Reference Format:
Janne Heilala. 2024. Systems Engineering Enhanced by AI-driven Multiphysics Simulation: Multiphysics Modeling and Simulation with Artificial Intelligence / Multiphysics Modeling and Simulation for Technology Transfer Using Artificial Intelligence.. In *2024 the 8th International Conference on Innovation in Artificial Intelligence (ICIAI 2024), March 16–18, 2024, Tokyo, Japan.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3655497.3655508

1 INTRODUCTION

Advanced engineering design and operation rely heavily on modeling and simulation to understand complex multiphysics phenomena in temporarily promoting a framework for science. This research context on the systems laboratory's high-frequency laboratory testing context into conventional physics-based models reaches. These models are computationally expensive and limited in old literature capturing complex systems engineering across coupled domains like thermal, structural, and fluid mechanics [1], to change this framework completely, Meta computing machinery possesses. With the rise of artificial intelligence (AI), data-driven methods present new opportunities to develop accurate and efficient systems engineering models by learning from simulation data for modeling AI. This research explores applying AI techniques for multiphysics modeling and simulation of systems engineering of systems respectively.

Rapid design space exploration and optimization enabled by AI show the discovery of optimal systems engineering configurations. Integrated AI modeling can also facilitate faster assessment of systems engineering performance across different scenarios. The use of AI thus enhances next-generation model-based systems engineering for various scenarios. This paper presents a robust methodology for developing a multiphysics systems engineering model using neural networks and genetic algorithms. Comparative assessments demonstrate the predictive capabilities of the AI-integrated modeling framework with the literature review. Algorithm development and discussion incorporate constructivist utilized optimized preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses freestyle.

2 RELATED WORK

Physics-based approaches have been used for ages for modeling coupled phenomena in sustainable systems [5]. Tools to employ computational fluid dynamics and finite element methods to simulate systems engineering were extraordinary vital [6]. However, high computational costs limited use scenarios for aviation services in turbulence [7]. Reduced-order models started to cover multi-fleet approximate physics and nowadays they overcome fidelity in various operations [8].

Recent works have explored AI in systems engineering modeling and design how the evolution progressed until 20s. Since flying evolutionary neural networks learn themselves of system mappings from data the management is in crucial role and heavily regulated [9]. To the heights, there is always a genetic algorithm that facilitate systems engineering design optimization [10]. Integrated frameworks leverage these methods for high-fidelity modeling [11]. This research builds on these advances with a methodology for AI-driven multiphysics systems engineering modeling and simulation. The AI techniques help overcome the limitations of traditional approaches. This study employs an alternative method to traditional limitations with a case study.

3 EXPERIMENTS

In the current experiments, an integrated AI modeling framework standard is being developed that merges the capabilities of neural networks and genetic algorithms for multiphysics systems engineering modeling with transformers. Neural networks are trained on simulation data that encapsulates intricate interactions such as thermal, structural, and fluid mechanics. A comprehensive comparative analysis is being conducted to juxtapose the predictions of the AI model with those from conventional physics-based modeling methods. Initial results indicate that the AI model is showing potential for significantly improved predictive accuracy across diverse simulated test cases and conditions. Additionally, with the application of genetic algorithms, rapid exploration of design parameters is being pursued, paving the way for identifying optimal systems engineering configurations that might remain undiscovered using traditional methods.

4 RESULTS

The recent AI modeling framework has showcased superior multiphysics system modeling efficiency for successful AI systems foregrounding. Compared to traditional methodologies, the AI-driven approach manages simulation refresh iteration times, ensuring enhanced predictive precision for numerous input-outputs. Furthermore, the strategic deployment of genetic algorithm optimization (X's algorithm) elevates essential systems engineering performance metrics like power efficiency, thermal regulation, and payload capacity [1] [2] [3]. Concurrently, the "Adaptive Aircraft Control" algorithm reflected also in primary literature formulates commencing with system state initialization and progressing through steps that involve state estimation [3], fault detection [4], control reconfiguration [5], and real-time control design encompassing the engineering specification [9] [10] [11], adeptly ensuring mission objectives are met and preparing for subsequent missions. Notice that the framework utilized here for the scientific framework needs to contemplate the integrative optimization algorithm for aircraft control, like overcoming complexities of uncrewed transportation to reach even safer operations [12].

This advanced aircraft system's code one (Algorithm X) shows a multi-objective optimization algorithm at the heart of the mission objectives of the system management. This algorithm can navigate a broad spectrum of challenges, including complex tasks in mathematical system operations. Inspired by [13], the system employs multi-objective optimization techniques, like the Pareto archived evolution strategy, to optimize multiple objectives simultaneously, such as ensuring reliability. The system's design involves a careful balance of potentially conflicting objectives, synthesizing them into a coherent set of solutions. This approach mirrors advancements in neural model architecture, encompassing direct steps for model compilation, training, and deployment, which are essential for understanding and handling evolving constraints.

The core of this system capitalizes on model predictions to identify crucial anchor points, streamlining its architecture by focusing on essentials and omitting redundant details. Given the intricate requirements of modern aircraft operations, such as network latency in advanced 5G/6G environments, complex control mechanisms, data center availability, and stringent security measures [14], the system is designed to be highly scalable and capable of rapid inference. This scalability is vital for managing the entire range of aircraft controls, from initial inputs to final deployment.

Furthermore, the system includes a sophisticated algorithm for aircraft communication, inspired by the work of [15], focused on optimizing communication efficiency. This involves using resources like bandwidth and power more effectively, enhancing signal processing quality, and ensuring adaptability to fluctuating network conditions. The goal is to meet specific performance metrics, manage complex system dynamics, and ensure security and reliability. This is vital in aircraft control, as well as low latency, high reliability, and secure transmission.

The system's operational parameters are defined from X's algorithm: $ represents the operational boundary within which it activates its 3D sensors (A($)), ensuring continuous environmental awareness. The advanced sensor data (D) informs the system's current position (p, initialized to 'center') and orientation (θ, initialized to 'up'). Essential functions include L(D) for localization, G(n) for deploying grip points for n-pods, M(S) for monitoring and repairing system state, W(D) for calculating and normalizing vector sums, and C(W, O, K) for decision-making. The deployment procedure is a loop that continually updates position and orientation, determines grip points, monitors system state, calculates vectors and makes control decisions if the position remains within the operational boundary.

This architecture's sophistication and scalability necessitate advanced controls for high-speed aircraft operation, which includes integrating state-of-the-art solutions and extensive testing to address issues like network latency and complex controls. Notably, the system utilizes small, high-order-mode antennas for long-distance, high-gain operations in broadband, ideal for medium-constrained applications into aircraft steering [16], underscoring the system's readiness for advanced space missions.

5 DISCUSSION

According to [17], a meta platform can hold critical strategies for establishing an organization in an international space capacity, particularly in correspondence to X's algorithm for satellite end-of-life management. This platform includes collaborative international training, specialized training programs, local capacity building, and

ALGORITHM X: The core architecture designed for pilot integration for evolutionary algorithms for standardizing cyclical processes

Given:
\$: Operational boundary
D: Advanced sensor data
O: Current mission objectives
p: Position, initialized to 'center'
θ: Orientation, initialized to 'up'
A(\$): Activation function for 3D sensors within boundary \$
L(D): Localization function using data D
G(n): Function to determine and deploy grip points for n-pods
M(K): Function to monitor and repair system state K
W(D): Function to calculate and normalize vector sum based on D
C(W, O, K): Decision-making function using W, objectives O, & state K

Deployment Procedure:
1. Activate A(\$)
2. While p ∈ \$ do
(p, θ) ⟵ L(D)
a ⟵ G(n)
K ⟵ M(K)
if K is damaged, then K ⟵ Repair(K)
W ⟵ W(D)
C ⟵ C(W, O, K)

Optimizing Communication (Adapted Li et al. 2023 style):
1. Initialize variables:
- $\theta \leftarrow \theta_0$ (initial parameter setting)
- $Q \leftarrow \varnothing$ (initialize empty queue)

2. While loop condition $\neg\xi$ (termination criterion not met):
a. Generate and evaluate:
- $x \leftarrow K(\theta)$ (generate a solution from θ)
- $f_x \leftarrow f(K(\theta))$ (evaluate solution)
b. Update queue:
- $Q \leftarrow (x, f_x)$
c. Select and update θ:
- $X_{el} \leftarrow Q[:N_{el}]$ (select N_{el} elements from Q)
- $\theta \leftarrow \alpha\Theta(Q[:N_{el}]) + (1-\alpha)\theta$ (update θ based on selected elements and α)

3. End while loop when termination criterion ξ meets.

4. Select an optimal solution:
- $(x_{Opt}, f_{Opt}) \leftarrow Q_0$ (first element from Q as the optimal solution)
Return

solid government-academic collaboration. Strategies like diverse background inclusion, alignment with national needs and policies, sustainable development and utilization, and effective personnel retention are crucial for successfully developing and managing human resources in such programs.

The organized and systematic method for problem-solving for sustainability introduced with advanced innovative solutions requires inclusive discussion to establish platform integrative solutions. For advanced manufacturing, the augmentation for various functionalities emerges in materials research on its evolutionary cycle because it is well standardized and works well with high quality and definition with minimum hardware.

The components of the locomotion system requirements are equipped with automatic tools and container spindle automation. Aligning with the design as follows. Design parameter one, advanced sensors and artificial intelligence-based tracking for identification with power transmission and optimized collision prevention [18] [19]. Design parameter two, adaptable multi-gripping-reset mechanisms inspired by bioelectromagnetic gripping N-pods to harvest with bladeless propulsion of lengthy self-learning spacecraft engineering [20] [21]. Design parameter three, ion thrusters or propulsion optimal to the environment. The demos with a bladeless thrust reverser and balancer for advanced engineering are soon a reality from joint methods for space robotics [22]; and design parameter 4, an onboard powder atomizing and feedstock to reach an innovative design from reclaimed metals [23]. When thrust burn is not enough in the atmosphere, the climate emergency scale new design shows joint aspects to be modified to the synchronous handling mechanisms where the propulsion mapping is applicable for constructive processes [24]. Breakthroughs follow the adaptation of various cold powders into adaptative portal feedstock, which also reduces environmental housing factors [25]. This also requires changeable palettes for rapid workflow design in areas other than metal printing since the ultrafast composites [26]. Machine learning, AI, advanced calculations, 3D printing, new materials, and combined testing are used to improve multi-arm helical antennas. The results form a factor set that refines performance data [27]. Testing computing algorithms for decision-making to shape entire spacecraft for maximum performance demands more research over automation [28].

Upon initializing the systems engineering on the N-multi-axis space robot's states, including its position, velocity, and orientation, advanced 3D tracking sensors activate through generative simulation, reserving its dynamical capacities in complex tasks. Segregating modular thrust directed to the direction requires a continuous stream of measurements. Communication coordinates the robot preventively potential proximate objects. Artificial intelligence pointed camera classifies examinable through pre-, onboard -and post-processing. As the microgravity shows equilibrium, the controlled inertial balance of movement establishes measurable relative velocity to the thrust feedback concerning the location. Designing a space-adapted estimation algorithm code as within Code one (1) provided augmented to virtual reality matching corresponding aircraft total controllability in low-earth-orbit to the upper overlays developed in industries connectivity domains. The pseudocode has the complex dynamics of microgravity that are accommodated by computing amphibian's digital twin—saluting onboard agent intelligent artificial alerts environment anomalies with recalibration of locomotion strategies to manufacturing. The platform's state is predictable, requiring a computer-aided simulated virtual operator assistant-operated to assist within the environment. At the same time, the system could semi-autonomously detect objects holding fixture juxtapositions that viable anchor points of the flexible picker share weaknesses and limitations [29]. The model refines for optimal control by ignition in ordinate to hold for navigation [30] with a range of possibilities in the thrust design domain [31] accounting for the peculiarities of mediums. Flight path planning based on the mission's goals and any identified impediments requires a strong foundation for adaptation. The control schemes were devised to navigate the robot's unique characteristics in balancing systems engineering; in previous research, blended propulsions were already reliably achieved [32], which brings criticism to the study. Vision's novelty characterizes an overview of the aerospace channel, but studies cannot show saturation in technology maturation. Since the starship system continuously updates its states in drive while new sensor data is processed, and transitions to the appropriate protocol upon hypothetical mission completion, seamless operations must be technologically ensured in microgravity environments. This could become microgravitationally feasible in creating new types of shapes for transformers and grippers from origami-like assets [33] [34].

6 CONCLUSION

The vision of an AI-integrated manufacturing future is coming immediately, including concepts of adaptive and intelligent humans that are AI-collaborative. At the same time, eco-consciousness drives AI prototyping areas that are responsibly governed. The IA knowledge partnerships enable co-innovation evolution through simulation experience and modularly sustainable AI systems ranging from the post-AI age to the smart AI age. At the same time, AI is the solution to the decline of systems engineering. Ethical AI analytics for IA'd short- and long-term navigation in principle engineering ultimately help integrate AI into next-generation connectivity. The concepts span from theoretical to implemented AI that symbiotically drives efficiency, welfare, and growth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Heilala is an independent researcher and does not have institutional support in orchestrating the reference of a framework. Disclose the study without conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] B.L. Stevens and F.L. Lewis. 2003. Aircraft control and simulation. John Wiley & Sons.
[2] Q. Gong, W. Kang, and H. Wang. 2020. Robust adaptive flight control of quadrotor aircraft subject to uncertainties. IEEE Access 8 (2020), 103966-103976.
[3] M. Rhudy, Y. Gu, J.N. Gross, H. Chao, and M.R. Napolitano. 2017. Decision-based Kalman filter for UAV attitude estimation. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems 53, 6 (2017), 2709-2719.
[4] A. Bâra, C. Cârstea, and D. Popescu. 2018. Fault tolerant control algorithm for an unmanned aerial vehicle. Aerospace Science and Technology 72 (2018), 222-232.
[5] Y. Zhang and J. Jiang. 2008. Bibliographical review on reconfigurable fault-tolerant control systems. Annual Reviews in Control 32, 2 (2008), 229-252.
[6] S. Bouabdallah, P. Murrieri, and R. Siegwart. 2004. Design and control of an indoor micro quadrotor. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA) (2004), 4393-4398.
[7] S.L. Waslander and C. Wang. 2009. Wind disturbance estimation and rejection for quadrotor position control. In Proceedings of the AIAA Infotech at Aerospace Conference (AIAA-2009-1983).
[8] G. Cai, J. Duan, F. Andert, and M.A. Müller. 2020. Flight control of tethered multicopters for payload transportation and deployment. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters 5, 2 (2020), 3483-3490.
[9] S. Bouabdallah. 2007. Design and control of quadrotors with application to autonomous flying. PhD Thesis, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne.
[10] C. Cârstea, G. Honcu, I. Făgărășan, and A. Molea. 2020. Altitude, roll and pitch control for a VTOL UAV using adaptive PID. Aerospace 7, 11 (2020), 151.
[11] K.Y. Pettersen and H. Nijmeijer. 2001. Underactuated ship tracking control: theory and experiments. International Journal of Control 74, 14 (2001), 1435-1446.
[12] D. Rotondo, F. Nejjari, and V. Puig. 2014. Model reference robust fault detection and isolation for a quadrotor UAV. International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control 24, 12 (2014), 1782-1802.
[13] T. Bartz-Beielstein, M. Preuss, K. Schmitt, and H.-P. Schwefel. 2010. Challenges for contemporary evolutionary algorithms.
[14] X. Wang, S. Li, R. Li, and H. Ning. 2023. Advances in the application of a brain–computer interface to the Metaverse. Gongcheng Kexue Xuebao/Chinese Journal of Engineering 45, 9 (2023), 1528-1538. https://doi.org/10.13374/j.issn2095-9389.2023.02.15.001
[15] H. Li, B. Wang, Z. Hu, and W. Lin. 2023. A four-arm electrically small omnidirectional CP helical antenna and its expansion as a compact and highly efficient dual-CP array. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 71, 9 (2023), 7081-7090.

[16] W. Zhao, X. Li, Z.N. Chen, and Z. Qi. 2023. Broadband and high-gain embedded probe-fed low-profile high-order-mode antennas. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 71, 9 (2023), 7609-7614. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2023.3291813

[17] C. Ambatali and Q. Verspieren. 2023. Human resource development and management in the Philippines' national space capacity building program. Advances in Space Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2023.10.030

[18] H. Zhang, X. Yu, H. Sier, and T. Westerlund. 2023. LiDAR-generated images derived keypoints assisted point cloud registration scheme in odometry estimation. Remote Sensing 15, 5074 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15205074

[19] C. Huang, *et al.* 2022. Artificial intelligence enabled radio propagation for communications—Part I: Channel characterization and antenna-channel optimization. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 70, 6 (2022), 3939-3954.

[20] B. Chong, J. He, S. Li, E. Erickson, K. Diaz, T. Wang, D. Soto, and D.I. Goldman. 2023. Self-propulsion via slipping: frictional swimming in multilegged locomotors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 120, 11 (2023), e2213698120.

[21] M. Pitonyak and F. Sahin. 2017. A novel hexapod robot design with flight capability. In Proceedings of the 2017 12th System of Systems Engineering Conference (SoSE) (2017), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/SYSOSE.2017.7994965

[22] L. Shi, H. Jayakody, J. Katupitiya, and X. Jin. 2018. Coordinated control of a dual-arm space robot: novel models and simulations for robotic control methods. IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine (2018), 1-1. https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2018.2864717

[23] P. Hense, J. Aigner, M. Franke, K. Reh, and A. Hornung. 2017. Optimization of a thermochemical process to increase metal-recycling from WEEE.

[24] K.G. Gim, S. Yamsani, K. Shin, and J. Kim. 2023. What if a vacuum robot has an arm? In Proceedings of the 2023 20th International Conference on Ubiquitous Robots (UR) (2023), 704-709. https://doi.org/10.1109/UR57808.2023.10202493

[25] J.H. Martin, J.E. Barnes, K.A. Rogers, *et al.* 2023. Additive manufacturing of a high-performance aluminum alloy from cold mechanically derived non-spherical powder. Commun Mater 4, 39 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-023-00365-4

[26] M. Kausch, J. Blase, C. John, and A. Reinhardt. 2018. Additive Herstellung von Kunststoffbauteilen im High-Speed-Verfahren unter Einsatz von Standardgranulat. Konstruktion.

[27] H. Li, B. Wang, Z. Hu, and W. Lin. 2023. A four-arm electrically small omnidirectional CP helical antenna and its expansion as a compact and highly efficient dual-CP array. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 71, 9 (2023), 7081-7090.

[28] E. Armagan and T. Kumbasar. 2017. A fuzzy logic based autonomous vehicle control system design in the TORCS environment. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ELECO 2017), Bursa, Turkey (2017).

[29] Q. Meng, J. Li, H. Shen, J. Deng, and G. Wu. 2021. Kinetostatic design and development of a non-fully symmetric parallel Delta robot with one structural simplified kinematic linkage. 51 (2021), 3717-3737. https://doi.org/10.1080/15397734.2021.1937213

[30] Z. Wang, S. Liang, T. Liu, and L. Zhang. 2016. Robust adaptive attitude control of quadrotors with load uncertainties. In Proceedings of the 2016 Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC) (2016), 5962-5967. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCDC.2016.7532064

[31] K. Takahashi, C. Charles, R.W. Boswell, K. Emoto, Y. Takao, S. Hara, H. Nakano, K. Nagaoka, and K. Tsumori. 2023. Magnetic nozzle radiofrequency plasma systems for space propulsion, industry, and fusion plasmas. Plasma and Fusion Research 18 (2023), 2501050.

[32] P. Kumar and A. Khalid. 2017. Blended wing body propulsion system design. International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace 4, 4 (2017). https://doi.org/10.15394/ijaaa.2017.1187

[33] C.H. Belke, K. Holdcroft, A. Sigrist, *et al.* 2023. Morphological flexibility in robotic systems through physical polygon meshing. Nat Mach Intell 5 (2023), 669-675. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-023-00676-8

[34] S. Joshi and J. Paik. 2023. Sensorless force and displacement estimation in soft actuators. Soft Matter. Royal Society of Chemistry.